#### **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman Randy Ognio, Vice Chairman Steve Brown Charles W. Oddo Charles D. Rousseau

#### FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA

Steve Rapson, County Administrator Dennis A. Davenport, County Attorney Tameca P. White, County Clerk Marlena Edwards, Deputy County Clerk

A CLORGE

140 Stonewall Avenue West Public Meeting Room Fayetteville, GA 30214

AGENDA July 13, 2017 6:30 p.m.

Welcome to the meeting of your Fayette County Board of Commissioners. Your participation in County government is appreciated. All regularly scheduled Board meetings are open to the public and are held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Thursday of each month at 6:30 p.m.

Call to Order Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance by Commissioner Charles Oddo

Acceptance of Agenda

#### PROCLAMATION/RECOGNITION:

#### **PUBLIC HEARING:**

#### **CONSENT AGENDA:**

- 1. Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Route 279 and Corinth Road. (pages 4-7)
- Approval of Resolution 2017-12 for implementation of Project FA-02 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for multiple resurfacing projects within Fayette County. (pages 8-11)
- Approval of Resolution 2017-13 for implementation of Project FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Road. (pages 12-15)
- 4. Approval of staff's recommendation to award annual bid #1314-A to Hanson Aggregates and Martin Marietta as primary vendors for gravel services for fiscal year 2018 with a not-to-exceed amount of \$51,262.50 and \$129,800 respectively, for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$181,062.50. (pages 16-21)
- Approval of Water System's request to award Bid #1318-B Water Distribution Parts to five companies that were low bidders on items used for distribution and repair; Consolidated Pipe & Supply Co., Ferguson Enterprises, Fortiline Waterworks, Delta Municipal Supply and HD Supply Waterworks LTD, in an amount not-to-exceed \$216,568.27. (pages 22-40)

- Approval of staff's recommendation to award Chemical Bid for twelve (12) months, to Brenntag Mid-South, Inc., American Development Corporation, Chemrite and Polydyne for chemicals used by the Fayette County Water System for water treatment, and authorization for the Chairman to sign any related documents. (pages 41-50)
- 7. Approval of staff's recommendation to contract with the Gordian Group (Centennial Contractors Enterprise, Inc.) to renovate the Animal Shelter building in the amount of \$119,598.67 per the approved CIP project #6565F. (pages 51-62)
- 8. Approval of the June 22, 2017 Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes. (pages 63-81)

#### **OLD BUSINESS:**

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

- Staff update on the proposed GDOT roundabout projects on SR 92 at Antioch Road and Seay Road (GDOT PI 009971 and 009972) and consideration of GDOT's request for Fayette County to enter into a Local Government Lighting Agreement and Landscaping Maintenance Agreement for the projects. (pages 82-105)
- 10. Consideration of staff's recommendation to adopt Fayette County Policy 280.01; Animal Shelter Management/Euthanasia. (pages 106-109)
- 11. Consideration of County Attorney's recommendation to adopt Ordinance 2017-12 to amend Policy 100.19; Board Appointments. (pages 110-118)
- Consideration of a recommendation of the Selection Committee comprised of Chairman Eric Maxwell and Commissioner Charles Rousseau to appoint Walter Ponder to the Board of Assessors for an unexpired term beginning immediately and expiring December 31, 2017. (pages 119-131)
- Consideration of a recommendation from the Selection Committee, comprised of Chairman Eric Maxwell and Commissioner Steve Brown, to re-appoint Martin Sas to the Fayette County Transportation Committee to serve a term beginning April 1, 2017 and expiring March 31, 2020. (pages 132-140)
- 14. Update regarding the procedural posture of the disabled veterans' homestead exemption under House Bill 196 as of July 1, 2017. (pages 141-145)
- 15. Reconsideration of the approval of disposition of tax refunds for disabled veterans' Peter Davis, Jamie Kagels and Ora Williamson in the aggregated total of \$10,918.42 in respond to the recently adopted Disabled Veterans' Homestead Exemptions House Bill 196, initiated by the Board of Commissioners. (pages 146-149)
- Recommendation of the County Attorney to deny the disposition of tax refunds for disabled veterans, Ivonne Morrison and Ritesh Ghimire in respond to the recently adopted Disabled Veterans' Homestead Exemptions House Bill 196, initiated by the Board of Commissioners. (pages 150-153)
- 17. Consideration of the County Attorney's recommendation to approve the disposition of tax refunds, as requested by Jamie and Lisa Inagawa for tax years 2015 and 2016 in the amount of \$167.40. (pages 154-157)

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <a href="http://www.fayettecountyga.gov">www.fayettecountyga.gov</a>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <a href="http://www.livestream.com">www.livestream.com</a>.

- 18. Consideration of the County Attorney's recommendation to approve the disposition of tax refunds, as requested by Roger Casale for tax years 2015 and 2016 in the amount of \$1,699.44. (pages 158-160)
- 19. Consideration of the County Attorney's recommendation to deny the disposition of tax refunds, as requested by Pauline Parker for tax years 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016. (pages 161-164)
- 20. Consideration of a request to connect to the City of Fayetteville sewer system to serve a proposed residential subdivision located on SR 92 North in Land Lot 157 of the 5th District. (pages 165-169)

#### PUBLIC COMMENT:

#### ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORTS:

- A. Contract #P916: Auditing Personal Property Accounts for Compliance-Renewal #2 (pages 170-172)
- B. Contract #940-P: Engineer of Record for Public Works: Task Order 21-Redwine Road (Starrs Mill Complex Multi-Use Trail and Tunnel Design- FY2017 SPLOST Project (page 173)
- C. Contract #949-A: Dust Control Services- Renewal #2 (pages 174-175)
- D. Contract #1307-A: Firefighters Uniform Annual Contract (pages 176-183)
- E. RFQ #1326-A: Patridge Point Culvert Replacement (pages 184-185)

#### ATTORNEY'S REPORTS:

#### **COMMISSIONERS' REPORTS:**

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION:

#### ADJOURNMENT:

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval         Administrator's Approval       Image: County Clerk's Approval       Image: County Clerk's Approval					
Wording for the Agenda:       Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County:         funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Route 279 and Corinth Road.         Background/History/Details:         On May 11, 2017 the BOC authorized staff to submit project applications for various planning studies and resurfacing projects to the ARC. In addition to the letter of support provided in May, ARC is requesting a formal resolution that document's the County's funding commitment to the projects, should they be awarded by ARC. A separate resolution is provided for each project.         Notice of project award is expected in the fall and the projects would be officially entered into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County' funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Route 279 and Corinth Road.         (If this item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also or department's responsibility to ensure all third-	Department:	Public Works	Presenter(s):	Phil Mallon, Direct	or
Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County:         funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Roule 279 and Cointh Road.         Background/History/Details:         On May 11, 2017 the BOC authorized staff to submit project applications for various planning studies and resurfacing projects to the ARC. In addition to the letter of support provided in May, ARC is requesting a formal resolution that documents the County's funding commitment to the projects, should they be awarded by ARC. A separate resolution is provided for each project.         Nolice of project award is expected in the fall and the projects would be officially entered into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County' funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Roule 279 and Corinth Road.         If this item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this requires been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the	Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	Consent #1	
Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County:         funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Roule 279 and Cointh Road.         Background/History/Details:         On May 11, 2017 the BOC authorized staff to submit project applications for various planning studies and resurfacing projects to the ARC. In addition to the letter of support provided in May, ARC is requesting a formal resolution that documents the County's funding commitment to the projects, should they be awarded by ARC. A separate resolution is provided for each project.         Nolice of project award is expected in the fall and the projects would be officially entered into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County' funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Roule 279 and Corinth Road.         If this item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this requires been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the	Wording for the Agenda:				
On May 11, 2017 the BOC authorized staff to submit project applications for various planning studies and resurfacing projects to the ARC. In addition to the letter of support provided in May, ARC is requesting a formal resolution that document's the County's funding commitment to the projects, should they be awarded by ARC. A separate resolution is provided for each project.         Notice of project award is expected in the fail and the projects would be officially entered into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Route 279 and Corinth Road.         It his item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.       Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal       Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable	Approval of Resolution 20				
On May 11, 2017 the BOC authorized staff to submit project applications for various planning studies and resurfacing projects to the ARC. In addition to the letter of support provided in May, ARC is requesting a formal resolution that document's the County's funding commitment to the projects, should they be awarded by ARC. A separate resolution is provided for each project.         Notice of project award is expected in the fail and the projects would be officially entered into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Route 279 and Corinth Road.         It his item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.       Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal       Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable	Background/History/Detail	S'			
(TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Route 279 and Corinth Road.         If this item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes         If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No         Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also your department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval       Yes	On May 11, 2017 the BOO ARC. In addition to the le commitment to the projec	C authorized staff to submit project atter of support provided in May, AR ts, should they be awarded by ARC	C is requesting a formal resolution the A separate resolution is provided for	at document's the ( r each project.	County's funding
Approval of Resolution 2017-11 for implementation of Project FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application for the alignment of State Route 279 and Corinth Road.         If this item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes         If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No         Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable         Administrator's Approval       Yes		expected in the fall and the projects	s would be officially entered into the	Transportation Impr	ovement Program
Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also your department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.       Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval       Yes	Approval of Resolution 20	017-11 for implementation of Project	t FA-01 to the Atlanta Regional Com		
requested projects.       Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.       Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval       Yes	If this item requires funding	g, please describe:			
Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?* No Backup Provided with Request? Yes          All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable         Administrator's Approval       (Yes)	, v	as a local match (20/80) for approve	d projects. Sufficient funds are avail	able in the 2017 SP	LOST for the
All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also your department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval         Administrator's Approval	Has this request been cor	sidered within the past two years?	Yes If so, whe	n? May 11, 201	7
Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval         Administrator's Approval	Is Audio-Visual Equipmen	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup P	rovided with Reque	st? Yes
Approved by Purchasing     Not Applicable     County Clerk's Approval       Administrator's Approval					
Administrator's Approval	Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	by Legal	
	Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	erk's Approval	Yes

#### STATE OF GEORGIA

#### **FAYETTE COUNTY**

#### **RESOLUTION**

#### NO. 2017-\_\_\_\_

## A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR FAYETTE COUNTY; TO AUTHORIZE THE FILING OF A GRANT APPLICATION WITH THE ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION FOR THE STATE ROUTE 279 CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT AND INTERSECTION REALIGNMENT STUDY; TO PROMOTE THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Commissioners for Fayette County (the "County") is the duly elected governing authority for the County; and

WHEREAS, the County continues to support the development and enhancement of its transportation infrastructure as a means to create and sustain livable environments, efficient vehicular movement, reduced congestion, improved air quality, safer roads, economic competitiveness, and general facilitation of public mobility; and

WHEREAS, the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has issued a 2017 solicitation for Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) project funding proposals, and the County desires to submit an application to fund a State Route 279 Corridor Improvement and Intersection Realignment Study; and

Page 6 of 185

WHEREAS, the purpose of the study is to identify and prioritize operational improvements, safety improvements, and capacity needs along the corridor and determine the feasibility of aligning SR 279 and Corinth Road; and

WHEREAS, the grant agreement for Federal financial assistance, if awarded, will impose certain obligations upon the County, including a requirement that the County provide the local share of the project costs; and

WHEREAS, the County will provide all necessary certifications and assurances to the ARC required for the project.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners for Fayette County that the Board hereby authorizes the filing of a grant application with the Atlanta Regional Commission for the State Route 279 Corridor Improvement and Intersection Realignment Study, in an amount of \$253,500.00.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board of Commissioners is aware that the ARC may award an amount that is less than the amount for which Fayette County has applied.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners that the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to execute and file the necessary certifications, assurances and other documents required by the ARC for the grant application.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners that the County hereby commits to providing the required local match up to \$50,700.00 (20% of grant amount) if the project is awarded.

**RESOLVED** this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

-2-

#### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF FAYETTE COUNTY

By:\_\_\_\_\_ Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

Tameca P. White, County Clerk

Approved as to form:

County Attorney

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Page 8 of 185

Department:	Public Works	Presenter(s):	Phil Mallon, Director
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	Consent #2
Wording for the Agenda:			
Approval of Resolution 20		FA-02 to the Atlanta Regional Comicon for multiple resurfacing projects v	mission documenting Fayette County's vithin Fayette County.
Background/History/Details	S:		
ARC. In addition to the le	tter of support provided in May, ARG	applications for various planning stud C is requesting a formal resolution th . A separate resolution is provided for	hat document's the County's funding
Notice of project award is (TIP) in FY 2018.	expected in the fall and the projects	s would be officially entered into the	Transportation Improvement Program
Approval of Resolution 20 funding commitment for th	ne 2017 Project Solicitation applicati		mission documenting Fayette County's vithin Fayette County.
If this item requires funding	, ,	d projects. Sufficient funds are avail	able in the 2017 SDI OST for the
requested projects.	א אינער אינער אינער אינער אינעראינער אינעראינעראינעראינעראינעראינעראינעראינער	u projects. Sumcient funds are avail	
Has this request been con	sidered within the past two years?	Yes If so, whe	n? May 11, 2017
Is Audio-Visual Equipment	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup P	rovided with Request? Yes
	5	Clerk's Office no later than 48 ho udio-visual material is submitted	urs prior to the meeting. It is also at least 48 hours in advance.
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	by Legal
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	lerk's Approval Yes
Administrator's Approval			
Staff Notes:			

#### STATE OF GEORGIA

#### **FAYETTE COUNTY**

#### RESOLUTION

#### NO. 2017-\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR FAYETTE COUNTY; TO AUTHORIZE THE FILING OF A GRANT APPLICATION WITH THE ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION FOR THE RESURFACING OF MULTIPLE ROADS WITHIN INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED FAYETTE COUNTY; TO PROMOTE THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Commissioners for Fayette County (the "County") is the duly elected governing authority for the County; and

WHEREAS, the County continues to support the development and enhancement of its transportation infrastructure as a means to create and sustain livable environments, efficient vehicular movement, reduced congestion, improved air quality, safer roads, economic competitiveness, and general facilitation of public mobility; and

**WHEREAS**, the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has issued a 2017 solicitation for Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) project funding proposals, and the County desires to submit an application to fund multiple resurfacing projects within Fayette County; and

**WHEREAS**, the purpose of the resurfacing is to maintain existing corridors within the County that provide essential connectivity on a local and regional level; and

-1-

WHEREAS, the grant agreement for Federal financial assistance, if awarded, will impose certain obligations upon the County, including a requirement that the County provide the local share of the project costs; and

WHEREAS, the County will provide all necessary certifications and assurances to the ARC required for the project.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners for Fayette County that the Board hereby authorizes the filing of a grant application with the Atlanta Regional Commission for the resurfacing of multiple roads within incorporated and unincorporated Fayette County, in an amount of \$9,513,707.00. Of said project total, \$2,519,223.00 is for roads within the unincorporated area of the County and will be the responsibility of the County. The balance of the project amount is for other local governments within the County.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board of Commissioners is aware that the ARC may award an amount that is less than the amount for which Fayette County has applied.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners that the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to execute and file the necessary certifications, assurances and other documents required by the ARC for the grant application.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners that the County hereby commits to providing the required local match up to \$503,844.52 (20% of the portion of the grant amount for roads in unincorporated Fayette County) if the project is awarded.

**RESOLVED** this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

#### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF FAYETTE COUNTY

By:\_\_\_\_\_ Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

Tameca P. White, County Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_

Approved as to form:

County Attorney

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Meeting Date:       [Thursday, July 13, 2017]       Type of Request:       Consent #3         Wording for the Agenda:       Approval of Resolution 2017-13 for implementation of Project FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette Country's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Road.         Background/History/Details:	Department:	Public Works	Presenter(s):	Phil Mallon
Approval of Resolution 2017-13 for implementation of Project FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Road.         Background/History/Details:	Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	Consent #3
Approval of Resolution 2017-13 for implementation of Project FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Road.         Background/History/Details:	Wording for the Agenda:	,		-
On May 11, 2017 the BOC authorized staff to submit project applications for various planning studies and resurfacing projects to the ARC. In addition to the letter of support provided in May. ARC is requesting a formal resolution that document's the County's funding commitment to the projects, should they be awarded by ARC. A separate resolution is provided for each project.         Notice of project award is expected in the fall and the projects would be officially entered into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-13 for implementation of Project FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Road.         If this item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also over department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.       Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval       Administrator's Approv	Approval of Resolution 20 funding commitment for the	•	,	5 5 5
ARC. In addition to the letter of support provided in May, ARC is requesting a formal resolution that document's the County's funding commitment to the projects, should they be awarded by ARC. A separate resolution is provided for each project.         Notice of project award is expected in the fall and the projects would be officially entered into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in FY 2018.         What action are you seeking from the Board of Commissioners?         Approval of Resolution 2017-13 for implementation of Project FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Road.         If this item requires funding, please describe:         Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also is advance.       Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal       County Clerk's Approval	, Background/History/Details	S:		
Approval of Resolution 2017-13 for implementation of Project FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Commission documenting Fayette County's funding commitment for the 2017 Project Solicitation application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Road.         If this item requires funding, please describe:       Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects. Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Administrator's Approval       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval	ARC. In addition to the le commitment to the project Notice of project award is	tter of support provided in May, ts, should they be awarded by A	ARC is requesting a formal resolution the ARC. A separate resolution is provided for	nat document's the County's funding or each project.
Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects.       Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval	Approval of Resolution 20 funding commitment for the	017-13 for implementation of Pro	pject FA-03 to the Atlanta Regional Com	
Funding will be required as a local match (20/80) for approved projects.       Sufficient funds are available in the 2017 SPLOST for the requested projects.         Has this request been considered within the past two years?       Yes       If so, when?       May 11, 2017         Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*       No       Backup Provided with Request?       Yes         All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval	 If this item requires funding	a, please describe:		
Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?* No Backup Provided with Request? Yes          All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also rour department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable         Administrator's Approval       County Clerk's Approval	Funding will be required a		roved projects. Sufficient funds are avai	lable in the 2017 SPLOST for the
All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also your department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.         Approved by Finance       Not Applicable         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable         Administrator's Approval	Has this request been con	isidered within the past two yea	rs? Yes If so, whe	en? May 11, 2017
Approved by Finance       Not Applicable       Reviewed by Legal         Approved by Purchasing       Not Applicable       County Clerk's Approval         Administrator's Approval	Is Audio-Visual Equipment	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup P	Provided with Request? Yes
Approved by Purchasing     Not Applicable     County Clerk's Approval       Administrator's Approval			5	, ,
Administrator's Approval	Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	d by Legal
	Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	lerk's Approval
Staff Notes:	Administrator's Approval			
	Staff Notes:			

#### STATE OF GEORGIA

#### **FAYETTE COUNTY**

RESOLUTION

NO. 2017-\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR FAYETTE COUNTY; TO AUTHORIZE THE FILING OF A GRANT APPLICATION WITH THE ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION FOR DETAILED PLANNING STUDIES ALONG SANDY CREEK, TYRONE, PALMETTO AND BANKS ROADS; TO PROMOTE THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Commissioners for Fayette County (the "County") is the duly elected governing authority for the County; and

WHEREAS, the County continues to support the development and enhancement of its transportation infrastructure as a means to create and sustain livable environments, efficient vehicular movement, reduced congestion, improved air quality, safer roads, economic competitiveness, and general facilitation of public mobility; and

WHEREAS, the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has issued a 2017 solicitation for Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) project funding proposals, and the County desires to submit an application to fund detailed planning studies along Sandy Creek, Tyrone, Palmetto and Banks Roads; and

Page 14 of 185

WHEREAS, the purpose of the studies is to identify and prioritize operational improvements, safety improvements, bicycle/pedestrian needs, structural deficiencies, capacity needs, etc. along the corridores; and

WHEREAS, the grant agreement for Federal financial assistance, if awarded, will impose certain obligations upon the County, including a requirement that the County provide the local share of the project costs; and

WHEREAS, the County will provide all necessary certifications and assurances to the ARC required for the project.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners for Fayette County that the Board hereby authorizes the filing of a grant application with the Atlanta Regional Commission for the studies, in an amount of \$529,500.00.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board of Commissioners is aware that the ARC may award an amount that is less than the amount for which Fayette County has applied.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners that the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to execute and file the necessary certifications, assurances and other documents required by the ARC for the grant application.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Commissioners that the County hereby commits to providing the required local match up to \$105,900.00 (20% of grant amount) if the project is awarded.

**RESOLVED** this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

#### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF FAYETTE COUNTY

By:\_\_\_\_\_ Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

Tameca P. White, County Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_

Approved as to form:

County Attorney

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Page 16 of 185

Department:	Road	Presenter(s):	Steve Hoffman, Director
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	Consent #4
Wording for the Agenda:			,
Approval of staff's recomr		14-A to Hanson Aggregates and Mar unt of \$51,262.50 and \$129,800 res	1 5
, Background/History/Details	S:		
This contract is used to id	entify vendors for the procurement	of various stone & gravel types durir	ng the FY2018.
		3, #4 Stone, #5 Stone, #57 Stone, # egate Base, Crusher Run and #89 V	
A not-to-exceed contract	of \$51,262.50 for Hanson Aggregat	e and \$129,800 for Martin Marietta.	
If approved, this contract	will expire on June 30, 2018.		
What action are you seeki	ng from the Board of Commissioner	s?	
		14-A to Hanson Aggregates and Manut of \$51,262.50 and \$129,800 res	
 If this itom requires funding	a plaaca dacariba:		
If this item requires funding	•	l budget in account 10040220-5311	73 and in various CIP or SPLOST
project accounts.			
Has this request been con	sidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	en?
Is Audio-Visual Equipmen	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup F	Provided with Request? Yes
All audio-visual material	must be submitted to the County	Clerk's Office no later than 48 hc	ours prior to the meeting. It is also
		udio-visual material is submitted	, ,
Approved by Finance	Yes	Poviowo	d by Legal
Approved by Finance	163	Keviewei	
Approved by Purchasing	Yes	County C	Ierk's Approval Yes
Administrator's Approval			
Staff Notes:			



To: Steve Rapson

From: Ted L. Burgess

Date: July 13, 2017

Subject: Request for Quotes #1314-A: Stone Annual Contract

The Road Department uses a number of sizes of stone aggregate for various purposes, such as asphalt mixes, erosion, control, and other uses. Each year, the county enters into an annual price contract for purchases as needed.

The Purchasing Department issued Request for Quotes (RFQ) #1314-A to secure a contract for Fiscal Year 2018, with two options to renew a the same contracted prices, for a total of three years. Notice of the opportunity was emailed to 9 companies. Another 83 were contacted through the web-based Georgia Procurement Registry, who had registered under commodity codes #75035 (Crushed Stone, Including Rip Rap) and 75077 (Sand and Gravel). The offer was also advertised through Fayette News, Georgia Local Government Access Maketplace, the county website, and Channel 23.

Two vendors submitted quotes (Attachment 1). Because of the cost of hauling stone, typically only vendors with nearby quarries will compete for contracts. One vendor – River Sand, Inc. – with locations in Buford, Alpharetta, and Milton, stated that Fayette County is on the border of their delivery range, and as busy as they are they chose not to offer a quote.

The Road Department recommends award to each of the two responding companies for the lowest quoted price on each item. Contractor Performance Evaluations for the two vendors are attached (Attachments 2 and 3).

Specifics of the proposed contracts are as follows:

Contract Name	1314-A: Stone Annual Contract
Type of Contract	Indefinite quantity, fixed unit price

#### Vendors, Locations & Amounts:

<u>Vendor</u>	<b>Location</b>	Not-to-Exceed
Hanson Aggregates, SE	Atlanta	\$51,262.50
Martin Marietta	Suwanee	129,800.00
Total		<u>\$181,062.50</u>

"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

#### Budget:

10040220 - M&O	\$75,000.00	FY 2018 Budget
Swanson Road CIP 7220G	72,853.00	FY 2017 Capital Project
FY 18 CIP Public Works Parking Lot	15,675.00	FY 2018 Budget
FY 2018 Sheriff's Parking	3,300.00	FY 2018 Budget
FY 2018 Water System M&O	15,000.00	FY 2018 Budget
Total	\$181,828.00	

## Request for Quotes #1314-A: Stone Annual Contract

	Estin Qua	nated ntity	Н	ANSON AGGRE	GATES S	E		MARTIN MA	RIETTA	
	Virgin	Re-	VIRGI	N MATERIAL	RECYCLED	MATERIAL	VIRGIN	MATERIAL	RECYCLED MATERIAL	
Material	Virgin Mat'l	cycle	UNIT	EXT.	UNIT	EXT.	UNIT	EXT.	UNIT	EXT.
Rip Rap Type 1	100	20	\$21.00	\$2,100.00		\$0.00	\$22.50	\$2,250.00		\$0.00
Rip Rap Type 3	1000	100	\$19.00	\$19,000.00		\$0.00	\$21.50	\$21,500.00		\$0.00
Surge	100	20	\$22.00	\$2,200.00		\$0.00	\$18.00	\$1,800.00		\$0.00
Baby Surge	100	20		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
Graded Aggregate Base	10000	150	\$12.50	\$125,000.00		\$0.00	\$12.00	\$120,000.00		\$0.00
Crusher Run	500	100		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$12.00	\$6,000.00		\$0.00
#4 Stone	500	50	\$16.00	\$8,000.00		\$0.00	\$18.00	\$9,000.00		\$0.00
#5 Stone	50	0	\$16.00	\$800.00		\$0.00	\$18.00	\$900.00		\$0.00
#57 Stone	1000	100	\$16.50	\$16,500.00		\$0.00	\$18.00	\$18,000.00		\$0.00
#89 Washed	100	0	\$20.50	\$2,050.00		\$0.00	\$20.00	\$2,000.00		\$0.00
#810 Stone	250	0	\$16.00	\$4,000.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
M-10 Screenings	50	0	\$17.25	\$862.50		\$0.00	\$18.00	\$900.00		\$0.00
Total Bid			\$180,512.50				\$182,350.00			
Total Award Not-to-Ex	ceed			\$51,262.50				\$129,800.00		

FAYET	TE COUNTY, GEC	RGIA
CONTRACTOR	PERFORMANCE	<b>EVALUATION</b>

Page 1

1.	Use this form	to record	contractor per	formance for any	contract	of \$50,000	or above
	000 000	10100010	contractor por	ormanoc for an	oundur	000,000	or above.

2. The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.

3. This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION
Company Name: Hanson Aggregates SE	Contract Number: #904
Mailing Address: 2310 Parklake Dr. #550	Contract Description or Title: Stone
City, St, Zip Code: Atlanta, GA 30345	Contract Term (Dates)
	From: July 1, 2014 To: June 30, 2015
Phone Number: 770-491-2756	Task Order Number:
Cell Number: 404-906-2692	Other Reference:
E-Mail Address: jeff.redmon@hanson.com	

#### DEFINITIONS

<u>OUTSTANDING</u> – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. <u>EXCELLENT (Exc)</u> - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. <u>SATISFACTORY (Sat)</u> - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.

UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products and/or services; Performed below minimum requirements

#### EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.)

Criteria (includes change orders / a	mendments)	Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply
1. Work or other deliverables performed on sche	edule			X		Trippiy
2. Condition of delivered products				Х		1
3. Quality of work				Х		1
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work				Х		1
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem or	complaint resolution			Х		1
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing			Х			1
7. Working relationship / interfacing with county s	staff and citizens			Х		1
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time						N/A
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule						N/A
10. Other (specify):						1
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance	Market Westingstood a			Х		
EV	ALUATED BY					
Signature: Brach Kh	Date of Evaluation: 6-8-	17				
Print Name: Bradley Klinger	Department/Division: Road Department					
Title: Assistant Director	Telephone No: 770-320	-6039				

Form Updated 11/16/2016

Attachmenage 21 08185

FAYET	ΤE	CO	UNT	Y, GEC	ORGIA	
CONTRACTOR	PE	RFC	DRM	ANCE	EVALUA	ATION

Page 1

1.	Use this form to	record contractor	performance for any	contract of \$50	000 or above.
----	------------------	-------------------	---------------------	------------------	---------------

2. The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.

3. This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION	J
Company Name: Martin Marietta Materials	Contract Number: #904-B	
Mailing Address: 3325 Paddock Parkway Ste. 350	Contract Description or Title: Stone Coarse Aggregate	
City, St, Zip Code: Suwanee, GA 30024	Contract Term (Dates)	
	From: July 1, 2014 To: June 30, 2015	;
Phone Number: 678-965-8555	Task Order Number:	
Cell Number: 404-456-3350	Other Reference:	
E-Mail Address: tom.thach@martinmarietta.com		

#### DEFINITIONS

<u>OUTSTANDING</u> – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. <u>EXCELLENT (Exc)</u> - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. <u>SATISFACTORY (Sat)</u> - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.

UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products and/or services; Performed below minimum requirements

#### EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.)

				,				
Criteria (includes change order	rs / amendments)	Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply		
1. Work or other deliverables performed on :	schedule			X		1		
2. Condition of delivered products				X		1		
3. Quality of work				Х		1		
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of w	vork		-	Х		1		
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem	m or complaint resolution			X		1		
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing			Х			1		
7. Working relationship / interfacing with cou	inty staff and citizens			Х		1		
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time					N/A			
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedu	le					N/A		
10. Other (specify):						1		
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performa	ance			X		1		
	EVALUATED BY							
Signature: Brack Kh	Date of Evaluation: 6-8	3-17						
Print Name: Bradley Klinger	Department/Division: F	Department/Division: Road Department						
Title: Assistant Director	Telephone No: 770-32	Telephone No: 770-320-6039						

Form Updated 11/16/2016

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Department:	Water System	Presenter(s):	Lee Pope, Director	
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	Consent #5	
used for distribution and r	n's request to award Bid #1318-B Wa repair; Consolidated Pipe & Supply C TD, in an amount not-to-exceed \$21	co., Ferguson Enterprises, Fortiline		
Background/History/Detail	S:			
on the need, so exact qua	System for repair and maintenance i antities cannot be predetermined. En water for long periods of time when t	hough stock is kept in inventory at th	ne Distribution Shop to	
The not to exceed amour	ts are: Consolidated Pipe & Supply Ferguson Enterprises Fortiline Waterworks Delta Municipal Supply HD Supply Waterworks	\$53,434.00 \$51,095.32 \$ 7,510.00 \$95,071.84 \$ 9,457.11		
Approval of Water System for distribution and repair	ng from the Board of Commissioners n's request to award Bid #1318B Wa Consolidated Pipe & Supply Co., Fe in an amount not to exceed \$216,56	ter Distribution Parts to five companerguson Enterprises, Fortiline Water		
If this item requires funding			1-	
Funding is budgeted in th	e Water System repairs to meters ar	nd lines and in various capital projec	IS.	
Has this request been cor	nsidered within the past two years?	Yes If so, whe	n? Annually	
Is Audio-Visual Equipmen	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup P	rovided with Request	? Yes
	must be submitted to the County nsibility to ensure all third-party at			
Approved by Finance	Yes	Reviewed	I by Legal	
Approved by Purchasing	Yes	County C	lerk's Approval	Yes
Administrator's Approval				
Staff Notes:				1



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

To: Steve Rapson

From: Ted L. Burgess

Date: July 13, 2017

Subject: Invitation to Bid #1318-B: Water Distribution Supply Parts for Fiscal Year 2018

Each year, the Water System contracts for the purchase of parts for inventory, to be used as needed in repairing and maintaining the county's water distribution system.

The Purchasing Department issued Invitation to Bid (ITB) #1318-B to establish prices of 169 items for Fiscal Year 2018. Invitations were emailed to 23 vendors. Another 116 were contacted through the web-based Georgia Procurement Registry. Invitations were extended via the Fayette News, the county website, Georgia Local Government Access Marketplace (www.glga.org), and Channel 23.

Five companies submitted bids (Attachment 1). The Water System recommends awards for the lowest bid price for each item, which means that all five companies will receive contracts. The contracts will be renewable for two additional one-year terms, at the same unit prices, with the agreement of both parties.

Attachments 2 through 5 are Contractor Evaluation Reports for the vendors that the county has had previous contracts with. Specifics of the proposed contracts are as follows:

Contract Name	1318-B: Water Distr	ibution Supply Parts
Type of Contract	Indefinite Quantity,	Fixed Price Contract
Vendors & Not-to-Exc	eed Amounts:	
Consolidated	Pipe & Supply Co.	\$53,434.00
Ferguson Ente	erprises	51,095.32
Fortiline Wate	erworks	7,510.00
HD Supply Wa	aterworks	9,457.11
Delta Municip	al Supply Co., Inc.	95,071.84
Total Not-t	o-Exceed Amount	<u>\$216,568.27</u>

BudgetFunds are requested in the Fiscal Year 2018 M&O budget in50544020 - 522266 (Repairs - Meters & Water Lines - \$200,000) andIn various capital projects, to be used as needed.

#### Invitation to Bid #1318-B: Water Distribution Parts Annual Contract For Fiscal Year 2018

				olidated z Supply		guson Ent.	_	tiline rworks		Supply rworks		Muni- Supply
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
	<b>ERS</b> - AWWA approved, registers compatible with er Meter, Orion transmitter data profiling RTR and/or											
	6" METER - MFM/MCT	4	\$5,499.00	\$21,996.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
2	8" METER - MFM/MCT	4	\$7,349.00	\$29,396.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
FIRE	LINES											
3	6" DDCII - Double Dectector Check Valve Assembly - with O.S & Y Valves	4	\$1,785.00	\$7,140.00	\$1,662.41	\$6,649.64	\$1,700.00	\$6,800.00	\$1.720.00	\$6.880.00	\$1.649.75	\$6,599.00
4	8" DDCII - Double Dectector Check Valve Assembly - with O.S & Y Valves	4	\$3.100.00				\$2,875.00			\$11,612.00	\$2,765.00	\$11,060.00
				,,	+_,/ >		+_,	+,-		+,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
ВАС	KFLOWS 4" Backflow Preventor - Double Check Valve											
5	Assembly - with O S & Y Valves	2	\$1,125.00	\$2,250.00	\$1,009.00	\$2,018.00	\$1,050.00	\$2,100.00	\$1.054.00	\$2,108.00	\$989.69	\$1,979.38
5	6" Backflow Preventor - Double Check Valve	2	\$1,125.00	\$2,230.00	\$1,009.00	\$2,018.00	\$1,030.00	\$2,100.00	\$1,034.00	\$2,108.00	\$767.07	\$1,979.38
6	Assembly - with O S & Y Valves	1	\$1,525.00	\$1,525.00	\$1,393.00	\$1,393.00	\$1,425.00	\$1,425.00	\$1,445.00	\$1,445.00	\$1,363.92	\$1,363.92
7	8" Backflow Preventor - Double Check Valve Assembly - with O S & Y Valves	1	\$3,055.00	\$3,055.00	\$2,688.59	\$2,688.59	\$2,780.00	\$2,780.00	\$2,836.00	\$2,836.00	\$2,641.00	\$2,641.00
	<b>SS CHECK VALVES -</b> Brass valves & fittings ied lead-free and mfg. domestically.											
8	3/4" Dual Check Valve - Lead Free - with inlet 1" female meter thread connector x outlet 3/4" female national pipe tapered thread	250	\$23.50	\$5,875.00	\$22.53	\$5,632.50	\$24.00	\$6,000.00	\$22.58	\$5,645.00	\$21.30	\$5,325.00
0	1" Dual Check Valve - Lead Free - inlet 1 1/4" female meter thread connector x outlet 1" female national	60	¢20.50	¢1 770 00	¢2( 22	¢1.570.20	\$21.00	¢1 860 00	¢29.40	¢1 700 40	\$ <b>2</b> 6.00	¢1.500.00
9	pipe tapered thread 1 1/2" Double Check Valve - Lead Free - Double	60	\$29.50	\$1,770.00	\$26.32	\$1,579.20	\$31.00	\$1,860.00	\$28.49	\$1,709.40	\$26.00	\$1,560.00
10	Check Valve Asy - 1/4 turn ball valve shut offs	30	\$215.00	\$6,450.00	\$189.47	\$5,684.10	\$209.00	\$6,270.00	\$206.42	\$6,192.60	\$257.75	\$7,732.50
	2" Double Check Valve - Lead Free - Double Check											
11	Valve Assembly - with 1/4 turn ball valve shut offs	30	\$294.00	\$8,820.00	\$264.21	\$7,926.30	\$285.00	\$8,550.00	\$281.00	\$8,430.00	\$256.10	\$7,683.00
12	3/4" Retrosetter with Valve - Lead Free - equivalent to Ford # Retro-2CVBHH - NL	60	\$180.00	\$10,800.00	\$117.29	\$7,037.40	\$133.00	\$7,980.00	\$122.00	\$7,320.00	\$115.97	\$6,958.20
13	3/4" Resetter - (12") - Equivalent to Ford catalog # RETRO-2BVHH x approx 12" (No-Lead Alloy).	36	\$155.00	\$5,580.00		\$0.00	\$126.00	\$4,536.00		\$0.00	\$115.40	\$4,154.40
14	3/4" Resetter - (18") - Same as or equivalent to Ford catalog number RETRO-2BVHH x approximately 18" (No-Lead Alloy).	18	\$170.00	\$3,060.00		\$0.00	\$139.00	\$2,502.00		\$0.00	\$127.29	\$2,291.22

										Page 25 of 185		
				olidated z Supply		guson Ent.	-	tiline rworks	HD Supply Waterworks			a Muni- Supply
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
	$\mathbf{SS}$ - Brass valves and fittings certified lead-free and											
	factured domestically.											
	3/4" Meter Connection - Lead Free - 2-piece with male iron pipe thread - swivel nut - domestic brass	240	\$7.40	\$1,776.00	\$6.78	\$1,627.20	\$8.00	\$1,920.00	\$6.66	\$1,598.40	\$6.45	\$1,548.00
16	1" Meter Connection - Lead Free - 2-piece with male iron pipe thread - swivel nut - domestic brass	50	\$11.50	\$575.00	\$10.44	\$522.00	\$12.00	\$600.00	\$10.50	\$525.00	\$10.28	\$514.00
17	1 1/2" Meter Connection - Lead Free - 2-pce with male iron pipe thread - swivel nut - domestic brass	48	\$33.75	\$1,620.00	\$28.91	\$1,387.68	\$32.00	\$1,536.00	\$28.43	\$1,364.64	\$28.07	\$1,347.36
	2" Meter Connection - Lead Free - 2-piece with male iron pipe thread - swivel nut - domestic brass	48	\$49.25	\$2,364.00	\$40.26	\$1,932.48	\$45.00	\$2,160.00	\$39.60	\$1,900.80	\$39.22	\$1,882.56
	3/4" Curb Stop - Lead Free - lock wing ball valve - full port - female iron pipe x female iron pipe - domestic brass only	120	\$44.65	\$5,358.00	\$36.57	\$4,388.40	\$42.00	\$5,040.00	\$36.25	\$4,350.00	\$35.64	\$4,276.80
	1" Curb stop - Lead Free - lock wing ball valve - full port - female iron pipe x female iron pipe - domestic brass only	60	\$68.50	\$4,110.00	\$56.04	\$3,362.40	\$63.00	\$3,780.00	\$55.55	\$3,333.00	\$54.64	\$3,278.40
	1 1/2" Curb Stop - Lead Free - lock wing ball valve - full port - female iron pipe x female iron pipe - domestic brass only	20	\$139.45	\$2,789.00	\$113.24	\$2,264.80	\$128.00	\$2,560.00	\$112.20	\$2,244.00	\$111.63	\$2,232.60
	2" Curbstop FIP x FIP - Lead Free - lock wing ball valve - full port - female iron pipe x female iron pipe - domestic brass only	20	\$203.00	\$4,060.00	\$164.94	\$3,298.80	\$186.00	\$3,720.00	\$163.52	\$3,270.40	\$160.95	\$3,219.00
	3/4" Corp Stop Comp - Lead Free - inlet - 3/4" cc thread - outlet 3/4" compression - domestic brass	60	\$26.95	\$1,617.00	\$22.77	\$1,366.20	\$26.00	\$1,560.00	\$22.66	\$1,359.60	\$22.33	\$1,339.80
24	1" Corp Stop Comp - Lead Free - inlet - 1" cc thread - outlet - 1" compression - domestic brass	60	\$40.80	\$2,448.00	\$34.43	\$2,065.80	\$38.00	\$2,280.00	\$34.28	\$2,056.80	\$33.26	\$1,995.60
	11/2" Corp Stop Comp - Lead Free - inlet - 1.5" cc thread - outlet - 1.5" compression - domestic brass	15	\$115.75	\$1,736.25	\$99.25	\$1,488.75	\$178.00	\$2,670.00	\$98.81	\$1,482.15	\$94.99	\$1,424.85
26	2" Corp Stop Comp Lead Free - inlet - 2" cc thread - outlet - 2" compression - domestic brass	15	\$191.45	\$2,871.75	\$164.16	\$2,462.40	\$273.00	\$4,095.00	\$163.43	\$2,451.45	\$161.81	\$2,427.15
	3/4" Adapter Compression - Lead Free - 3/4" Compression x 3/4" male Iron Pipe Thread - domestic bass only	100	\$12.30	\$1,230.00	\$10.44	\$1,044.00	\$12.00	\$1,200.00	\$10.27	\$1,027.00	\$9.98	\$998.00
28	1" Adapter Compression - Lead Free - 1" compre- ssion x 1" male iron pipe thread - domestic brass	100	\$14.60	\$1,460.00	\$12.36	\$1,236.00	\$14.00	\$1,400.00	\$12.16	\$1,216.00	\$11.82	\$1,182.00
29	1 1/2" Adapter Compression - Lead Free - 1 1/2 compression x 1 1/2 male iron pipe thread - domestic brass only	12	\$40.68	\$488.16	\$33.86	\$406.32	\$37.00	\$444.00	\$33.30	\$399.60	\$32.37	\$388.44

			-		-		-				Page 26	of 185
				olidated & Supply		guson Ent.	-	tiline rworks		Supply prworks	Delta Muni- cipal Supply	
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
30	1x3/4" Adapter Compression - Lead Free - 1" com- pression x 3/4" male iron pipe thread - domestic brass	100	\$12.75	\$1,275.00	\$11.56	\$1,156.00	\$13.00	\$1,300.00	\$11.36	\$1,136.00	\$11.52	\$1,152.00
30	2" Adapter Compression - Lead Free - 2" com-	100	\$12.75	\$1,275.00	\$11.50	\$1,150.00	\$15.00	\$1,500.00	\$11.50	\$1,130.00	\$11.52	\$1,152.00
31	pression x 2" male iron pipe thread - domestic brass	12	\$58.75	\$705.00	\$49.33	\$591.96	\$53.00	\$636.00	\$48.53	\$582.36	\$48.22	\$578.64
	3/4" Compression Coupling - Lead Free - 3-part union											
32	- comp. x comp domestic brass only 1" Compression Coupling - Lead Free - 3-part union -	100	\$15.05	\$1,505.00	\$12.71	\$1,271.00	\$14.00	\$1,400.00	\$12.50	\$1,250.00	\$12.61	\$1,261.00
33	comp. x comp domestic brass only	100	\$16.50	\$1,650.00	\$14.54	\$1,454.00	\$16.00	\$1,600.00	\$14.30	\$1,430.00	\$13.82	\$1,382.00
	1" Poly Coupling - 1 1/4" CTS X 1 1/4" CTS -	100	\$1010°0	\$1,000100	¢1 në i	¢1,10 1100	<i><b>Q</b></i> 10100	\$1,000100	¢1 lib 0	<i>\(\)</i>	\$1010 <u></u>	<i><i><i></i></i></i>
34	Compression both ends	24	\$47.05	\$1,129.20	\$25.10	\$602.40	\$54.00	\$1,296.00	\$24.69	\$592.56	\$23.51	\$564.24
35	1 1/2" Compression Coupling - Lead Free -	20	\$58.00	\$1,160.00	\$48.65	\$973.00	\$52.00	\$1,040.00	\$47.85	\$957.00	\$45.58	\$911.60
	2" Compression Coupling - Lead Free - 3-part union -	20	\$20.00	\$1,100.00	ф 10.05	\$775.00	¢52.00	\$1,010.00	ф17.05	\$757.00	φ15.50	¢>11.00
36	comp. x comp domestic brass only	20	\$78.25	\$1,565.00	\$65.67	\$1,313.40	\$70.00	\$1,400.00	\$64.60	\$1,292.00	\$61.55	\$1,231.00
	1" x 1" Compression Tee - 1" Compression end runs											
37	X 1" compression side outlet - domestic brass	24	\$48.05	\$1,153.20	\$32.91	\$789.84	\$37.00	\$888.00	\$32.38	\$777.12	\$31.82	\$763.68
-	3/4x3/4x1" WYE - lead free - domestic brass	30	\$29.65	\$889.50	\$26.30	\$789.00	\$28.00	\$840.00	\$25.88	\$776.40	\$25.24	\$757.20
	<b>VES</b> - Brass valves & fittings certified lead-free and											
mfg d	lomestically.											
	2" Gate Valve - Brass - 2", NPTconnection, brass, Top of Handle to inlet Center - Domestic Brass only -											
39	lead free	4	\$89.00	\$356.00	\$79.00	\$316.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$78.91	\$315.64
	4" Wheel Valve - O S, and Y Valve - Domestic Only -											
	Lead Free - Left hand open - outside stem and yoke -											
	flange to flange	4	\$470.00	\$1,880.00	\$523.00	\$2,092.00	\$495.00	\$1,980.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	6" Wheel Valve - O, S, and Y Valve - Domestic Only -											
41	Lead Free - Left hand open - outside stem and yoke - flange to flange	4	\$695.00	\$2,780.00	\$678.00	\$2,712.00	\$642.00	\$2,568.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	<b>TINGS</b> - Brass valves & fittings certified lead-free and	4	\$075.00	\$2,780.00	\$078.00	\$2,712.00	φ042.00	\$2,500.00		\$0.00		<b>\$0.00</b>
	lomestically.											
-	3/4" X 3" Redi Clamp	25	\$4.50	\$112.50	\$4.75	\$118.75	\$10.00	\$250.00	\$4.10	\$102.50	\$8.86	\$221.50
	1" x 3" Redi Clamp	25	\$5.00	\$125.00	\$5.15	\$128.75	\$10.00	\$250.00	\$4.49	\$112.25 \$265.46	\$9.42	\$235.50
	4" Solid Sleeve 6" Solid Sleeve	6 6	\$65.00 \$98.00	\$390.00 \$588.00	\$55.68 \$83.19	\$334.08 \$499.14	\$59.00 \$88.00	\$354.00 \$528.00	\$60.91 \$91.00	\$365.46 \$546.00		\$0.00 \$0.00
	8" Solid Sleeve	6	\$130.00	\$780.00	\$108.73	\$652.38	\$115.00	\$690.00	\$118.96	\$713.76		\$0.00
47	10" Solid Sleeve	6	\$195.00	\$1,170.00	\$163.10	\$978.60	\$172.00	\$1,032.00	\$178.00	\$1,068.00		\$0.00
48	12" Solid Sleeve	6	\$260.00	\$1,560.00	\$220.08	\$1,320.48	\$232.00	\$1,392.00	\$240.00	\$1,440.00		\$0.00
49	2" Plug - Domestic Only 4" Plug - Domestic Only	4	\$15.00	\$0.00	\$18.56 \$26.02	\$74.24 \$144.12	\$22.00	\$88.00 \$164.00	\$22.00	\$88.00 \$157.64		\$0.00
	6" Plug - Domestic Only	4	\$45.00 \$70.00	\$180.00 \$280.00	\$36.03 \$56.99	\$144.12 \$227.96	\$41.00 \$64.00	\$164.00 \$256.00	\$39.41 \$62.34	\$157.64 \$249.36		\$0.00 \$0.00
51	o ring Domostic Only	т	ψ/0.00	φ200.00	ψ	Ψ221.70	ψ04.00	Ψ250.00	Ψ02.5Τ	Ψ2-77.50	l	ψ0.00

									Page 27 of 185			
				olidated & Supply		guson Ent.		rtiline erworks		Supply rworks		n Muni- Supply
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
52	4" Cap - Domestic Only	4	\$35.00	\$140.00	\$28.17	\$112.68	\$31.00	\$124.00	\$30.81	\$123.24		\$0.00
53	6" Cap - Domestic Only	4	\$45.00	\$180.00	\$37.99	\$151.96	\$41.00	\$164.00	\$41.56	\$166.24		\$0.00
54	8" Cap - Domestic Only	4	\$60.00	\$240.00	\$59.61	\$238.44	\$65.00	\$260.00	\$65.21	\$260.84		\$0.00
55	10" Cap - Domestic Only	4	\$88.00	\$352.00	\$87.12	\$348.48	\$94.00	\$376.00	\$95.31	\$381.24		\$0.00
	SS NIPPLES / BUSHINGS - Brass valves & fittings de lead-free & mfg domestically.											
56	3/4" Brass Close Nipple - lead free - domestic brass	100	\$1.35	\$135.00		\$0.00	\$2.00	\$200.00		\$0.00	\$1.26	\$126.00
57	1" Brass Close Nipple - lead free - domestic brass	100	\$2.10	\$210.00		\$0.00	\$3.00	\$300.00		\$0.00	\$1.85	\$185.00
58	1 1/2" Brass Close Nipple - lead free - domestic brass	60	\$4.20	\$252.00		\$0.00	\$5.00	\$300.00		\$0.00	\$3.62	\$217.20
59	2" Brass Close Nipple - lead free - domestic brass	60	\$6.35	\$381.00		\$0.00	\$7.00	\$420.00		\$0.00	\$5.52	\$331.20
	1 x 3/4 Brass Hex Bushing - lead free - 1" male iron pipe thread x 3/4" female iron pipe thread - domestic brass only	20	\$6.30	\$126.00		\$0.00	\$7.00	\$140.00		\$0.00	\$5.42	\$108.40
	1 1/2 X 1 Brass Hex Bushing - lead free - 1 1/2" male iron pipe thread x 1" female iron pipe thread - domestic brass only	20	\$15.25	\$305.00		\$0.00	\$17.00	\$340.00		\$0.00	\$13.15	\$263.00
	2 x 1 Brass Hex Bushing - lead free - 2" male iron pipe thread x 1" female iron pipe thread - domestic brass only	20	\$18.85	\$377.00		\$0.00	\$21.00	\$420.00		\$0.00	\$16.22	\$324.40
	2 1/2 x 2 Brass Hex Bushing - lead free - Meter Coupling Bushing - domestic brass only - equivalent to A.Y. McDonald 4620BB 2	60	\$47.55	\$2,853.00		\$0.00	\$40.00	\$2,400.00		\$0.00	\$36.35	\$2,181.00
	2 x 1 1/2" Brass Hex Bushing - lead free - Meter Coupling Bushing - domestic brass only - equivalent to A.Y. McDonald 4620BB 1 1/2"	60	\$18.85	\$1,131.00	\$17.60	\$1,056.00	\$25.00	\$1,500.00		\$0.00	\$22.94	\$1,376.40
	2" Gate Valve - Brass - 2", NPTconnection, brass, Top of Handle to inlet Center - Domestic Brass only - lead free	5	\$89.00	\$445.00	\$79.00	\$395.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$79.00	\$395.00
TAPI	<b>PING SADDLES</b> - Brass valves & fittings certified ree & mfg domestically.											
	2 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle - PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 2.375	6	\$13.50	\$81.00	\$17.00	\$102.00	\$30.00	\$180.00	\$36.35	\$218.10	\$28.48	\$170.88
67	2" X 3/4" Tapping Saddle - CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D 2.50	6	\$13.50	\$81.00	\$17.00	\$102.00	\$13.00	\$78.00	\$12.15	\$72.90	\$11.68	\$70.08
	2 x 1 Tapping Saddle PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 2.375	6	\$13.50	\$81.00	\$17.00	\$102.00	\$30.00	\$180.00	\$36.35	\$218.10	\$27.89	\$167.34
	2 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 2.50	6	\$13.50	\$81.00	\$17.00	\$102.00	\$13.00	\$78.00	\$12.15	\$72.90	\$11.68	\$70.08

										Page 28 of 185		
				olidated & Supply		guson Ent.		tiline erworks		Supply rworks		a Muni- Supply
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
70	3 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 3.50	2	\$17.25	\$34.50		\$0.00	\$16.00	\$32.00	\$36.81	\$73.62	\$28.53	\$57.06
71	3 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 3.96	2	\$17.25	\$34.50	\$26.09	\$52.18	\$16.00	\$32.00	\$15.11	\$30.22	\$14.53	\$29.06
72	3 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D 3.96	2	\$17.25	\$34.50	\$26.09	\$52.18	\$17.00	\$34.00	\$36.81	\$73.62	\$14.53	\$29.06
73	3 x 1 Tapping Saddle PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 3.50	2	\$17.25	\$34.50		\$0.00	\$17.00	\$34.00	\$15.11	\$30.22	\$27.94	\$55.88
74	4 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 4.50	2	\$24.50	\$49.00	\$21.77	\$43.54	\$19.00	\$38.00	\$34.93	\$69.86	\$28.11	\$56.22
	4 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 4.80	4	\$24.50	\$98.00	\$21.77	\$87.08	\$19.00	\$76.00	\$17.05	\$68.20	\$16.38	\$65.52
	4 x 1 Tapping Saddle PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 4.80	4	\$24.50	\$98.00	\$21.77	\$87.08	\$19.00	\$76.00	\$34.93	\$139.72	\$28.71	\$114.84
	4 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 5.00	4	\$24.50	\$98.00	\$21.77	\$87.08	\$19.00	\$76.00	\$34.93	\$139.72	\$16.38	\$65.52
	4 x 2 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 4.80	4	\$25.00	\$100.00	\$27.80	\$111.20	\$24.00	\$96.00	\$17.05	\$68.20	\$32.87	\$131.48
	4 x 2 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 5.00	4	\$25.00	\$100.00	\$27.80	\$111.20	\$24.00	\$96.00	\$41.33	\$165.32	\$20.83	\$83.32
	6 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 6.625	12	\$24.00	\$288.00	\$26.84	\$322.08	\$21.00	\$252.00	\$40.13	\$481.56	\$32.32	\$387.84
	6 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 6.90	12	\$24.00	\$288.00	\$26.84	\$322.08	\$21.00	\$252.00	\$21.01	\$252.12	\$18.68	\$224.16
82	6 x 1 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 6.625	12	\$24.00	\$288.00	\$26.84	\$322.08	\$21.00	\$252.00	\$40.15	\$481.80	\$32.32	\$387.84
83	6 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 6.90	12	\$24.00	\$288.00	\$26.84	\$322.08	\$21.00	\$252.00	\$21.01	\$252.12	\$18.68	\$224.16
	8 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 8.625	12	\$26.25	\$315.00	\$29.38	\$352.56	\$25.00	\$300.00	\$46.66	\$559.92	\$39.21	\$470.52
85	8 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 9.05	12	\$26.25	\$315.00	\$29.38	\$352.56	\$25.00	\$300.00	\$22.94	\$275.28	\$22.03	\$264.36
86	8 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 8.625	12	\$26.25	\$315.00	\$29.38	\$352.56	\$25.00	\$300.00	\$46.66	\$559.92	\$39.21	\$470.52
	8 x 1 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 8.625	12	\$26.25	\$315.00	\$29.38	\$352.56	\$25.00	\$300.00	\$22.94	\$275.28	\$39.21	\$470.52
88	10 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 10.75	6	\$34.00	\$204.00	\$35.75	\$214.50	\$32.00	\$192.00	\$56.36	\$338.16	\$47.64	\$285.84

												Page 29 of 185	
				olidated 2 Supply		guson Ent.		tiline rworks		Supply prworks		a Muni- Supply	
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	
89	10 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 11.10	6	\$34.00	\$204.00	\$35.75	\$214.50	\$32.00	\$192.00	\$29.64	\$177.84	\$28.48	\$170.88	
90	10 x 1 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 10.75	6	\$34.00	\$204.00	\$35.75	\$214.50	\$32.00	\$192.00	\$56.36	\$338.16	\$47.64	\$285.84	
91	10 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 11.10	6	\$34.00	\$204.00	\$35.75	\$214.50	\$32.00	\$192.00	\$29.64	\$177.84	\$28.48	\$170.88	
92	10 x 2 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 11.10	2	\$43.00	\$86.00	\$46.12	\$92.24	\$41.00	\$82.00	\$65.37	\$130.74	\$36.26	\$72.52	
93	10 x 2 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 10.75	2	\$43.00	\$86.00	\$46.12	\$92.24	\$41.00	\$82.00	\$37.74	\$75.48	\$54.48	\$108.96	
94	12 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 12.75	6	\$40.55	\$243.30	\$45.42	\$272.52	\$38.00	\$228.00	\$65.60	\$393.60	\$54.48	\$326.88	
95	12 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 13.20	6	\$40.55	\$243.30	\$45.42	\$272.52	\$38.00	\$228.00	\$35.56	\$213.36	\$34.17	\$205.02	
96	12 x 1 Tapping Saddle PVC - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 12.75	6	\$40.55	\$243.30	\$45.42	\$272.52	\$38.00	\$228.00	\$65.60	\$393.60	\$54.48	\$326.88	
97	12 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 13.20	6	\$40.55	\$243.30	\$45.42	\$272.52	\$38.00	\$228.00	\$35.56	\$213.36	\$34.17	\$205.02	
98	12 x 2 Tapping Saddle PVC - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 12.75	2	\$52.00	\$104.00	\$57.57	\$115.14	\$48.00	\$96.00	\$75.15	\$150.30	\$63.12	\$126.24	
99	12 x 2 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 13.20	2	\$52.00	\$104.00	\$57.57	\$115.14	\$48.00	\$96.00	\$45.24	\$90.48	\$42.66	\$85.32	
100	16 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 17.40	2	\$62.50	\$125.00	\$63.67	\$127.34	\$75.00	\$150.00	\$54.61	\$109.22	\$67.34	\$134.68	
101	16 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 17.40	2	\$62.50	\$125.00	\$63.67	\$127.34	\$75.00	\$150.00	\$54.61	\$109.22	\$67.34	\$134.68	
102	16 x 2 Tapping Saddle CI IPT - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 17.40	2	\$90.00	\$180.00	\$78.87	\$157.74	\$84.00	\$168.00	\$74.83	\$149.66	\$75.60	\$151.20	
103	20 x 3/4 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thead - double strap - fits O.D. 21.60	2	\$182.00	\$364.00	\$79.07	\$158.14	\$129.00	\$258.00	\$86.62	\$173.24	\$115.94	\$231.88	
104	20 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 21.60	2	\$182.00	\$364.00	\$79.07	\$158.14	\$129.00	\$258.00	\$86.62	\$173.24	\$115.94	\$231.88	
105	20 x 2 Tapping Saddle CI - IP thread - double strap - fits O.D. 21.60	2	\$195.00	\$390.00	\$93.59	\$187.18	\$136.00	\$272.00	\$99.35	\$198.70	\$122.93	\$245.86	
106	24 x 1 Tapping Saddle CI - cc thread - double strap - fits O.D. 25.80	2	\$215.00	\$430.00	\$82.82	\$165.64	\$151.00	\$302.00	\$91.24	\$182.48	\$137.14	\$274.28	
FIRF	HYDRANTS AND PARTS												

											Page 30 d	of 185
				olidated 2 Supply		guson Ent.	_	tiline rworks		Supply rworks		Muni- Supply
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
107	Fire Hydrant 4 1/2" - 3-Way Traffic - Open Left MJ Base 3 1/2" Bury	4	\$1,470.00	\$5,880.00	\$1,380.00	\$5,520.00	\$1,536.00	\$6,144.00	\$1,454.00	\$5,816.00	\$1,420.00	\$5,680.00
108	Fire Hydrant - 5 1/4" - 3 Way Traffic - Open Left MJ Base - 3 1/2" Bury	4	\$1,550.00	\$6,200.00	\$1,437.00	\$5,748.00	\$1,597.00	\$6,388.00	\$1,512.00	\$6,048.00	\$1,440.25	\$5,761.00
109	M & H Fire Hydrant Repair Kit - Traffic repair kit 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$78.74	\$787.40	\$83.00	\$830.00	\$80.57	\$805.70		\$0.00
110	M & H Fire Hydrant Riser 6" - Traffic repair kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$200.65	\$401.30	\$218.00	\$436.00	\$200.80	\$401.60		\$0.00
111	M & H Fire Hydrant Riser 18" - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$255.38	\$510.76	\$277.00	\$554.00	\$255.65	\$511.30		\$0.00
112	M & H Fire Hydrant Riser - 24 " - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$273.62	\$547.24	\$297.00	\$594.00	\$273.89	\$547.78		\$0.00
113	Kennedy K-10 - Flat Repair Kit - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$173.36	\$1,733.60	\$200.00	\$2,000.00	\$173.73	\$1,737.30		\$0.00
114	Kennedy K-10 - FH Riser - 6" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$267.46	\$534.92	\$309.00	\$618.00	\$268.00	\$536.00		\$0.00
115	Kennedy K-10 - FH Riser - 12" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$300.65	\$601.30	\$347.00	\$694.00	\$301.00	\$602.00		\$0.00
116	Kennedy K-10 - FH Riser - 18" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$339.70	\$679.40	\$392.00	\$784.00	\$340.00	\$680.00		\$0.00
117	Kennedy K-10 FH Riser - 24" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$372.89	\$745.78	\$430.00	\$860.00	\$373.00	\$746.00		\$0.00
118	Kennedy 81A - Repair Kit - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$96.31	\$963.10	\$111.00	\$1,110.00	\$98.60	\$986.00		\$0.00
119	Kennedy 81 A - FH Riser - 12" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$236.88	\$473.76	\$273.00	\$546.00	\$237.39	\$474.78		\$0.00
120	Kennedy 81 A - FH Riser - 18" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$255.10	\$510.20	\$294.00	\$588.00	\$255.65	\$511.30		\$0.00
121	Kennedy 81 A - FH Riser - 24" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$273.32	\$546.64	\$315.00	\$630.00	\$273.91	\$547.82		\$0.00
122	Kennedy K-11 - Screw Repair Kit - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
123	Kennedy K-11 - Screw 12" Riser - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel Kennedy K-11 - Screw 18" Riser - riser Kit 4 1/2"	2		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
124	Barrel Clow 2500 Repair Kit - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2"	2		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
125	Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$196.64	\$1,966.40	\$227.00	\$2,270.00	\$197.06	\$1,970.60		\$0.00

											Page 31	of 185
				Consolidated Pipe & Supply		Ferguson Ent.		Fortiline Waterworks		HD Supply Waterworks		a Muni- Supply
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
126	Clow 2500 FH Riser - 12" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$255.10	\$510.20	\$294.00	\$588.00	\$255.65	\$511.30		\$0.00
127	Clow 2500 FH Riser - 18" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$276.97	\$553.94	\$320.00	\$640.00	\$277.56	\$555.12		\$0.00
128	Clow 2500 FH Riser - 24" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$309.76	\$619.52	\$357.00	\$714.00	\$310.42	\$620.84		\$0.00
129	4 1/2" Clow Medal FH Repair Kit - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$95.51	\$955.10	\$110.00	\$1,100.00	\$97.84	\$978.40		\$0.00
130	Clow Med FH Riser - 12" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$255.10	\$510.20	\$294.00	\$588.00	\$255.65	\$511.30		\$0.00
131	Clow Med FH Riser - 18" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$276.97	\$553.94	\$320.00	\$640.00	\$277.56	\$555.12		\$0.00
132	Clow Med FH Riser - 24" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$309.76	\$619.52	\$357.00	\$714.00	\$310.43	\$620.86		\$0.00
133	Mueller 300 FH Repair Kit - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$89.49	\$894.90	\$111.00	\$1,110.00	\$97.84	\$978.40		\$0.00
134	Mueller 300 FH Riser - 12" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$205.90	\$411.80	\$294.00	\$588.00	\$255.65	\$511.30		\$0.00
135	Mueller 300 FH Riser - 18" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$235.06	\$470.12	\$320.00	\$640.00	\$277.56	\$555.12		\$0.00
136	Mueller 300 FH Riser - 24" - riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$264.21	\$528.42	\$357.00	\$714.00	\$310.42	\$620.84		\$0.00
137	American Darling Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$89.49	\$894.90	\$104.00	\$1,040.00	\$91.67	\$916.70		\$0.00
138	American Darling MK 73 - 12 " Riser - Traffic Repair Kit - 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$205.90	\$411.80	\$238.00	\$476.00	\$206.34	\$412.68		\$0.00
	American Darling MK 73 - 18 " Riser Kit 4 1/2"											
139	Barrel American Darling MK 73 - 24 " Riser Kit 4 1/2"	2		\$0.00	\$235.06	\$470.12	\$271.00	\$542.00	\$235.56	\$471.12		\$0.00
140	Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$264.21	\$528.42	\$305.00	\$610.00	\$264.78	\$529.56		\$0.00
	Metropolitan 250 Repair Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	10		\$0.00	\$88.29	\$882.90	\$102.00	\$1,020.00	\$90.44	\$904.40		\$0.00
	Metropolitan 250 12" Riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$218.66	\$437.32	\$252.00	\$504.00	\$219.13	\$438.26		\$0.00
143	Metropolitan 250 18" Riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$255.10	\$510.20	\$294.00	\$588.00	\$255.43	\$510.86		\$0.00
	Metropolitan 250 - 24" Riser Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$282.43	\$564.86	\$326.00	\$652.00	\$283.00	\$566.00		\$0.00
	U. S. Pipe/Metro M 94 Repair Kit 4 1/2" Barrel	2		\$0.00	\$95.77	\$191.54	\$102.00	\$204.00	\$90.44	\$180.88		\$0.00
	4" FH Caps	12		\$0.00	\$122.75	\$1,473.00	\$131.00	\$1,572.00	\$115.00	\$1,380.00		\$0.00
	FH Breakaway Bolts	100		\$0.00	\$5.49	\$549.00	\$7.00	\$700.00	\$6.01	\$601.00		\$0.00
148	FH Anchor Coupling	10		\$0.00	\$111.35	\$1,113.50	\$120.00	\$1,200.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	<b>ASKETS -</b> 150lb. Grade 2 bolts with nuts of varying agths appropriate for the diameter size of the Set/Kit.											
149	2" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200 PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$4.75	\$57.00	\$4.00	\$48.00	\$3.86	\$46.32		\$0.00
150	4" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200 PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$8.50	\$102.00	\$13.00	\$156.00	\$6.46	\$77.52		\$0.00
151	6" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200 PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$9.88	\$118.56	\$19.00	\$228.00	\$8.43	\$101.16		\$0.00

_											Page 32	of 185
				olidated & Supply		guson Ent.		rtiline erworks		Supply rworks		a Muni- Supply
ITE M	ITEMS DESCRIPTION & SPECIFICATION	Est Qty	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
	8" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200											
152	PVC Pipe 10" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200	12		\$0.00	\$15.48	\$185.76	\$20.00	\$240.00	\$9.50	\$114.00		\$0.00
152	10" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200 PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$28.37	\$340.44	\$37.00	\$444.00	\$21.06	\$252.72		\$0.00
155	12" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200	12		\$0.00	\$20.37	\$340.44	\$37.00	\$444.00	φ21.00	\$232.12		\$0.00
154	PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$30.08	\$360.96	\$38.00	\$456.00	\$22.37	\$268.44		\$0.00
101	16" Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200	12		<i>\$0.00</i>	\$20.00	\$200.20	φ30.00	¢150.00	φ <b>22.</b> 37	φ200.11		<i>\</i> 0.00
155	PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$70.26	\$843.12	\$64.00	\$768.00	\$48.12	\$577.44		\$0.00
	20 Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200											
156	PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$117.65	\$1,411.80	\$125.00	\$1,500.00	\$75.88	\$910.56		\$0.00
	24 Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200											
157	PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$149.00	\$1,788.00	\$157.00	\$1,884.00	\$111.00	\$1,332.00		\$0.00
150	30 Full Face Red Rubber Gasket Set - For Class 200	10		<b>#0.00</b>	<b>#2</b> < <b>5</b> 00	¢2 100 00	# <b>202</b> .00	<b>#2 204 00</b>	¢1<1.17	¢1.024.04		<b>#0.00</b>
158	PVC Pipe	12		\$0.00	\$265.00	\$3,180.00	\$282.00	\$3,384.00	\$161.17	\$1,934.04		\$0.00
VAL	VE BOX AND LIDS											
159	Valve Box Lids	40		\$0.00	\$7.60	\$304.00	\$11.00	\$440.00	\$14.00	\$560.00		\$0.00
160	Top Half Valve Box - slide type 24" to 36" - Cast Iron	24		\$0.00	\$22.22	\$533.28	\$17.00	\$408.00	\$43.00	\$1,032.00		\$0.00
-	Bottom Half Valve Box - slide type24" to 36" - Cast									. ,		
161	Iron	24		\$0.00	\$25.00	\$600.00	\$23.00	\$552.00	\$51.00	\$1,224.00		\$0.00
	Screw -type adjustable Valve Box - top half - Cast											
162	Iron	24		\$0.00	\$22.00	\$528.00	\$16.00	\$384.00	\$42.51	\$1,020.24		\$0.00
	Screw -type adjustable Valve Box - bottom half - Cast											
163	Iron	24		\$0.00	\$23.00	\$552.00	\$18.00	\$432.00	\$51.01	\$1,224.24		\$0.00
164	2" Valve Box Riser	24		\$0.00	\$9.00	\$216.00	\$8.00	\$192.00	\$15.52	\$372.48		\$0.00
165	3" Valve Box Riser	24		\$0.00	\$12.00	\$288.00	\$9.00	\$216.00	\$22.60	\$542.40		\$0.00
166	4" Valve Box Riser	24		\$0.00	\$18.00	\$432.00	\$12.00	\$288.00	\$27.34	\$656.16		\$0.00
167	6" Valve Box Riser	24		\$0.00	\$26.00	\$624.00	\$15.00	\$360.00	\$31.64	\$759.36		\$0.00
мет	ER BOX AND LIDS											
168	Meter Box - Plastic box with no lid	200		\$0.00	\$8.82	\$1,764.00	\$10.00	\$2,000.00		\$0.00	\$7.90	\$1,580.00
1.00	CI Meter Lid - Extra - Cast iron .200" to .700" in	200		¢0.00	¢0.04	\$2.052.00	¢11.00	¢2 200 00		¢0.00	¢15 40	¢4 (47 00
169	thickness	300		\$0.00	<mark>\$9.84</mark>	\$2,952.00	\$11.00	\$3,300.00		\$0.00	\$15.49	\$4,647.00
	Total Bid			\$202,624.76		\$162,756.86		\$190,942.00		\$155,549.51		\$127,792.78
	Total Proposed Award to Each Vendor			\$53,434.00		\$51,095.32		\$7,510.00		\$9,457.11		\$95,071.84

Line items above in red font represents a calculation error on the extended price that has been corrected.

Page 33 of 185

Attachment 2

# FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Page 1

1.	Use this form to record	contractor p	performance for	or any	contract	of \$50,	000 or above.	
----	-------------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------	----------	----------	---------------	--

The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.
 This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION								
Company Name: Consolidated Pipe & Supply	Contract Number: #1139-B								
Mailing Address: 5200 Transport Blvd.	Contract Description or Title: Distribution Annual Parts								
City, St, Zip Code: Columbus, GA 31907	Contract Term (Dates) From: To:								
Phone Number: 706-569-9114	Task Order Number:								
Cell Number:	Other Reference:								
E-Mail Address:									
	DEFINITIONS								
products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest	minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the tevel of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.								
	ctual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.								
	al requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.								
UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet to products and/or services; Performed below minimum red	he minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the quirements								
EVALUATIONS (Place "X	" in appropriate box for each criterion.)								
Criteria (includes change orders	/ amendments) Out- standing Exc Sat Un- Not Sat Apply								
1. Work or other deliverables performed on sc	hedule x								
2. Condition of delivered products	X								
3. Quality of work	x								
<ol><li>Adherence to specifications or scope of wor</li></ol>									
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem	or complaint resolution x								
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing	X								
7. Working relationship / interfacing with count	y staff and citizens x								
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time	X								
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule	X								
10. Other (specify):									
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performan	Ce x								
E	EVALUATED BY								
Signature:	Date of Evaluation: 05/12/17								
Print Name: James Munster/Judy Young	Department/Division: Water System Distribution								
Title: Distribution Manager Telephone No: 770-320-6082									

Form Updated 11/16/2016

	CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 2
Company N	ame: Consolidated Pipe & Supply Contract Number: #1139-B	
	EXPLANATIONS / COMMENTS 1. Do not submit page 2 without page 1. 2. Use this page to explain evaluations of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . 3. Be specific (include paragraph and page numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.), on separate sheet if needed (show company name and contract number or other reference)	Continue
5.	deliveries are not always timely, extended wait times for deliveries	
NT-100		

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

Attachment 3

## FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Page 1

1	Use this form to record	contractor perform	mance for any	contract of \$50,	000 or above.

Construction for any contract of any contract of above.
 The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.
 This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION
Company Name: Delta Municipal Supply Co. INC	Contract Number: #1139-B
Mailing Address: 408 Jesse Cronic Rd.	Contract Description or Title: Distribution Annual Parts
City, St, Zip Code: Braselton, GA 30517	Contract Term (Dates) From: To:
Phone Number: 770-277-0211	Task Order Number:
Cell Number:	Other Reference:
E-Mail Address:	
	DEFINITIONS
products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highes	minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the t level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.
	ctual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.
	ual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.
UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet products and/or services; Performed below minimum red	the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the quirements
	(" in appropriate box for each criterion.)
Criteria (includes change orders	Stationing Out Appril
1. Work or other deliverables performed on so	chedule x
2. Condition of delivered products	X
3. Quality of work	X .
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of wo 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem	
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing	
7. Working relationship / interfacing with coun	ty staff and citizens
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time	
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule	
10. Other (specify):	
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performan	ice x
	EVALUATED BY
Signature: Signature jug	Date of Evaluation: 05/12/17
Print Name: James Munster/Judy Young	Department/Division: Water System Distribution
Title: Distribution Manager	Telephone No: 770-320-6082

Form Updated 11/16/2016

A REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.		
	CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 2
Company N	lame: Delta Municipal Supply Co. INC Contract Number: #1139-B	
	EXPLANATIONS / COMMENTS 1. Do not submit page 2 without page 1. 2. Use this page to explain evaluations of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . 3. Be specific (include paragraph and page numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). Conseparate sheet if needed (show company name and contract number or other reference)	Continue
5.	deliveries are not always timely, extended wait times for deliveries	
		and the second
		- S - 0

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):
Attachment 4

# FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Page 1

1.	Use this form	to record	contractor performance	e for an	y contract	of \$50,000 or above.

The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.
 This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION
Company Name: Ferguson Waterworks	Contract Number: #1139-B
Mailing Address: 6145 Buffington Rd.	Contract Description or Title: Distribution Annual Parts
City, St, Zip Code: College Park, GA 30349	Contract Term (Dates) From: To:
Phone Number: 770-774-3247	Task Order Number:
Cell Number:	Other Reference:
E-Mail Address:	
	DEFINITIONS
OUTSTANDING – Vendor considerably exceeded products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highes	minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the t level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.
EVALUATIONS (Place "X	(" in appropriate box for each criterion.)
Criteria (includes change orders	/ amendments) Out- standing Exc Sat Un- Not Sat Apply
	hedule x
2. Condition of delivered products	X
	X
	or complaint resolution x
	x
and the second	X
bits Buillington Rd.       Contract Term (Dates)         City, St, Zip Code:       College Park, GA 30349       From:         Phone Number:       770-774-3247       Task Order Number:         Promain Number:       Other Reference:       Other Reference:         E-Mail Address:       DEFINITIONS         DUTSTANDING       – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performoducts/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmans         XCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or perfor         INSATISFACTORY (Insat)       - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or perfor         INSATISFACTORY (Insat)       - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements         EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate bo       Criteria (includes change orders / amendments)         . Work or other deliverables performed on schedule       .         . Quality of work       .         . Quality of work       .         . Adherence to specifications or scope of work       .         . Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing       .         . Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens       .         . Service Call (On-Call) response time       .         . Adherence to contract budget and schedule       .         . Other (specify):       .       .	ce x
E	EVALUATED BY
Signature:	Date of Evaluation: 05/12/17
Print Name: James Munster/Judy Young	Department/Division: Water System Distribution
Title: Distribution Manager	Telephone No: 770-320-6082

Form Updated 11/16/2016

	CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 2
Company Name:	Contract Number:	

 EXPLANATIONS / COMMENTS
<ol> <li>Do not submit page 2 without page 1.</li> <li>Use this page to explain evaluations of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>.</li> <li>Be specific (include paragraph and page numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). Continue on separate sheet if needed (show company name and contract number or other reference)</li> </ol>

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

Page 39 of 185

Attachment 5

# FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Page 1

1	Use this form	to record contrac	tor performance f	or any	contract	of \$50.	000 or above.

The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.
 This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION
Company Name: HD Supply Waterworks	Contract Number: #1139-B
Mailing Address: 2111 Moon Station Dr.	Contract Description or Title: Distribution Annual Parts
City, St, Zip Code: Kennesaw, GA 30144	Contract Term (Dates) From: To:
Phone Number: 770-423-0583	Task Order Number:
Phone Number:       770-423-0583         Cell Number:       Other Reference:         E-Mail Address:       DEFINITIONS         OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.         EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.         SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.         UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.         EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.)	
E-Mail Address:	
	DEFINITIONS
OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highes	minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the t level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contra	ctual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.
SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contracto	al requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.
EVALUATIONS (Place "X	(" in appropriate box for each criterion.)
Criteria (includes change orders	/ amendments) Out- standing Exc Sat Un- Not Sat Apply
1. Work or other deliverables performed on so	hedule x
2. Condition of delivered products	x
3. Quality of work	x
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of wo	
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem	or complaint resolution x
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing	X
7. Working relationship / interfacing with coun	ty staff and citizens x
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time	X
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule	e X
10. Other (specify):	
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performan	ce x
Е	EVALUATED BY
Signature: Jab ily	Date of Evaluation: 05/12/17
Print Name: James Munster/Judy Young	Department/Division: Water System Distribution
Title: Distribution Manager	Telephone No: 770-320-6082

Form Updated 11/16/2016

THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE REAL PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE R		and the second		
	CONTRACTOR PE Explanation of Outsta		The second s	Page 2
Company N	ame: HD Supply Waterworks	Contract Number:	#1139-B	
	EX 1. Do not submit page 2 without page 1. 2. Use this page to explain evaluations of 3. Be specific (include paragraph and p	of Outstanding or Uns	atisfactory. ed in the applicable contract, etc.).	Continue
5.	deliveries are mostly made in a timely	manner and special r	equests or rush deliveries are made	as
	promised			
7.	HD Supply's customer service is better	r than most		andrag and subscription of the balance
ļ				
			anananan ar aranan aran ar ar ar ar ar	
		an a		
			an a	

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

# **COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST**

Department:	Water System	Presenter(s):	Lee Pope, Director	
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	Consent #6	
Wording for the Agenda:				
1	d Polydyne for chemicals used	Bid for twelve (12) months, to Brenntag M by the Fayette County Water System for		
Background/History/Detail	S:			
there are a total of four (4	) low bidders who were the low	the treatment of water. Due to the variet bidders on different chemicals. s bid are: 1) Brenntag Mid-South, Inc., \$	-	
	); 3) Chemrite, \$5,070.00; and		04,000.00, 2) AMERIC	an Development
What action are you seeki	ng from the Board of Commissi	oners?		
		Bid for twelve (12) months, to Brenntag N	lid-South, Inc., Ameri	can Development
1 ·	5 5	by the Fayette County Water System for	r water treatment, and	d authorization for
the Chairman to sign any	Telaleu uocuments.			
If this item requires funding	n nlease describe			
		ceed \$202,975.00, in 50543031 and 5054	43041.	
	-			
Has this request been cor	nsidered within the past two yea	ars? Yes If so, whe	en? Annually	
Thas this request been con	isidered within the past two yea		Annualiy	
Is Audio-Visual Equipmen	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup F	Provided with Reques	t? Yes
All audio-visual material	must be submitted to the Co	unty Clerk's Office no later than 48 ho	ours prior to the me	etina. It is also
		rty audio-visual material is submitted		0
Approved by Finance	Yes	Ρονίονο	d by Legal	
Approved by I mance		Keviewer	u by Legal	
Approved by Purchasing	Yes	County C	Clerk's Approval	Yes
Administrator's Approval				
Staff Notes:				1



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

To: Steve Rapson

From: Ted L. Burgess

Date: July 13, 2017

Subject: Renewal of Contracts for Water System Chemicals in Fiscal Year 2018

The Water System enters into annual price contracts for various chemicals that are used in the water treatment process. There are currently two active contracts that will expire on June 30, 2017. Both contracts contain clauses in their Terms and Conditions that allow two one-year renewals.

The Water System recommends award of Renewal #2 to three vendors for Contract #985-B and Renewal #1 to three vendors for Contract #1119-B to continue availability of specified chemicals in Fiscal Year 2018 at current prices (Attachment 1). They are satisfied with the products supplied by the contracted companies, and have provided Contractor Performance Evaluations (Attachments 2 through 5).

Specifics of the proposed contract renewals are as follows:

Contract Names	Contract #985-B, Renew Contract #1119-B, Renev	•	
Type of Contracts Vendors Not-to-Exceed Amount Budget:	Annual, indefinite quant Misc. vendors, as shown \$202,975.00 Cumulative	on Attachment 1	
-		Not-to-Exceed	
Organization Code	50543031 (Crosstown) 50543041 (S. Fayette)	Contract <u>Amount</u> \$131,933.75 <u>71,041.25</u> \$202,975.00	FY 2018 <u>Budget</u> \$325,000.00 <u>150,000.00</u> \$475,000.00
Object Code Project Code	531182 Chemicals N/A		

# Water System Chemicals Contract Renewals for Fiscal Year 2018

						FY 2018 Proposed				
	Unit of	Historic	al Prices	Contract	Renewal		Unit		Extended	
Chemical	Measure	FY 2016	FY 2017	<u>Number</u>	<u>Number</u>	Vendor	Price	<u>Qty</u>	Price	
Contract #985-B:										
Sodium Chlorite (80%)	Drum	\$259.30	\$259.30	985-B	2	Brenntag Mid-South	\$259.30	200	\$51,860.00	Renewal
Aquadene Phosphate	Pail	71.00	71.00	985-B	2	American Dev Corp	71.00	200	14,200.00	Renewal
Clarifloc Polimer	Drum	405.00	405.00	985-B	2	Polydyne	405.00	5	2,025.00	Renewal
									68,085.00	-
Contract #1119-B:										
Carasol 20 Liquid Permanganate	Gallon	9.70	9.70	1119-В	1	American Dev Corp	9.70	10,000	97,000.00	Renewal
Chlorine (Liquid) - 2,000 lb	Cylinder	387.95	419.00	1119-В	1	Brenntag Mid-South	419.00	40	16,760.00	Renewal
Sodium Hypochlorite - 15 gal	Drum	33.50	36.75	1119-В	1	Brenntag Mid-South	36.75	10	367.50	Renewal
Powder-Activated Carbon (Aqua Nuchar)	Bag	33.50	31.39	1119-В	1	Brenntag Mid-South	31.385	500	15,692.50	Renewal
Potassium Permanganate	Pail	NA	101.40	1119-В	1	Chemrite, Inc.	101.40	50	5,070.00	Renewal
									134,890.00	_
						Total Fiscal Year 2018 Not	-to-Exceed	:	202,975.00	=

Page 44	of	185	5
---------	----	-----	---

	TTE COUNTY, GEORG R PERFORMANCE EV		ON		ł	Page
1. Use this form to record contractor performa	nce for any contract of \$50,000	or above.				
<ol><li>The person who serves as project manager</li></ol>	or account manager is the decir	then baten	y to com	plete the	e evalu	ation.
<ol> <li>This form is to be completed and forwarded expiration of a contract. Past performance</li> </ol>	to the Purchasing Department	not later that	an 30 da	ys after	comple	tion o
VENDOR INFORMATION	A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF TAXABLE	the second se		IFOR		
Company Name: American Development Corp	COMPLETE ALL A Contract Number: #1119-B	APPLICA	BLEI	NFORM	ATIO	N
Company Name. American Development Corp	Contract Number: #1119-B					
Mailing Address: 821 William D. Jones Blvd	Contract Description or Title	: Water Ch	emicals			
City, St, Zlp Code: Fayetteville, Tenn. 37334	Contract Term (Dates)					
Phone Number: 888-542-8561	From: July Task Order Number:	1, 2016	7	o: June	30, 201	17
- Here Herriber, 666 642-0601	raak order Number.					
Cell Number:	Other Reference:			-		
E-Mail Address:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,			
	DEFINITIONS					
OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded products/services; The vendor demonstrated the hig	hest level of quality workmanship/pr	ofessionalis	m In ave	cution of	antrad	
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me	ntractual requirements or performan actual requirements or performance set the minimum contractual requir	expectation	ons of the	e products/	ts/services	ces.
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum	ntractual requirements or performan actual requirements or performance set the minimum contractual requir	expectation expectation rements or p or each c	ons of the s of the performa	e produc products/ nce expe	ts/services	ces.
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance the minimum contractual require requirements "X" in appropriate box for	expectation expectation rements or p or each c Out-	ons of the s of the performa	e produc products/ nce expe	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change orde	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance et the minimum contractual require requirements "X" in appropriate box for ers / amendments)	expectation expectation rements or p or each c	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change orde 1. Work or other deliverables performed on	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance et the minimum contractual require requirements "X" in appropriate box for ers / amendments)	expectation expectation rements or p or each c Out- standing	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change orde 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance et the minimum contractual require requirements "X" in appropriate box for ers / amendments)	expectation expectation rements or p or each c Out- standing X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work	ntractual requirements or performan actual requirements or performance set the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule	expectation rements or por each c Out- standing X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of the Adherence to specifications of the Adherence to specifications of the specifications of the Adherence to specifications of the specifications of the Adherence tof the specifications of the Adherence to specificati	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule	expectation rements or por each c Out- standing X X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 15. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule	or each c out- standing X X X X X X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 1 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing	ntractual requirements or performan actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule	expectation expectation rements or por each c out- standing X X X X X X X X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of the No
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 15. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / interfacing with co	ntractual requirements or performan actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule	Ce expectation expectation rements or por each c out- standing X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 1 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / interfacing with co 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule work em or complaint resolution unty staff and citizens	Ce expectation expectation rements or por each c Out- standing X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 15. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / interfacing with co 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 9. Adherence to contract budget and sched	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule work em or complaint resolution unty staff and citizens	Ce expectation expectation rements or por each c out- standing X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	No App
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 1 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / interfacing with co 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 9. Adherence to contract budget and sched 10. Other (specify):	ntractual requirements or performant actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule work erm or complaint resolution unty staff and citizens ule	Ace expectation     a	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	s of th
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 1 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / interfacing with co 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 9. Adherence to contract budget and sched 10. Other (specify):	ntractual requirements or performant actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule work erm or complaint resolution unty staff and citizens ule	Ce expectation expectation rements or por each c Out- standing X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ons of the poerforma	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	Note the second
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 1 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / Interfacing with co 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 9. Adherence to contract budget and sched 10. Other (specify): 11. Overall evaluation of contractor perform	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance eet the minimum contractual require requirements "X" in appropriate box for ers / amendments) schedule work em or complaint resolution unty staff and citizens ule EVALUATED BY	ce expectation expectation rements or por preach c Out- standing X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ons of the softhe performa	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	Not Appl
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum co SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contr UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not me products and/or services; Performed below minimum EVALUATIONS (Place Criteria (includes change order 1. Work or other deliverables performed on 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of 15. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / interfacing with co 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 9. Adherence to contract budget and sched	ntractual requirements or performance actual requirements or performance the minimum contractual require requirements <b>"X" in appropriate box fo</b> ers / amendments) schedule work ern or complaint resolution unty staff and citizens ule	expectation rements or por ements or por each c Out- standing X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ons of the softhe performa	e products/ products/ nce expe n.)	ts/services services ectations	Not Appl

	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION standing or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 45 Page 2
ompany Name: American Development Corp	Contract Number: #1119-B	
<ol> <li>Do not submit page 2 without page</li> <li>Use this page to explain evaluation</li> </ol>		Continue
on separate sheet if needed (show	on (ADC) provides great service to Fayette County.	
They are easy to contact and alwa	ys helpful to meet our needs.	
Their customer service and deliver	ry personal are very courteous towards our operators.	
They are punctual with their delive	ery schedule	
Their product meets the requireme	ents of Fayette County Water System.	

Purchasing Department Comments (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

Page 46 of 185

FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION						ł	<sup>o</sup> age 1
<ol> <li>The person</li> <li>This form is expiration of</li> </ol>	rm to record contractor performance f who serves as project manager or a s to be completed and forwarded to the of a contract. Past performance is co	ccount manager is the design ne Purchasing Department no nsidered on future contracts.	ated part t later th	an 30 da	iys after o	comple	tion or
	DOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL A	the second s		NFORM	IATIO	N
Company Nam	e: Brenntag Mid-South, Inc.	Contract Number: #1119-B ai	nd #985-l	В			
Mailing Address	s: 3796 Reliable Parkway	Contract Description or Title:	Water Cl	nemicals	;		
City, St, Zip Co		Contract Term (Dates) From: July 1	, 2016		fo: June :	20. 20/	17
Phone Number		Task Order Number.	, 2010		o. June .	50, 20	17
Cell Number:		Other Reference:					
E-Mail Address	:						
		DEFINITIONS					
EXCELLENT (Ex	<ul> <li>Vendor considerably exceeded m s; The vendor demonstrated the highest l cc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contract</li> </ul>	evel of quality workmanship/prof ual requirements or performance	essionalis expectat	m in exe	cution of c	contract	es
UNSATISFACTORY	<u>(Sat)</u> - Vendor met minimum contractua <u>PRY (UnSat)</u> - Vendor did not meet the services; Performed below minimum requ	requirements or performance e	xpectation	s of the	products/s	ervices	
	EVALUATIONS (Place "X"		each c	riterio	n.)		
С	riteria (includes change orders / a	the second s	Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not
1. Work or oth	er deliverables performed on sch	edule	X			Oat	Apply
	f delivered products		X				
3. Quality of w			X				
4. Adherence	to specifications or scope of work		X				
6 Timeliness	ropriate, & satisfactory problem o and accuracy of invoicing	r complaint resolution	X				
	ationship / interfacing with county	staff and citizons	X X				
8. Service Call	(On-Call) response time	Stan and Glizens	x				
9. Adherence	to contract budget and schedule		Ŷ				
10. Other (spe			<u> </u>				X
			X				
	EVALUATED BY						
Signature:	AZHO	Date of Evaluation: June	1, 2017	·			
Print Name: Th	Print Name: Thomas Henninger Department/Division: Water						
Title: Crosstown Water Plant Manager Telephone No: 770-320-6081							

Form Updated 11/16/2016

Т

r

Page 47	of	185
---------	----	-----

	Page 4
CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	1
Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 2
Company Name: Brenntag Mid-South, Inc. Contract Number: #1119-B and #985-B	
EXPLANATIONS / COMMENTS	
<ol> <li>Do not submit page 2 without page 1.</li> <li>Use this page to explain evaluations of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>.</li> <li>Be specific (include paragraph and page numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). on separate sheet if needed (show company name and contract number or other reference)</li> </ol>	Continue
Brenntag Mid-South, Inc provides great service to Fayette County.	
They deliver multiple chemicals and coordinate deliveries to meet our needs.	
They are punctual with their deliveries	
Customer Service is always willing to do whatever needs to be done to meet our needs.	
Their Products meets the requirements of Fayette County Water System.	

Purchasing Department Comments (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

	FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION					P	age 1
<ol> <li>Use this form to record contractor performance</li> <li>The person who serves as project manager</li> <li>This form is to be completed and forwarded expiration of a contract. Past performance in the pe</li></ol>	or acc to the	ount manager is the designa Purchasing Department not idered on future contracts.	ited party later that	n 30 day	/s atter c	omplet	ion or
VENDOR INFORMATION		COMPLETE ALL AP	PLICA	BLE IN	IFORM	ATIO	N
Company Name: Chemrite, Inc.	C	ontract Number: 1/19-	B				
Mailing Address: 5202 Bellwood Court, Suite 10	04 Co	ontract Description or Title:	er Ch	entic	als		
City, St, Zip Code: Buford, Ga. 30518		ontract Term (Dates) rom: 7/1/2016	To: (	130	1201	7	
Phone Number: 770-271-5576		ask Order Number:		/ /			
Cell Number:	0	ther Reference: $N/A$			/		
E-Mail Address: sales@chemrite.com		/					
	D	EFINITIONS				*****	
OUTSTANDING       – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.         EXCELLENT (Exc)       - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.         SATISFACTORY (Sat)       - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.         UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat)       - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.         Unsatisfactory (unsat)       - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.							
EVALUATIONS (Place	"X" i	in appropriate box for	each c	riterio	n.)		
Criteria (includes change orde	ers / ar	mendments)	Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply
1. Work or other deliverables performed on	sche	dule			X		
2. Condition of delivered products					X		
3. Quality of work					X	·	+
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of					X		
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory proble	em or	complaint resolution			X		+
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing		toff and aitizana			X		
7. Working relationship / interfacing with co	unty s	stan and citizens			x		
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 9. Adherence to contract budget and sched	مارا				x		
10. Other (specify):					x		t
11. Overall evaluation of contractor perform	ance				X		
	and the second second second	ALUATED BY	I	<u></u>			
Signature: July		Date of Evaluation: Ju	ne 5, 20	17			
Print Name: Lee Pope		Department/Division: Fa	ayette Co	ounty V	Vater Sy	stem	
Title: Director							
Form Updated 11/16/2016							

Page	49	of	185
------	----	----	-----

	FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION						
<ol> <li>Use this form to record contractor performance</li> <li>The person who serves as project manager or a</li> <li>This form is to be completed and forwarded to 1 expiration of a contract. Past performance is contract.</li> </ol>	account manager is the design the Purchasing Department no	nated party	/ to com n 30 da	plete the oxys after co	evalua	ation. tion or	
VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL A	PPLICA	BLE I	NFORM/	ATIO	N	
Company Name: Polydyne Inc.	Contract Number: #985-B						
Mailing Address: One Chemical Point Road	Contract Description or Title:	Water Ch	emicals	1			
City, St, Zip Code: Riceboro, Ga 31323	Contract Term (Dates) From: July	1, 2016		To: June 3	0 201	7	
Phone Number: 800-848-7659	Task Order Number:	1, 2010		o. unic o	0,201		
Cell Number: Other Reference:							
E-Mail Address:							
	DEFINITIONS						
<u>OUTSTANDING</u> – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. <u>EXCELLENT (Exc)</u> - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. <u>SATISFACTORY (Sat)</u> - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. <u>UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat)</u> - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.							
products and/or services; Performed below minimum req EVALUATIONS (Place "X	uirements						
Criteria (includes change orders /		Out-	Exc	Sat	Un-	Not	
1. Work or other deliverables performed on sci		standing X			Sat	Apply	
2. Condition of delivered products		x x			••••	+	
3. Quality of work		X					
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of wor	k			X			
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem		1 1		X		1	
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing		X				1	
7. Working relationship / interfacing with count	y staff and citizens		Х			1	
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time			X			1	
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule		X				1	
10. Other (specify):				-		X	
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance X					1		
e de la company e de la company de la compan	VALUATED BY			here and a second s	100 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00		
Signature:	Date of Evaluation: Jun	e 1, 2017					
Print Name: Thomas Henninger	Department/Division: Water						
Title: Crosstown Water Plant Manager Telephone No: 770-320-6081							

Page 50 of 185

Page 2

# CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Ratings

Company Name: Poly	rdyne, Inc. Contract Number: #985-B
2. Use the 3. Be sp	EXPLANATIONS / COMMENTS at submit page 2 without page 1. his page to explain evaluations of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . recific (include paragraph and page numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). Continue parate sheet if needed (show company name and contract number or other reference)
	he Inc provides great service to Fayette County.
We don	't use this product much but their deliveries to meet our needs.
They are	e punctual with their deliveries
Custom	er Service is always willing to help us achieve the best results from their product.
Their Pr	roducts meets the requirements of Fayette County Water System.

Purchasing Department Comments (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

# COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Department:	Building & Grounds	Presenter(s):	Carlos Christian / Jerry Collins
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	Consent #7
Wording for the Agenda:	,		
Approval of staff's recomm	nendation to contract with the Gord ount of \$119,598.67 per the approv		nterprise, Inc.) to renovate the Animal
Background/History/Details	S:		
facade improvements. The into the shelter, painting,	e work will include adding a new fer	nced area to the back that will provide nent, glass replacement, siding work,	nance work will provide much needed e additional kennels for dogs brought door replacement and more. The
Approval of staff's recomr	oved CIP Animal Shelter Project #6	ian Group to renovate the entire Anin	nal Shelter building in the amount of
Funding is available in pro	oject 6565F totaling \$161,502.		
Has this request been con	sidered within the past two years?	No If so, when	1?
Is Audio-Visual Equipment	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup Pr	rovided with Request? Yes
	5	Clerk's Office no later than 48 hou udio-visual material is submitted a	, 0
Approved by Finance	Yes	Reviewed	by Legal
Approved by Purchasing	Yes	County Cl	erk's Approval Yes
Administrator's Approval			
Staff Notes:			



# Fayette County – Animal Shelter 1262 Joel Cowan Parkway, Peachtree City, GA

CCE NO.: 73340-0130 ezIQC NO.: 038029.00

# **SCOPE OF WORK**

May 16, 2017 Revision No. 0

#### SUMMARY SCOPE OF WORK:

The purpose of this project is to provide improvements to the Fayette County Animal Shelter. The building improvements at the Shelter include; painting, glass replacement, countertop replacement, door replacement, awning replacement, exterior siding and new exterior dog runs.

#### DRAWING AND REFERENCES:

• Attachment A – Roof Plan and Building Elevations

#### **DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK:**

# **INTERIOR IMPROVEMENTS**

#### Countertops

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

- 1. Remove existing plastic laminate countertop and 4" backsplash at the reception desk and install new plastic laminate countertop and 4" backsplash. Plastic laminate colors to be chosen from stock colors.
- 2. Remove two (2) existing plastic laminate countertops and 4" backsplash in the first floor kitchen and install two (2) new plastic laminate countertops and backsplash. Note: work to include removal and reinstallation of the existing kitchen sink and faucet.
- 3. Note: all existing cabinets to remain.

#### Painting

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

- 4. Prime and paint all interior gypsum board walls in the Main Office Building.
- 5. Prime and paint all interior walls in the first floor of the Kennel Building.
- 6. Prime and paint the interior walls in the first floor stairwell leading to the second floor.
- 7. Prepare, sand, spot prime and paint the hollow-metal doors and frames on the first floor interior of the Main Office Building and the first floor interior of the Kennel Building.
- 8. Prepare, sand, spot prime and paint the wood window frames in the first floor of the Main Office Building.

Concrete Masonry Unit Saw Cutting

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

9. Saw-cut and remove seven (7) 18" wide x 28" high openings in the exterior wall of the Kennel building for new dog runs.

#### EXTERIOR IMPROVEMENTS

#### Glass

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

10. Remove and replace glass (only) in the two (2) 48" wide by 36" high front exterior windows on the second floor. Note; only glass to be replaced, frames to remain.

#### Painting

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

- 11. Prep, sand and spot prime and paint all of the hollow metal doors and frames on the exterior of the buildings in acrylic semi-gloss paint.
- 12. Prep, sand, prime and paint the new hardi-board exterior siding and trim.
- 13. Prep, sand and prime and paint the wood fascia and soffit with acrylic semi-gloss paint.
- 14. Note: painting of rear lattice, flower boxes, windows and roofing is <u>not</u> included in the scope of work.

#### Awnings

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

15. Remove the existing front (16' wide by 2'6" high) canvas awning and install one (1) new canvas awning (canvas only).

#### Roofing and Gutters

16. Installation of new roofing and gutters is <u>excluded</u> in the Scope of Work.

#### Exterior Siding

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

- 17. Remove and dispose of all existing siding.
- 18. Install 2 x 4 pressure treated wood furring channel to help even out all exterior walls. Furring channel to be anchored into block walls with Tapcon screws. Add furring to exterior of existing CMU for new siding.
- 19. Furnish and install new 5/16" x 4" (exposure) fiber cement lap siding over furring and Tyvek on the entire exterior perimeter of the building and gable ends. Install brick mold around windows and trim at inside and outside corners and horizontal terminations.

#### Concrete Pad (for Kennel)

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

20. Furnish and install seven (7) 4'6" wide x 7'0" long x 4" thick concrete pads for dog kennel run. Pads to slope to trench drain adjacent to building (drain provided by plumber). Overall concreted area is approximately 32'0" wide x 7'0" long.

#### Asphalt Patch

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

21. Patch 30 square yards of excavated paved area (by Plumbing Contractor) in the rear of building and replace with 6" of compacted GAB and 4" hot mix asphalt.

#### Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU)

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

22. Patch CMU openings for seven (7) cutouts for new guillotine (18" x 28") doors.

#### <u>Plumbing</u>

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

- 23. Saw cut existing paving for new concrete pad (36' long x 7' wide) and for underground plumbing lines.
- 24. Furnish and install 36' long trench drain parallel to building for new exterior dog runs.
- 25. Furnish and install seven (7) individual 4" PVC underground sanitary sewer runs from the trench drain and connect to the existing underground sanitary line in the back of the building.
- 26. Remove and re-install one existing sink (First floor breakroom).

#### Guillotine Doors

Furnish labor and materials to include the following:

27. Furnish and install seven (7) individual 18" wide x 28" high guillotine doors at new kennel runs. Note: doors to be installed on the interior face of the new opening.

#### CLARIFICATIONS AND EXCLUSIONS

- 1. Centennial Contractors specifically excludes any work not stated in the above scope of work. No additional work shall be performed without written permission by Owner and an official approved Change Order.
- 2. Centennial excludes testing and remediation of all hazardous material.
- 3. Centennial excludes all temporary dog door covers as directed by Fayette County.
- 4. Flooring work is not included in the Scope of Work.
- 5. Cabinet work is not included in the Scope of Work.
- 6. Moving computers or electronic equipment is not included in the Scope of Work.
- 7. Electrical work or replacement of lighting fixtures is not included in the Scope of Work.
- 8. Fire extinguishers or fire sprinklers or other fire protection items are not included in the Scope of Work.
- 9. Permit costs are not included in the Scope of Work.
- 10. All work is during normal business hours except painting of the interior main office area which will be performed on nights and weekends.
- 11. Design drawings are not included in the Scope of Work.
- 12. Davis-Bacon wage rates are not included in the Scope of Work.

#### **SAFETY**

- 1. All work shall be performed in accordance with OSHA and EM 385-1-1 Safety Standards.
- 2. Contractors must maintain 100% hard hats and eye protection at all times.
- 3. Contractor must maintain all SDS sheets on site.
- 4. Contractors shall ensure that their applicable work areas are cleaned on a daily basis to ensure a safe and clean work site.
- 5. Contractors shall develop an Activity Hazard Analysis (AHA) for each of their definable features of works. Each AHA shall designate the competent person for that feature of work. These AHA's shall be reviewed and understood by each crew member working on the project site.
- 6. Contractors shall develop a Fall Protection Plan for any work over 6' above finish floor/grade and/or near openings and/or on the roof structure. These Fall Protection Plans shall be reviewed and understood by each crew member working on the project site. Bungee-type lanyards and rope grab systems are prohibited on Centennial's projects without written approval from the Centennial Senior Site Representative (SSR). Retractable-type lanyards shall be utilized.
- 7. Contractors shall provide all necessary barricades and other forms of protection as required to protect personnel, other tenants, and the general public from injury due to work activities.
- 8. Contractors shall complete all required safety paperwork prior to their respective preparatory meeting with the Centennial team before mobilizing to the project site. Project safety plans and forms shall be maintained on-site and updated as necessary throughout the project. All required daily and weekly safety inspections shall be documented and provided to Centennial's Project Superintendent as required.

#### DETAILS THAT APPLY TO ALL WORK AREAS

- 1. Any reference to "match existing" shall be defined as to match the existing as close as possible. Exact matches are rarely possible due to wear and tear, weathering, and color variations in materials due to manufacturing processes.
- 2. The site will be occupied during the course of the work.
- 3. Access to site: Normal work hours
- 4. Contractors shall review <u>all</u> sections of scope of work to ensure that all requirements for each work package are included.
- 5. Contractor shall verify all new and existing conditions along with the dimensions at job site prior to the start of construction and during construction as applicable to their specific work packages. All measurements and quantities supplied in this scope of work are approximate in nature and are supplied as a convenience for the contractor.
- 6. Contractor shall obtain approvals in advance for all lay down and storage areas.
- 7. Prior to the commencement of work, the contractor may be required to provide a list of all employees to the Owner. All Contractor employees, vendors and subcontractors (i.e. anyone entering the property associated in any manner with the Contractor and/or this project) are required to have valid unexpired photo identification
- 8. Contractors shall be responsible for their own drilling, cutting, sealing, etc. as necessary in order to perform their applicable work activities.
- 9. Contractor is responsible for protection of all surfaces including those not in the scope of work from construction dust, debris or damage during construction through final acceptance. The methods of protection including plastic, paper, sealing doors or windows, etc.
- 10. Contractors shall provide all necessary elevated work platforms as necessary to perform the required features of work. It is the responsibility of the Contractor utilizing the scaffolding system to perform daily inspections on the scaffolding system with a competent crew member and report written findings to Centennial's Project Superintendent.
- 11. Contractors shall provide adequate advanced notice to Centennial's Project Superintendent in order to coordinate and schedule inspections.
- 12. Contractors shall assist Centennial with development of detailed project construction (procurement, construction, closeout) schedule in order to satisfy the project durations goals; provide projected/estimated submittal developed durations, material procurement durations, and on-site work durations in order to develop and coordinate schedule activities.
- 13. Prior to any shut-down of any system (electrical, mechanical, etc.), Contractor shall supply not less than five (5) working days' notice to the Owner. No shutdown of any system shall occur until the Contractor has received permission from the Owner in writing.
- 14. The Contractor shall perform all work, make all deliveries and have access to work areas between normal business hours (Monday through Friday: 7:00 am to 5:00 pm) and, upon written permission of the Owner, may make deliveries and have access to work areas at any hour of any day, but shall bear without any contribution from the Owner, any extra expense and responsibility for doing so, including, without limitation, its own overtime expense.
- 15. Contractor shall coordinate inspections as required.
- 16. Final clean up and disposal: Remove debris, rubbish, and waste material from the property. Upon completion of work, all construction areas shall be left clean and free from debris. Clean all dust, dirt, stains, hand marks, paint spots, droppings, and other blemishes.
- 17. Parking will be made available by the Owner. Centennial will coordinate all parking and deliveries with the staff prior to beginning work.
- 18. The Contractor shall keep at the site one copy of all drawings and specifications in good order with all addenda and change orders noted thereon and available to the owner & Architect and to his representative(s).
- 19. The facility will be occupied during all work.

#### **SUBMITTALS**

- 1. Countertops
- 2. Paint color and sheen
- 3. Awning canvas

#### PERMITTING

At the time of issuance of a Purchase Order for this Work, it is understood that permits are required for this Work. The Contractor will be responsible for obtaining such applicable permits and the Owner will be responsible for compensating the Contractor for permit fees and any design necessary to obtain such permits or related approvals as described in the ezIQC master contract documents (i.e. permit fees are dollar for dollar reimbursable and professional design and engineering fees are paid for at hourly rates published in the Construction Task Catalog).

#### PROJECT SCHEDULE

- 1. All work shall be performed during normal working hours (Monday Friday 7:00 am to 5:00 pm) except painting in the main office area which will be performed during non-normal working hours.
- 2. Project construction will be scheduled upon receipt of the Purchase Order.
- 3. The work shall be completed within **110** calendar days from date of the Purchase Order issuance. The Contractor will coordinate a specific schedule for on-site activities with the Owner representative.
- 4. Roofing material, awnings and countertop lead time is 4-5 weeks.

#### **OWNER RESPONSIBILITIES**

- 1. Owner shall provide access to the worksite during working hours.
- 2. Owner shall provide a staging area for project related materials and equipment.
- 3. Owner shall be responsible for re-routing pedestrian traffic as necessary.
- 4. Owner shall be responsible for security during after-hours (if necessary).
- 5. Owner shall provide progress payments throughout the course of construction.
- 6. Remove all personal items, electronics, and equipment not specified to be removed Centennial from the work areas in order to allow Centennial an unobstructed work area.

#### WORK ORDER PACKAGE DOCUMENTS ORDER OF PRECEDENCE:

Work Order Package documents shall govern in the order listed below:

- 1. This Detailed Scope of Work
- 2. Owner issued Contract Documents
- 3. Owner issued Specifications, Drawings, and Sketches



# Work Order Signature Document

NJPA EZIQC Contract No.: GA-072115-CCE							
X New Work Order Modify an Existing Work Order							
Work Order Nu	mber: 038029.00	Work Order Date:	05/17/2017				
Work Order Tit	e: Fayette County - Animal Shelter						
Owner Name:	Fayette County	Contractor Name:	Centennial Contractors Enterprises, Inc.				
Contact:	Anthony Ballard	Contact:	Tyler Shelton				
Phone:	770-461-3342	Phone:	(770) 613-2999				
EZIQC Contract	formed as per the Final Detailed Scope of V et No GA-072115-CCE. <u>er Description:</u> ements for Fayette County Animal Shelter						
	Time of Performance       See Schedule Section of the Detailed Scope of Work         Liquidated Damages       Will apply:       Will not apply:       X						
Work Order Firm Fixed Price: \$119,598.67							
Owner Pur	chase Order Number:						

Approvals

Owner

Date

Contractor

Date



# **Detailed Scope of Work**

To: Tyler Shelton Centennial Contract 3200 Cobb Galleria Atlanta, GA 30339	ctors Enterprises, Inc.	From:	Anthony Ballard Fayette County
(770) 613-2999			770-461-3342
Date Printed:	May 17, 2017		
Work Order Number:	038029.00		
Work Order Title:	Fayette County - Animal Shelter		
Brief Scope:	Tenant improvements for Fayette County Anir	mal Shel	lter
Preliminar	y Revised		X Final

The following items detail the scope of work as discussed at the site. All requirements necessary to accomplish the items set forth below shall be considered part of this scope of work.

See attach Scope of Work

Contractor

Date

Owner

Date

# **Contractor's Price Proposal - Summary**

	e rioposal - Sullillary	
Date:	May 17, 2017	
IQC Master Contract #: Work Order Number: Owner PO #:	GA-072115-CCE 038029.00	
Work Order Title:	Fayette County - Animal Shelter	
Contractor:	Centennial Contractors Enterprises, Inc.	
Proposal Name: Proposal Value:	Fayette County - Animal Shelter February 2017 Latest \$119,598.67	
Asphalt		\$2,971.18
Awnings		\$855.89
Bonds		\$674.89
CMU Sawcutting		\$2,246.46
Concrete		\$2,886.21
Countertops		\$2,299.93
Dumpsters		\$462.90
Glass		\$566.10
Masonry		\$570.06
Paint		\$31,886.58
Plumbing		\$18,099.93
Siding		\$49,734.28
Specialty Doors		\$3,655.98
Underground Utility Loc	cates	\$2,688.28

#### **Proposal Total**

\$119,598.67

This total represents the correct total for the proposal. Any discrepancy between line totals, sub-totals and the proposal total is due to rounding.

%

The Percentage of NPP on this Proposal:

CE#	C #: 038029.00 \$ 73340-0130				ette Count nal Shelte					Page 60 of 185
ID	Task Name	Duration W-2 W-1	Month 1	W2   W3   W	Month 2 /4 W5 W	6 W7 W8	Month 3 3 W9 W	10 W11 W12	Month 4 W13 W14	W15 W16 W
1	Fayette County Animal Shelter	110 days	W1		V4   VV5   VV	0   VV7   VV8	3   VV9   VV	<u>10   W11   W12</u>	<u> </u>	
2	Procurement Phase	7 days		•					   	
3	Owner issues Purchase Order	0 days	<b>↓</b>						   	
4	CCE Receives PO Receipt	2 days								
5	CCE issues Subcontracts	5 days		<b></b>						
6	Pre-Construction Phase	44 days		•		<b>—</b>				
7	Submittals	16 days	 	•	   				   	
8	Gather Submittals	7 days		• <b></b> •						
9	Windows	7 days								
10	Countertops	7 days								
11	Paint	7 days	   		   				   	
12	Awnings	7 days								
13	Siding	7 days								
14	Submit for Review	1 day		Ţ					1	
15	Windows	1 day	   	T.	   				   	
16	Countertops	1 day		<b>X</b>						
17	Paint	1 day								
18	Awnings	1 day		<b>X</b>						
19	Siding	1 day		<b>X</b>	   				   	
20	Owner Review/Approve	7 days								
_		Task		Rolled Up F	Progress	Inac	tive Milestone		Finish-only	
		Critical Task		Split		Inac	tive Summary		External Tasks	$\diamond$
I	OCALTCAINUAL	Milestone	•	External Ta	sks	Man	ual Task	$\diamond$	External Milestone	
		Summary	-	Project Sun			ation-only		Progress	
Project: 73340-0130		Rolled Up Task		Group By S			ual Summary Rollu	o 🔶		
ate:	Tue 5/16/17	Rolled Up Critical Task		Inactive Tas			ual Summary	•		
		Rolled Up Milestone	$\diamond$	Inactive Mil	estone -	Star	t-only			





# **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman Randy Ognio, Vice Chairman Steve Brown Charles W. Oddo Charles D. Rousseau

# Consent #8

Page 63 of 185

# **FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA**

Steve Rapson, County Administrator Dennis A. Davenport, County Attorney Tameca P. White, County Clerk Marlena Edwards, Deputy County Clerk

> 140 Stonewall Avenue West Public Meeting Room Fayetteville, GA 30214



MINUTES June 22, 2017 7:00 p.m.

Welcome to the meeting of your Fayette County Board of Commissioners. Your participation in County government is appreciated. All regularly scheduled Board meetings are open to the public and are held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Thursday of each month at 7:00 p.m.\*

# \*The Board of Commissioners new meeting time will change to 6:30 p.m. effective July 13, 2017.

# **Call to Order**

Chairman Eric Maxwell called the June 22, 2017 Board of Commissioners meeting to order at 7:03 p.m. A quorum of the Board was present.

# Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance by Chairman Eric Maxwell

Chairman Maxwell offered the Invocation and led the Board and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

# Acceptance of Agenda

Chairman Maxwell moved to accept the Agenda and to add Item 1a. County Administrator's recognition of staff. Commissioner Steve Brown seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# PROCLAMATION/RECOGNITION:

# 1. Recognition of the Fayette County State Court interns.

State Court Judge Jason Thompson, on behalf of the Board recognized law school student interns, LaJimmie King (Texas), Siarra Carr (Fayette County High School), college intern Carla Whitehead (University of Georgia) and high school interns Sydney Jones (Fayette County High School) and Rose Wilson (Starr's Mill High School). Judge Thompson also introduced Paige Jann and thanked her for her part in obtaining the grant for the interns through the Association of County Commissioners of Georgia (ACCG) and for her selection of the interns.

# 1a. County Administrator's recognition of staff.

County Administrator Steve Rapson awarded the *County Administrator's Award of Excellence* to Parks and Recreation Director Anita Godbee for her work with the 2017 Balloons Over Fayette event and Environmental Management Director Vanessa Birrell for her work on the 2017 Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (SPLOST). Both recipients thanked everyone that helped to make the respective projects successful.

# **PUBLIC HEARING:**

2. Public Hearing on Fayette County's recommended annual budget for Fiscal year 2018 which begins on July 1, 2017 and ends June 30, 2018, and adoption of Resolution 2017-09 establishing the annual operating, capital and CIP budgets for Fiscal Year 2018.

Chief Financial Officer Mary Parrott presented a PowerPoint presentation of the fiscal year 2018 proposed budget to include three items for consideration (Consideration #1. UGA Solicitor and State Judge supplements, Consideration #2. Fayette FACTOR request for assistance with rent increase and Consideration #3. Fayette CARE Clinic request for assistance with rent increase and the Health Department's request regarding a new facility) and the findings of the pay and class study.

Commissioner Charles Oddo recused himself, as he had done in the previous Board meeting, from discussion of items regarding Fayette FACTOR because his family has a financial interest with the organization.

This was the second public hearing of the proposed fiscal year 2018 budget. The presentation included one change to the proposed budget from the first public hearing to include increasing the supplement for the Griffin Circuit Superior Court Judge from \$36,000 to \$40,000 with an impact to the budget of \$24,742 and decreasing the positive fund balance from \$656,897 to \$632,155. By state law the changes also impact the salaries for the State Court Judge and the State Solicitor. The proposed recommendation was to also increase the supplements for the other Constitutional Officers; Clerk of Superior Court, Tax Commissioner, Sheriff and Probate Court Judge at a 2.4% increase as included in the \$24,742 total. Fayette County's portion of funding the Griffin Circuit was \$8,711.31.

University of Georgia (UGA)/Carl Vinson Institute of Government Public Service Assistant Alex Daman presented and answered questions regarding the Classification and Compensation Study. The objective of the project was to update the content and format of the job descriptions, to update the classification system, to collect and analyze wage survey data and to work with the Human Resource department on implementation of project results, if approved by the Board and to ensure the implementation and maintenance of the system. He explained the steps taken for the study. He continued that upon the completion of the project each department would have an updated job description for each position in its department. Each position was assigned one of twenty-two salary grades (5-26) and each salary grade was given a salary range associated with the position. The counties and municipalities included in the Salary Survey included: Clayton County, Coweta County, Henry County, Spalding County and the City of Fayetteville, City of Griffin, City of Newnan, and the Town of Tyrone. The total proposed implementation cost figures are projected based on a current payroll total of \$29,705,787.54. The current compensation plan total was \$206,831 with \$78,000 associated with the study, \$55,000 was contributed to the enhancement in the 911 and Fire & Emergency Services departments and \$66,000 was associated with the new classification for the advanced EMT position.

There were no comments in favor or in opposition from the public.

Mr. Rapson explained the methodology used for the compensation and class study. He explained that the adjustment for the general fund was \$78,000. He stated that there was direction from the Board to review the Advanced EMT position which resulted in the \$66,000 increase and the 911 Operators to be moved up two steps with a \$55,000 impact.

Commissioner Brown wanted to make clear that the ultimate responsibility of the Board was to the taxpayers. He asked why there was no consultation with the Board while conducting the study. Mr. Daman responded that there were meetings with the department heads, constitutional officers and commissioners were invited to attend those meetings. He stated that there was no meeting individually with the commissioners, but that they did meet with the constitutional officers.

Commissioner Rousseau stated to be clear, invitations did go out and that he attended one or two meetings, however from Commissioner Brown's point, the meetings were on the front end as opposed to meeting once a recommendation was determined.

Mr. Rapson stated that the retreat was when the Board gave direction. Commissioner Rousseau stated that the Board did not have the findings at that time. Mr. Rapson stated that was true. He stated that the report was shared with the Board as soon as it was made available.

Commissioner Brown stated that he would have liked to have some dialogue before the plan was inserted into the budget before giving the Board a chance to see it. He asked if Mr. Daman had done similar studies for other counties in metro Atlanta. Mr. Daman stated that they had worked with the City of Griffin, Upson County, City of Thomaston, Bartow and City of Dallas. Commissioner Brown stated that in using the Department of Labor statistics, he assumed that would mean that they used Atlanta, Sandy Springs and Marietta in the study. Mr. Daman stated that the metro area was defined by the United States Department of Labor who received their definitions for the metropolitan areas by the Office of Management Budget which comes from the executive branch of the United States government. He stated that it was not a judgment that Carl Vinson could make. He stated that Atlanta, Sandy Springs and Marietta are included and so were Clayton County, Spalding County and Henry County. He stated that no data was used from International County/City Managers Association (ICMA). He stated that the Bureau Labor statistics were for validation purposes. He continued that the American Water Works Association data was national data from other city and county owned facilities that were similar to Fayette County Water System's customer base. Information from the Department of Community Affairs was used for the eight communities when collecting their pay data and six of the eight have recently provided adjustments to employees.

Commissioner Brown stated that by blending data from national and metro Atlanta skews the data. He stated that Fayette County would never be in Fulton or Gwinnett counties' class. Mr. Daman stated that they were not trying to make those judgments and that those were only used in instances where the other eight communities did not have the equivalent water utility positions and that was why the American Water Works Association was also used to gather data.

Mr. Rapson stated that the minimum and maximum data was only generated from the eight jurisdictions given by the direction of the Board. The metropolitan counties were only used as a benchmark to ensure that there were no oversight when evaluating the grades, therefore it was not mixed data.

Commissioner Brown stated that the data was skewed even when using the other jurisdictions as a benchmark. He stated that the other eight jurisdictions would have had to use a rational budgeting process. He stated that he had a problem with Clayton County being used as part of the group of eight because of the international airport in their jurisdictions. Mr. Daman stated that the direction from the Board was that this was the eight that the County wanted to benchmark against. The jurisdictions were not selected by UGA.

Mr. Rapson stated that there were 251 classifications with 34 classification changes (13.5%) and 6% of the entire workforce that was effected in dollars with this study. He stated that if the findings had been skewed there would be a radical difference in the outcome.

Commissioner Brown asked if there was ever any discussion of leading in some areas, lagging in other areas or match in areas. Mr. Daman stated that the direction was to objectively collect all the data and classification data was generated from the county employees' surveys and interviews. The changes were made where there were changes in duties or evolution of job since the last report. Mr. Rapson stated that the two areas where Carl Vinson was instructed to lead were with 911 and the Advanced EMT.

Commissioner Rousseau inquired about the participation response to the inquiries for the job class. Human Resource Director Lewis Patterson stated that it was 100% participation.

Commissioner Brown asked if the salary comparison across the private sector was for the purpose of benchmarking. Mr. Daman stated that no specific private industry was reviewed for this project. He stated that the Bureau of Labor Statistics was inclusive of all industries; private, government and non-profit sectors.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that one of the inherent dangers was for employees to believe that this study would lead to a pay increase when the goal was to reevaluate the classifications. He stated that he hoped that the County did a decent job in conveying that information, although he was not sure that it had. He stated that once additional clarification was given and the study was conducted, he had a problem with receiving the study at such a late time before having to adopt the budget. Mr. Rapson stated that Carl Vinson followed the original schedule. Commissioner Rousseau wanted to know what mechanism was in place for staff to challenge the findings if they did not agree. Mr. Rapson stated that upon receiving the primary report, the job descriptions were reviewed by the staff and if there were any problems they were given back to Mr. Patterson and then sent back to UGA. He stated that he and Mr. Patterson then held "listening sessions" with the staff if there were concerns. There was enormous amount of conversation with the department heads and staff about how this study was going to be conducted. He stated that adding the changes to 911 and the Advanced EMT position did not slow the study down. He stated that it takes time to evaluate 241 classifications to make sure they are consistent.

Commissioner Brown asked who determined the values for the compensatory factor. Mr. Daman stated that as a third party vendor, with no bias within the organization, University of Georgia Carl Vinson was responsible for rating all the jobs and determining the compensation of each grade. The development plan was unique to Fayette County and only to the positions in Fayette County. Commissioner Brown stated that his frustration was with the strategy used in this process. He stated that the Board never had a strategy session to discuss how to approach the study.

The Board recessed at 8:19 p.m. The Board reconvened at 8:27 p.m.

Mrs. Parrott stated that the first item for consideration was regarding the supplements for the Solicitor and State Court Judge. A PowerPoint of comparison data from Clayton, Coweta, Henry and Spalding Counties. She stated that the Board requested information on supplements paid to other State Court Judges and that information was also provided. She stated that in 2006 the State put a cap on the supplements at \$50,000, however any of the circuits that exceeded that amount were grandfathered in. There was \$40,000 for the supplement included in the current budget proposal. She stated that the other proposed options were \$43,000 or \$50,000 for the supplement.

Commissioner Brown stated that there was no barring with the other Constitutional Officers and that they were added as a courtesy, for lack of a better word. Mrs. Parrott stated that was correct. The State Court Judge and Solicitor's supplements are based on the Superior Court Judge's supplement and would be automatically changed. The other four Constitutional Officers were included as a recommendation and are all currently receiving a supplement.

Superior Court Judge Christopher Edwards offered comments regarding the request for an increase of the judge's supplements. He stated that the last change to the supplement was ten years ago and that during his time as judge he had not used an official court reporter or an official transcriptionist which, according to the County, saved around \$90,000 per year. He stated that the other judges that signed the memorandum agree to sunset the transcriptionist positions.

Chairman Maxwell asked Judge Edwards to give a history of how the \$36,000 in supplement came about. Judge Edwards explained. He continued that when he was made Chief Judge in 2010 there was a Chief Judge's supplement, but he rejected acceptance of that supplement and never requested it since that time. He stated that this was his first

time coming before the Board with this request. He further explained how the circuit was set up and the case assignment system.

Commissioner Brown commended Judge Edwards on a job well done in the courtroom. He stated that he would like to see some reform on judges' supplements from the State and the local government.

Commissioner Rousseau also commended Judge Edwards on the highest level of integrity that each judge operates and on the efforts to collaborate with the county regarding the budget. He stated that the judges play a part in helping the Board in making decisions for the budget. He thanked Judge Edwards and Judge Thompson for being a team player. He stated that he had no issues with the request in terms of equity, fairness or bringing balance to the equation. He stated that his issues would be procedural when deliberating this issue.

Mrs. Parrott briefed the Board that the second item for consideration was with Fayette FACTOR. She stated that the request was for \$7,000 to be funded to help with utilities. The PowerPoint presentation showed the breakdown of utilities and was currently being paid. The utilities ranged from \$450-\$580 and the rent was \$5,544 and the new cost to FACTOR for rent was due to increase in association fees and that cost was the \$792. The request was for a total of \$7,000.

Fayette FACTOR pays rent to another organization. Mrs. Parrott explained that AVPride was the lease holder and that FACTOR sublets from them. Mr. Rapson stated that AVPride was allocating \$792 to FACTOR. He was uncertain as to how the fee was determined.

Commissioner Brown stated that in the past the County had given FACTOR building space. Mr. Rapson stated that was correct and that they occupied the space at the Department of Drivers Services location until 2006. He stated that now that the building was empty, FACTOR determined that the renovation would be too costly to occupy.

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that the owner of the building was already an organization that the County gives money to which was Bloom.

Commissioner Rousseau stated he asked staff to get him all non-profit, non-governmental agencies that are funded by the County. He stated that FACTOR does not fit the category of a state mandated requirement. Mr. Rapson stated that FACTOR was not a mandated agency under the state, but they are an acknowledged agency.

Mrs. Parrott stated that the third item for consideration was regarding other organizations that have requested funding. The first one was for \$14,000 for Fayette CARE Clinic and a request from the Health Department for a more suitable facility. She stated that staff had followed up on grant opportunities and the County may be eligible. She stated that there will be a workshop held in December regarding the grant. She stated that the County could apply for the grant in March of 2018 and it was for \$750,000. The match for the County would be \$22,500. She stated that there would likely need to be a commitment from the County to fund the entire facility to increase the chances for receiving the grant. She stated that 70% of those who would benefit from this grant had to be in the low to moderate income level.

Fayette CARE Clinic Executive Director/Medical Director Dr. Loida Bonney offered comments regarding the services provided through Fayette CARE Clinic and the request for assistance to supplement the shortfall to cover the cost of rent. Dr. Bonney gave a brief history of the clinic. She stated that Fayette CARE Clinic limits the services provided solely to the citizens of Fayette County. She stated that Fayette CARE Clinic was struggling to keep the doors open. She stated that with a budget of less than \$400,000 to \$500,000 a year, the clinic had managed to provide between \$2.2 million to \$2.5 million worth of services to Fayette citizens. She stated that the clinic was not able to award the full

amount expected for the grant. The shortfall was \$14,000 and in addition to that shortfall the clinic was on a year-to-year lease for the office space and the clinic needs a new space. She urged the Board to accept this request.

Fayette CARE Clinic Board of Director Member Alice Jones spoke in favor of assisting Fayette CARE Clinic.

Commissioner Brown stated that this request was a little difficult because the Board needed to come up with reasoning for assisting. He stated that FACTOR was easier because they were created by state government. Dr. Bonney stated that regarding state funding, because Fayette County was an affluent county there was no other safety net clinic. She stated that the care provided was free of charge. She stated that Fayette CARE Clinic was the safety net for Fayette County. Commissioner Brown asked if there was a targeted effort to get Fayette CARE a facility to own, would that be a viable target. Dr. Bonney stated that it was a viable target, but not in the short term.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that he could not attribute the quote to the proper author, but "You can tell how well a community is by the way it treats its seniors, its children and its most vulnerable; there you will find a great community." He stated that his colleague indicated that the Board was opening doors that the Board would have trouble dealing with in the future. He stated that he would humbly submit to the Board to take into account that the door had already been opened. He stated that the issue for him was with the uncertainty at the national level of the Affordable Care Act and the threat of the additional population being vulnerable or without coverage. He stated that one of his colleagues stated earlier in the meeting that the Board's responsibility was to the taxpayer or citizens and that he would use the term "stakeholder" and they are also some who are vulnerable. He stated that he did not share the sentiment that the other agencies were easier to deal with because they are a state sanction agency. He stated that those agencies were still not the Board's obligation to fund and that would be his argument going forward.

No one spoke in favor or in opposition regarding the fiscal year 2018 budget.

# Consideration #1:

Chairman Maxwell moved to approve the budget with a supplement for the Superior Court Judges to be increased to \$50,000 to flow down to the State Court Judge, State Solicitor and the other four Constitutional Officers. Commissioner Brown seconded. Discussion followed.

Commissioner Brown stated that the state had a cap, so if the Board approved a cap then the judges would not come back before the Board unless there was a change in state legislative. He stated that he understands that the State Court Judge and the State Solicitor are associated with the Superior Court Judge's supplement, however with the remaining four Constitutional Officers he would rather go to the 4.2% because there was no cap on their supplements. He acknowledged that Judge Edwards had saved the County more money than what was being requested. He asked if Chairman Maxwell would amend the motion to that effect and to include that the Board would not fund the transcriptionist through attrition once those positions are abandoned.

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that the chart presented shows 8.6%, but actually the supplement increase would be 38.9% and that was a large increase. He stated that the fact that it was capped did not mean that it could not be increased by the state the following year.

Chairman Maxwell amended the motion to approve the budget with a supplement for the Superior Court Judges to be increased to \$50,000 to flow down to the State Court Judge, State Solicitor and the other four Constitutional Officers to include that the Board would not fund the transcriptionist once the position was abandoned through attrition. Commissioner Brown amended the second. Discussion followed.

Commissioner Rousseau asked if this Board could obligate other Boards in that manner.

County Attorney Dennis Davenport stated that it was a unique position because the County pays the transcriptionist's salary, as well as, the fees for those positions, so if the motion was amended to say "through attrition" the Board would not fund that position, then that would line Fayette County up with the other circuits.

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that there was one judge recently elected and as part of his campaign he stated that he would have transcriptionist and he had hired one. He was uncertain the length of time the transcriptionist was hired for.

Mr. Rapson stated that if the Board did the supplement analysis, the Griffin Circuit number, \$30,478.57, would remain the same. He stated that if the Board approved the 4.2% increase for the other four Constitutional Officers the total would be \$69,557. He stated that the judges have a Memorandum of Understanding, however the saving would stay in the Griffin Circuit's budget which was a separate budget and the four counties that make up the circuit would have to agree on how to spend the money.

Commissioner Charles Oddo stated that he was looking at the \$40,000 supplement which was already included in the proposed budget, basically because it was about the same amount being considered for staff. He stated that he would like to do what he could for Judge Edwards and that the Board would need to consider the other four Constitutional Officers. He stated that his inclination was to give 2.4% for all of them across the board.

Chairman Maxwell stated that the judges have not received increases in ten years and comparing the judges to employees was not comparing "apples to apples". Mr. Rapson stated that the employees had received two cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) increases and two one-time pay outs. Chairman Maxwell stated that does not address the last ten years that the judges had not received any increase.

Commissioner Oddo stated that the judges are receiving increases in January 2018. Chairman Maxwell stated that was from the state not the county and that the Board had no control over what the state does.

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that the state supplement was still a raise and the judges had received a few raises from the state in the last ten years. Commissioner Oddo stated that he recognized that the Board had no control over what the state does, however the Board could not ignore that the judges will receive a raise from the state.

Chairman Maxwell amended the motion to approve the budget with a supplement for the Superior Court Judges to be increased to \$50,000 to flow down to the State Court Judge, State Solicitor and the other four Constitutional Officers to include that the Board would not fund the transcriptionist once the position was abandoned through attrition. Commissioner Brown amended the second. The motion failed 1-4 with Vice Chairman Ognio, Commissioner Rousseau, Commissioner Brown and Commissioner Oddo voting in opposition.

Chairman Maxwell moved to approve the budget with a supplement for the Superior Court Judges to be increased to \$50,000 for the Superior Court Judge, State Court Judge and State Solicitor and for the other four Constitutional Officers to a 4.2% increase and to include that the Board would not fund the transcriptionist once the position was abandoned through attrition. Commissioner Brown seconded.

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that he agreed that Judge Edwards deserved the increase, but there were three other judges that do not seem to be at that caliber in terms of saving the County money. Chairman Maxwell stated that he had a state court practice and deals with the State Court judge and every once in a while some of his cases end up in Superior Court. He stated that he had known Judge Edwards for years and that he had worked with Judge Fletcher

Sams, but he did not know him on the financial side of things. He stated that Scott Ballard was a good judge and was elected to replace another judge that had some problems. He stated that he had the least experience with Judge Mack Crawford. He stated that he did not want to argue about whether the state had made any adjustments. He reiterated that the supplement increase would have no impact on the Board of Commissioners' salary. If there was an increase in January 2018 by the state, that increase would impact the Board.

Commissioner Brown stated that Judge Edwards had turned the courtroom around for the good. He stated that he would hate to see Judge Edwards turning the court around and saving the County money and then the Board deny the request because of what the another judge was doing. He stated that with the attrition clause, the Board can hold them to it.

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that he agreed that it was about relationships and that it was about increasing salaries and if the Board increased one at one rate and another at a different rate it may affect the relationship with the other Constitutional Officers.

Commissioner Oddo stated that his comments had no reflection on the other judges. He respects them all.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that the Board had gone down a road that was not proper. He stated that it was not about the job that the judges had done. He stated that he supports 100% the judges receiving compensation for the job that they do. He stated that he believed the Board had opened a door that should not be opened by talking about personalities and other issues that have nothing to do with whether or not they are good judges, it was however about whether or not the judges deserved the increase. He stated that the Board had gone down a road that he was very uncomfortable with and that he reserved the right not to go into detail about it. He stated that in his opinion it was highly inappropriate how the Board was holding the statements.

Chairman Maxwell asked did that mean no to the \$40,000 as well. Commissioner Rousseau stated because the Board had already entered into comments and discussions about this issue, anything that was proffered up, he would vote no on everything. He stated that his no vote was in no way, shape, form or fashion against the judges, but against the Board's procedure.

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that he wanted to be clear. He stated that all the judges do a great job, but that he wasn't sure if they were as conscience about spending as Judge Edwards.

Chairman Maxwell moved to approve the budget with a supplement for the Superior Court Judges to be increased to \$50,000 for the Superior Court Judge, State Court Judge and State Solicitor and for the other four Constitutional Officers to a 4.2% increase and to include that the Board would not fund the transcriptionist once the position was abandoned through attrition. Commissioner Brown seconded. The motion failed 2-3 with Vice Chairman Ognio, Commissioner Oddo and Commissioner Rousseau voting in opposition.

Vice Chairman Ognio moved to approve the budget with a supplement for the Superior Court Judges to be increased to \$43,000 to flow down to the State Court Judge, State Solicitor and the other four Constitutional Officers to include that the Board would not fund the transcriptionist once the position was abandoned through attrition. Commissioner Brown seconded. Discussion followed.

Commissioner Oddo stated that the \$40,000 was already in the proposed budget and he would vote yes for that.

Chairman Maxell moved to approve the budget with a supplement for the Superior Court Judges to be increased to \$43,000 to flow down to the State Court Judge, State Solicitor and the other four Constitutional Officers to include that

the Board would not fund the transcriptionist once the position was abandoned through attrition. Commissioner Brown seconded. The motion passed 3-2 with Commissioner Oddo and Commissioner Rousseau voting in opposition.

Commissioner Brown requested that the Chairman write a letter to the judges informing them of the attrition of the transcriptionist. Chairman Maxwell stated that he would be sending an email. Mr. Rapson stated that staff would communicate the vote to the other counties in the circuit.

# Consideration #2 and #3:

Mr. Rapson stated that the request before the Board was for a \$17,000 contribution to help offset the FACTOR's expenses.

Commissioner Rousseau moved to consider the request from Fayette FACTOR, as well as, Fayette CARE Clinic as one item.

Commissioner Oddo reminded the Board that he was recusing himself from the discussion and vote, specifically related to Fayette FACTOR.

Commissioner Rousseau withdrew the motion.

Commissioner Brown asked for the lease arrangement, break down information and what percentage of the rent was being paid regarding this item. He stated that the Board could make a budget adjustment in future months. Commissioner Rousseau asked that it be addressed for both Fayette FACTOR and Fayette CARE Clinic.

County Administrator Steve Rapson stated that he could have the information available at the first meeting in August.

No vote was taken.

Mrs. Parrott requested that the Board consider adopting Resolution 2017-09 with the changes to the "Total Appropriation" amount for the General Fund to \$50,019,064 and the "Total Budget" amount to \$101,455,687 to address the vote for the judges' supplements.

Commissioner Oddo moved to adopt Resolution 2017-09 with the changes to the "Total Appropriation" amount for the General Fund to \$50,019,064 and the "Total Budget" amount to \$101,455,687. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. Discussion followed.

Mr. Rapson stated that all the pay and class are included in the budget with an impact of \$78,000. He stated that there were three grade changes in the Water System for three employees at \$7,041. The Advanced EMT and the two step increase for the Communications Officers was also included, as well as, the merit increases at 2.75%.

Commissioner Brown asked how many employees would not receive anything from the merit increase. Mr. Rapson stated that it would be roughly 85 to 90 employees. Employees hired after December 31, 2016 are not included and the vacancies are part of that count. The cost to implement the changes in the compensation plan was \$206,831. Commissioner Brown asked if the merit increases would be done on an annual basis. Mr. Rapson stated that the department heads would have to provide the information to him and Human Resource Director Lewis Patterson. He stated that the merit increase would have to be approved each year and it would depend on the budget as to whether it would be proposed. He stated that this would be sustainable because he does not look at balancing the budget one year

at a time, he looks at it for years down the road. He continued that he was comfortable with the capital outlay for future years.

Vice Chairman Ognio asked if the merit increase was like a 10-month increase. Mr. Rapson stated that it would have an impact of that. The resolution would also include the rollback of the millage rate in the budget. Mr. Rapson stated yes.

Commissioner Brown stated that he had a real problem with the Clayton County analysis, but it was done. He stated that he had gotten to conversations with individuals on social media regarding merit increases. He stated that the Board had done two COLAs and two one-time pay outs.

Commissioner Oddo moved to adopt annual budget for Fiscal year 2018 which begins on July 1, 2017 and ends June 30, 2018, and adoption of Resolution 2017-09 establishing the annual operating, capital and CIP budgets for Fiscal Year 2018 with the changes to the "Total Appropriation" amount for the General Fund to \$50,019,064 and the "Total Budget" amount to \$101,455,687. The motion passed 4-1 with Commissioner Rousseau voting in opposition.

# 3. Consideration to withdraw Petition No. 1266-17, George Tchaykov & Diliana Panova, Owners, request to rezone 1.96 acres from R-70 to O-I to develop a Fitness Center located in Land Lot 57 of the 7th District and fronting on Flat Creek Trail.

Community Development Director Pete Frisina read the Introduction to Public Hearings for the Rezoning of Property.

Mr. Frisina stated that the applicant withdrew Petition No. 1266-17. Staff and the Planning Commission recommended approval for the request to withdraw. The petitioners were not present.

No one spoke in favor or opposition of the withdrawal of Petition No. 1266-17.

Vice Chairman Ognio moved to approve the withdrawal of Petition No. 1266-17, George Tchaykov & Diliana Panova, Owners, request to rezone 1.96 acres from R-70 to O-I to develop a Fitness Center located in Land Lot 57 of the 7th District and fronting on Flat Creek Trail. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 4-0-1. Commissioner Rousseau stepped out of the room.

# 4. Consideration of Ordinance 2017-03, amendments to Chapter 110. Zoning Ordinance, regarding Sec. 110-149. -Planned Unit Development concerning Planned Residential and Business Development-Planned Unit Development (PRBD-PUD) zoning district.

Mr. Frisina briefed the Board that this was a new mixed-use development allowing a principal residential structure with an accessory business structure behind the home. The County does not currently allow this type of business in Fayette County. This is a planned unit development with the development size to start at 75-contiguous acres and internally it would allow a minimum of 15 acre tracts. The principal use on the structure would be the residence with the supplemental business use. He stated that this item was sent back to the Planning Commission and two meetings were held for citizens to voice concerns. The citizens wanted to maintain the rural character of the county and staff believed this zoning would fit the rural character. There was a request to reduce the hours of operation of the business use and it was reduced to 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. instead of 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. The signage was tied back to the residential signage instead of the business signage which allowed larger signs.

No one spoke in favor or in opposition of this item.
Vice Chairman Ognio acknowledged that the Board did receive emails on the dais from citizens who were in favor of the zoning.

Commissioner Brown stated that he had concerns. He stated that there needed to be sole driveways because it would improve the access to the road. He stated that the requirement should include buffers for the business side of the lot. He stated that there was significant traffic going to the movie studio and when Pinewood Forrest was developed it would increase traffic on Sandy Creek. He stated that there needed to be something to measure the traffic on the main street and that the County would require excel and deceleration lanes and possible turn lanes to be constructed by the developer.

Mr. Frisina stated that each PUD was its own unique zoning district. He stated that it gives the County the ability to work within the context on an individual basis. He stated that it was catered to exactly how the Board wanted it for each individual PUD. Commissioner Brown stated that he was not asking for excel and deceleration lanes where not needed. He stated that he was asking for something to be included that required it to be deemed necessary. Mr. Frisina stated that was the point of the PUD to make it fit what the County wanted. Commissioner Brown wanted the condition included.

Commissioner Brown moved to send Ordinance 2017-03 amendments to Chapter 110. Zoning Ordinance, regarding Sec. 110-149. - Planned Unit Development concerning Planned Residential and Business Development-Planned Unit Development (PRBD-PUD) zoning district back to the Planning Commission to consider the impact of sole driveways and heavily buffering side lots to hide the business and vehicles should the side lot front a major or another thoroughfare and that the County remove the clause that adequately addresses traffic capacity issues that they would be required to mitigate, if it met any criteria that the County desired, to keep from congesting traffic. Motion died for a lack of a second.

Commissioner Oddo moved to approve Ordinance 2017-03, amendments to Chapter 110. Zoning Ordinance, regarding Sec. 110-149. - Planned Unit Development concerning Planned Residential and Business Development-Planned Unit Development (PRBD-PUD) zoning district. Commissioner Rousseau seconded. Discussion followed.

Vice Chairman Ognio would like to change the language to address the issue of the single drive and the layout of the lots. He stated that it must include the language to add the buffering and he did not have a problem with adding language for a traffic study. Mr. Frisina stated that was a requirement that was included. Commissioner Brown asked if it required that the traffic was mitigated. Mr. Frisina stated that the request would have to come before the Board for a vote.

Commissioner Oddo stated that the point of the PUD was to allow flexibility.

Mr. Davenport stated that the difference in a rezoning to a PUD versus rezoning to some other zoning district was the level of control and review was unmatched. He stated that on a regular zoning district there are objective standards that are set for the zoning district so that someone could build to those objective standards. He stated that the PUD was entirely different. He stated that the developer brings the Board the plans with what they would like to see. He stated that the PUD was a flexible document that the Board would decide how to meet out the flexibility based on the factors for that specific property. He stated that if the Board voted to make every PUD have a certain obstacle, it may not be appropriate for the PUD across town, but it would be in the document so it would have to be applied to all PUD.

Commissioner Oddo withdrew the motion.

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <a href="http://www.fayettecountyga.gov">www.fayettecountyga.gov</a>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <a href="http://www.livestream.com">www.livestream.com</a>.

Commissioner Rousseau moved to send Ordinance 2017-03 back to the Planning Commission and to coordinate the responses into one document so that the objectives are clear and not piece-milled. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

5. Consideration of Ordinance 2017-11, amendments to Chapter 110. Zoning Ordinance, regarding Articles VII. -Zoning Board of Appeals, Article IX.- Policies, Procedures and Standards Governing Amendments and Article X.- Planning Commission.

Mr. Frisina stated that Ordinance 2017-11 was related to the change in time for the Board of Commissioners meetings. He stated that the zoning ordinance was specific in terms of the zoning hearings being held at 7:00 p.m. at the Board of Commissioners meetings. He stated that he had to make the change to the time in the zoning ordinance so he decided to make other housekeeping changes as well. The changes included the following:

- a. the time changed to the date and time designated for the regular meeting of the Planning Commission, Zoning Board of Appeals and Board of Commissioners,
- b. the length of time for someone to be in compliance was changed to 30 days,
- c. the Board of Commissioners and Planning Commission can establish their own time limits for someone to speak in favor or opposition of an item in the rules and procedure, but by state law cannot be less than 10 minutes,
- d. the term "60 months" will be changed to "180 calendar days" and to change the term of office for the Planning Commission in regards to vacancies, to be removed because the Board has its own procedure for appointing and reappointing members.

No one spoke in favor or opposition of this item.

Commissioner Brown moved to approve Ordinance 2017-11, amendments to Chapter 110. Zoning Ordinance, regarding Articles VII. - Zoning Board of Appeals, Article IX.- Policies, Procedures and Standards Governing Amendments and Article X.- Planning Commission. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# **CONSENT AGENDA:**

Vice Chairman Ognio moved to approve the Consent Agenda with the exception of items #6, #7 and #12 for discussion. Commissioner Rousseau seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# 6. Approval of Resolution 2017-10 to adopt the Fayette County Comprehensive Plan 2017-2040.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that he would like to adopt Resolution 2017-10 with the recommended changes from the Department of Community Affairs (DCA).

Commissioner Rousseau moved to approve Resolution 2017-10 to adopt the Fayette County Comprehensive Plan 2017-2040 to incorporate the recommended changes from the Department of Community Affairs. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <a href="https://www.fayettecountyga.gov">www.fayettecountyga.gov</a>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <a href="https://www.livestream.com">www.livestream.com</a>.

# 7. Approval of the revisions to the Parks and Recreation Policy and Procedures Manual as presented by the Fayette County Recreation Commission.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that he had a question related to page 123 of the agenda package (page 1 of the Parks and Recreation Policy and Procedures Manual) regarding whether an annual check of the Youth Association was conducted to confirm that they are in compliance with the Secretary of State's office. Parks and Recreation Chairman Charles McCollum stated that the checks are conducted annually and all associations are in compliance with the Secretary of State requirements. Commissioner Rousseau asked who performed the background checks. Mr. McCollum stated that the county does. Commissioner Rousseau continued on page 148 of the agenda package that the out-of-county registration fee was too low and needed to be increased.

Vice Chairman suggested that it be taken back to the Recreation Commission and a recommendation be brought back to the Board.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that he was suggesting \$15, but that he was open to \$25. Mr. McCollum stated that he would bring that back to the Recreation Commission and then back to the Board.

Commissioner Brown suggested that signs be placed at the parks and lakes regarding no smoking. He stated that it was hard to enforce no smoking with no signs in sight.

Commissioner Rousseau asked for confirmation that registration was opened for county residents first and out-of-county residents second. Ms. Godbee stated that registration was opened at one time. She stated that no resident had been turned away to-date. Commissioner Rousseau instructed to be informed if that became a problem.

Commissioner Rousseau moved to adopt the recommendations from the Recreation Commission with the caveat that the Recreation Commission would bring back to the Board, at a later date, a recommendations as it relates to an increase to the out-of-county resident's fee. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

- 8. Approval of staff's recommendation to award annual bid #1300-B to Faultless Business Center as primary vendor with Rock-It Sand & Gravel and Concrete Supply Co. as secondary vendors for dump truck hauling services for fiscal year 2018 with a combined not-to-exceed amount of \$257,595.
- 9. Approval of Contract #923-B, Renewal #2: Atlanta Paving & Concrete Construction Inc. for an annual contract for asphalt milling services to be used on various project throughout fiscal year 2018.
- 10. Approval of staff's recommendation to continue the maintenance agreement (Contract 1122-S) with Motorola for the 800 MHz ASTRO Simulcast System in the amount of \$541,662.36 for the term of July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 and to authorize the Chairman to sign the renewal contract.
- 11. Approval of staff's recommendation to award annual bid #1299-B to ER Snell of Tyrone as primary vendor and C.W. Matthews as secondary vendor for asphalt services for fiscal year 2018 with a not-to-exceed amount of \$1,332,716.
- 12. Approval of the June 6, 2017 Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes.

Commissioner Oddo moved to approve the June 6, 2017 Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes to include a change to page 6 of the minutes of the word "keen" to "peculiarity" and to include the corrections provided on the dais. Commissioner Brown seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# OLD BUSINESS:

# **NEW BUSINESS:**

13. Consideration of a recommendation from the Selection Committee, comprised of Vice Chairman Randy Ognio and Commissioner Brown to re-appoint Heather Cap to the Fayette County Public Arts Committee to serve a two (2) year term beginning June 1, 2017 and expiring May 31, 2019.

Commissioner Brown moved to approve the recommendation to re-appoint Heather Cap to the Fayette County Public Arts Committee to serve a two (2) year term beginning June 1, 2017 and expiring May 31, 2019. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

14. Consideration of a recommendation from the Selection Committee, comprised of Vice Chairman Randy Ognio and Commissioner Brown to nominate Jean (Heidi) Danis to the Fayette County Public Arts Committee to serve a two (2) year term beginning June 1, 2017 and expiring May 31, 2019.

Commissioner Brown moved to approve the recommendation to appoint Jean (Heidi) Danis to the Fayette County Public Arts Committee to serve a two (2) year term beginning June 1, 2017 and expiring May 31, 2019. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

15. Consideration of staff's recommendation to award Contract #1229-P, Radio Communications Consultant, to Mission Critical Partners Inc. for a not-to-exceed amount of \$290,000.00 and authorization for the Chairman to sign any related documents.

Purchasing Director Ted Burgess stated that with the passing of the Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (SPLOST) the county will replace the outdated public sector radio system. The Purchasing Department issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) with twelve responses and the evaluation committee reviewed the proposals. The scores from the technical review and the price evaluations resulted in a short list of three companies that made presentations. The evaluation committee was recommending Mission Critical Partners Inc. for a not-to-exceed amount of \$290,000.

911 Director Bernard Brown stated that he served as the chairperson for the evaluation committee which also consisted of Fire Chief David Scarbrough, Lieutenant Terry Black, Peachtree City Police Chief Janet Moon, Fulton County 911 Emergency Manager Joseph Barasoain.

Vice Chairman Ognio moved to approve Contract #1229-P, Radio Communications Consultant, to Mission Critical Partners Inc. for a not-to-exceed amount of \$290,000.00 and authorization for the Chairman to sign any related documents. Commissioner Oddo seconded.

Chairman Maxwell explained that it causes him concern when the low bid was not selected. Mr. Rapson stated that the proposal was for the most responsive and lowest bidder simultaneously. He explained the future process for selecting

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <a href="http://www.fayettecountyga.gov">www.fayettecountyga.gov</a>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <a href="http://www.livestream.com">www.livestream.com</a>.

bids. He stated that staff should have opened up the three highest technical scores and not open up the prices for the others. He stated that if staff had followed that process then Mission Critical would have still been the number one pick.

The discussions regarding the process continued.

Vice Chairman Ognio moved to approve Contract #1229-P, Radio Communications Consultant, to Mission Critical Partners Inc. for a not-to-exceed amount of \$290,000.00 and authorization for the Chairman to sign any related documents. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# 16. Consideration of the County Attorney's recommendation to approve the disposition of tax refunds, as requested by Bobby Grant for tax years 2014, 2015 and 2016 in the amount of \$374.08.

Commissioner Rousseau moved to approve the disposition of tax refunds, as requested by Bobby Grant for tax years 2014, 2015 and 2016 in the amount of \$374.08. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# 17. Consideration of the County Attorney's recommendation to approve the disposition of tax refunds, as requested by David Scharber for tax years 2014, 2015 and 2016 in the amount of \$201.40.

Commissioner Brown moved to approve the disposition of tax refunds, as requested by David Scharber for tax years 2014, 2015 and 2016 in the amount of \$201.40. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# **PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Mrs. Alice Jones spoke regarding the need for street lights along Sandy Creek Road traveling toward Peachtree City and the need for a multi-use facility for children in the community. She stated that she was trying to form a meeting with the Board, Mr. Rapson and others who have an interest in the development of such a facility. The multi-use facility would operate outside the realm of Parks and Recreation.

Mrs. Denise Ognio thanked the Board for the hard work on the budget. She stated that during the last budget meeting there was a lot of conversation regarding employee's salaries and how well they do their job. She stated that how well someone does their job was not a reason to give a raise. She stated that the Board should look at the budget without emotion. She stated that as a tax payer she hoped that the Board would look at the budget based on what Fayette County had and not what other counties have.

# ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORTS:

# **Public Arts Selection Committee:**

Commissioner Rousseau moved to accept Commissioner Brown and Vice Chairman Ognio as the Selection Committee for the Public Arts Committee. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

# State Road & Tollway Authority award:

The County received a \$300,000 reward from the State Road & Tollway Authority due to the efforts of Vice Chairman Ognio and Chairman Maxwell. The award was for State Route 92 and Veterans Parkway. This was an offset to the funds approved at the previous meeting.

#### Update on Fulton County's assessments:

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <u>www.fayettecountyga.gov</u>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <u>www.livestream.com</u>.

Fulton County found an original incorporation that was unique to Fulton County from 1880 that gave the Board the ability to revert back to the old reassessments. This law was strictly in Fulton County and not Fayette County. Mr. Rapson stated that Fulton County sent out 318,000 parcels and over 25% of those residential parcels were over 50% and some over 200%. He continued that Fulton County does this every three years and Fayette County does it every year and that was one of the reasons the County does not have those issues.

# July 13 Board of Commissioner meeting:

The July 13 Board of Commissioners meeting will begin at 6:30 p.m.

# **ATTORNEY'S REPORTS:**

**Notice of Executive Session:** County Attorney Dennis Davenport stated that there was one item of pending litigation, one threatening litigation, one item involving personnel and the review of the Executive Session minutes for June 6, 2017.

# **COMMISSIONERS' REPORTS:**

Vice Chairman Ognio:

Budget: Thanked staff for the work on the budget.

# **Balloons Over Fayette:**

Thanked everyone involved with Balloons Over Fayette. It was a great event and the weather worked out nicely.

# Fourth of July:

Wished everyone a "Happy 4th of July".

# Transportation Committee meeting update:

Vice Chairman Ognio stated that the Transportation Committee had a meeting with Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) and it was a productive meeting. He stated that GDOT was committed to meet with the committee and discuss issues. He stated that GDOT confirmed that they were on track with Highway 74 and the I-85 interchange. He stated that the repaving for Highway 54 toward Coweta will not start until next year, but that GDOT assured the committee that repairs would be made as needed.

#### **Commissioner Brown:**

#### Thank you:

Thank you to State Representative Josh Bonner for bringing the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to meet regarding the Resolution that the Board passed regarding making the rowing ages appropriate for the youth rowing program. He reported that DNR stated that they would do it and pass it back to the Board. He stated that an association was needed to increase communication. The next step was to make an official high school varsity team after getting the approval from DNR.

# Water Guardians event:

Thank you to everyone who participated in the Water Guardians event. He reiterated that the County needs to look at the signage on the lakes.

# Floating dock:

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <a href="http://www.fayettecountyga.gov">www.fayettecountyga.gov</a>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <a href="http://www.livestream.com">www.livestream.com</a>.

He commended staff on a great job with the floating dock at Lake Kedron.

# Marilyn Watts:

Commissioner Brown stated that he was getting complaints that Mr. Sparks, the attorney for Marilyn Watts, was not releasing transcripts and that he was fighting the GOP representative's ability to get court transcripts. He asked that the Board would agree to let anyone have the transcript that wanted them and who was willing to pay for them.

# Student in audience:

He recognized a student in the audience who was in attendance for a school project and apologized that the meeting ran so long.

# **Commissioner Oddo:**

# Fourth of July:

Commissioner Oddo wished everyone a "Happy 4<sup>th</sup> of July". Interesting facts: the first July 4<sup>th</sup> was celebrated on July 8<sup>th</sup> and not July 4<sup>th</sup>, Thomas Jefferson and John Adams both died on the same day, July 4, 1826.

# Thank you:

He thanked staff for a great job on the budget.

# **Balloons Over Fayette:**

The event was a wonderful event.

# **Transportation Committee:**

The meeting with very well and GDOT was receptive of working with Fayette County and forming a long term relationship.

# Commissioner Rousseau:

# Thank you:

Commissioner Rousseau thanked those in attendance and complimented staff for the budget. He stated that it would be inconsistent to vote against a particular segment of the budget and then turn around and adopt the entire budget. He stated that it would be incongruent with his position. He stated that his position was philosophically stated and he apologized to staff if they thought he did not adopt the budget for any other reason other than his own personal issue.

# Do not give address:

He asked the Board to consider not requiring the public to give their home addresses when they come before the Board for security reasons.

# **Recognition:**

He echoed the recognition of Anita Godbee and Vanessa Birrell for an outstanding job in both respects. He also thanked Library Director Chris Snell for bringing the NASA Astronaut to Fayette County. It was a phenomenal opportunity for the community.

# Chairman Maxwell:

# Student Ambassador:

The Secretary of State announced the Student Ambassador program for high school students. He asked that this be placed on the county website for more information.

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <a href="http://www.fayettecountyga.gov">www.fayettecountyga.gov</a>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <a href="http://www.livestream.com">www.livestream.com</a>.

# **Budget:**

He stated that the budget process was very laborious and a little "not fun". He stated that it was passed midnight, but that he was proud of the budget despite anything that was said. He was glad that the Board could work together. He stated that it was important to him that it was a balanced budget, not an increased budget and that there were no tax increases. By definition the Board did not increase taxes and the millage rate was rolled back.

#### Thank you:

He thanked staff for the work on the budget. He thanked those who have assisted through this process.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION:

**One Item of Pending Litigation, One Item of Threatening Litigation, One Item of Personnel and Review of the June 6, 2017 Executive Session Minutes:** Commissioner Brown moved to go into Executive Session. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

The Board recessed into Executive Session at 12:08 a.m. and returned to Official Session at 1:00 a.m.

**Return to Official Session and Approval to Sign the Executive Session Affidavit:** Vice Chairman Ognio moved to return to Official Session and for the Chairman to sign the Executive Session Affidavit. Commissioner Brown seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

**Approval of the June 6, 2017 Executive Session Minutes:** Vice Chairman Ognio moved to approve the June 6, 2017 Executive Session Minutes. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

Commissioner Brown moved to adjourn the June 22, 2017 Board of Commissioners meeting. Commissioner Rousseau seconded. Discussion followed.

Commissioner Oddo stated that he had an additional motion to add before adjourning.

Commissioner Brown withdrew the motion. Commissioner Rousseau withdrew the second.

Commissioner Oddo stated that he would like to consider compensation for Mr. Rapson based on what he had accomplished.

Commissioner Oddo moved to add to the County Administrator's base income over the next 12 months, 2.4% spread out evenly over 12 months, to end after one year and that it would be up to the Board to renew or not to renew. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. Discussion followed.

Commissioner Brown stated that he would ordinarily support this. He stated that not having department heads present at the retreat after a 5-0 vote to do so, and with no opportunity to be in the strategic planning of the compensation and pay study, he believed the organization chart was being turned upside down. He stated that the County Administrator had the best compensation package in the history of Fayette County and that he had received all the COLAs and all the one-time payments. He stated that he wished there was a better transition into the budget. He stated that he was not happy seeing the Carl Vinson report as late as June 19. He stated that he would not support the motion at this time.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that there were some items and issues that were recently raised that have come to his attention that he was not privy to prior to the meeting and that he would abstained from the vote to review the information further.

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <u>www.fayettecountyga.gov</u>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <u>www.livestream.com</u>.

Commissioner Oddo moved to add to the County Administrator's base income over the next 12 months, 2.4% spread out evenly over 12 months, to end after one year and that it would be up to the Board to renew or not to renew. Vice Chairman Ognio seconded. The motion passed 3-1-1 with Commissioner Brown voting in opposition and Commissioner Rousseau abstaining from the vote to further review the information he received.

# ADJOURNMENT:

Vice Chairman Ognio moved to adjourn the June 22, 2017 Board of Commissioners meeting. Commissioner Brown seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

The June 22, 2017 Board of Commissioners meeting adjourned at 1:05 a.m.

Tameca P. White, County Clerk

Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman

The foregoing minutes were duly approved at an official meeting of the Board of Commissioners of Fayette County, Georgia, held on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of July 2017. Referenced attachments are available upon request at the County Clerk's Office.

Tameca P. White, County Clerk

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and written material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at <a href="http://www.fayettecountyga.gov">www.fayettecountyga.gov</a>. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at <a href="http://www.livestream.com">www.livestream.com</a>.

# **COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST**

Page 82 of 185

				1			
Department:	Public Works	Presenter(s):	Phil Mallon, Directo	r			
Meeting Date:	Thursday, May 25, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #9				
Wording for the Agenda:							
Staff update on the propo	request for Fayette County to enter	SR 92 at Antioch Road and Seay Ro r into a Local Government Lighting Ag					
Background/History/Detail	S:						
one at the highway's inter		Georgia Department of Transportati second at Seay Road. At the time, the					
	y developed, GDOT was able to ad	including two public meetings in 201 dress the questions raised in January					
	· · ·	project, review the April 5 letter (repart of the above-referenced agreement of the above-referenced agreement		OT will be present			
Approval of GDOT's requ	· · · ·	rs? a Local Government Lighting Agreer	ment and Landscapin	g Maintenance			
Agreement for the project							
		be annual costs associated with powe	er and landscape mai	ntenance.			
Has this request been cor	sidered within the past two years?	Yes If so, whe	en? Special Called	d Mtg on 6/2/15			
Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*		Yes Backup F	rovided with Request? Yes				
All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also your department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.							
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	d by Legal				
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	nty Clerk's Approval Yes				

Administrator's Approval

Staff Notes:

Page 83 of 185

State Highways

**Roundabout Area** 

8

Miles

# GDOT Roundabout - SR 92 @ Antioch Road





2



#### GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Page 84 of 185

One Georgia Center, 600 West Peachtree Street, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30308 Telephone: (404) 631-1000

November 19, 2013

Phil Mallon, P.E., County Engineer Fayette County Public Works Department 115 McDonough Road Fayetteville, GA 30215

Subject: Project Support for Roundabout Consideration Project: State Route 92 at Antioch Road

Dear Mr. Mallon:

The Department has completed a statewide review of intersections where a roundabout can improve safety or operation efficiency. Based on its current control configuration and functionality, State Route 92 at Antioch Road has been identified as a candidate location for a roundabout. To validate the roundabout as a feasible alternative during the project's conceptual phase, the Department is requesting Fayette County agree to the following:

- The full and entire cost of the electric energy use for any lighting installed as needed or required.
- Any maintenance costs associated with landscaping of the intersection, after construction is complete.

If you support the consideration of a roundabout as a feasible alternative and agree to the terms listed above, submit a letter stating your agreement to provide energy and any landscaping maintenance at the location. If a roundabout is selected as the preferred alternative, a formal Local Government Lighting Project Agreement form with proposed costs will be executed during the preliminary design phase.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions or need any additional information please contact Michael Presley, the District Traffic Engineer, at 706-646-6676, or write the district office at Georgia Department of Transportation, District Three, 115 Transportation Boulevard, Thomaston, Georgia, 30286

Sincerely,

mili

Michael Presley, P.E. District 3 Traffic Engineer

Page 85 of 185



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

May 9, 2014

Mr. Michael Presley District Traffic Engineer Georgia Department of Transportation, District 3 115 Transportation Boulevard Thomaston, GA 30286

RE: Fayette County – Project Support for Safety Improvement at SR 92, Seay Road and Harp Road (GDOT PI 0009972)

Dear Mr. Presley,

Thank you for identifying the intersection of SR 92, Seay Road and Harp Road as a potential safety project.

Through this intersection safety program, we understand that, if determined feasible, the Georgia Department of Transportation would fund all costs associated with project design and construction (i.e., PE, ROW, UTL and CST phases) and Fayette County would be responsible for two specific items:

- The full and entire cost of the electric energy used for any lighting installed as part of the project; and
- Any maintenance costs associated with landscaping of the intersection, postconstruction.

Fayette County supports the consideration of various safety and operational improvements, including a roundabout, at this location as well as the adjacent intersection of Antioch Road and SR 92 (GDOT PI 0009971). We understand the two projects are being evaluated together to explore comprehensive solutions for the area. Similar to the concerns expressed in previous correspondence, Fayette County asks that the following types of information be provided for local consideration and input before final decisions are made.

- What is the project footprint with respect to surrounding buildings and infrastructure;
- What peak-hour delays are expected with and without the improvements; and
- What will happen if SR 92 is widen to four lanes?

05/09/14 Pg. 2

I understand the answers to these questions are not currently available but should be addressed as part of the preliminary engineering process. We look forward to working with you as this project advances. Please contact Mr. Phil Mallon (770-320-6010) if there is anything County staff can do to assist with this project.

Sincerely,

Steve Brown Chairman, Fayette County Board of Commissioners



#### **GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

One Georgia Center, 600 West Peachtree Street, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30308 Telephone: (404) 631-1000

April 5, 2017

Commissioner Steve Brown Fayette County Board of Commissioners 100 Stonewall Avenue West, Suite 100 Fayetteville, Georgia 30214

RE: PI 0009971 & 0009972, Fayette County - Roundabout Projects on SR 92

Commissioner Brown:

The Department received a letter dated May 9, 2014 (see attached) requesting additional information regarding the two subject roundabout projects on SR 92 in Fayette County. At the time of your letter, project design had not progressed far enough for that information to be available. Since that time, preliminary design and Environmental studies have progressed significantly. Preliminary plans have been completed and the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) inspection has been requested from the Office of Engineering Services. The PFPR is scheduled for April 19, 2017 at the Fayette County Public Works Office. Also, the Environmental document is in development for submittal to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Furthermore, Right-of-Way (ROW) plans are in development and pre-acquisition activities have been initiated with the District 3 ROW Acquisition Manager. Your initial questions as presented in the letter as well as the Department's responses are included below:

• What is the project footprint with respect to the surrounding buildings and infrastructure?

The proposed projects would reduce crash frequency as well as improve operational efficiency at the intersections of SR 92 @ Antioch Road/Lockwood Road and SR 92 at Seay Road/Harp Road. The proposed length is approximately 0.5 miles. The projects are located approximately 1.5 miles south of the city limits of Fayetteville. These projects will construct two roundabouts, one at SR 92 @ Antioch Road/Lockwood Road (PI 0009971) and the second at SR 92 @ Seay Road/Harp Road (PI 0009972). The locations of the roundabouts have been designed to minimize impacts to the surrounding properties. ROW plans are currently in development. At this point, ROW acquisition and/or easement is anticipated from a total of 18 parcels. No relocations are anticipated.

• What peak hour delays are expected with and without the improvements?

The primary purpose of these projects is to reduce severe crash frequency at the two locations. A roundabout would provide the greatest reduction in crash rate at each location. Operational analysis was performed on these projects as a part of the approved Concept Report which can be found for public view at the following location:

http://gtas.dot.ga.gov/0009971/concept%20report/0009971\_0009972\_CR\_sep2015.pdf.

For both projects, the analysis showed a roundabout would perform at acceptable levels in the design year. Without the improvements, the current delays during peak hours could be expected.

• What will happen if SR 92 is widened to four lanes?

The potential future widening of SR 92 has been taken into account in the preliminary design as currently proposed. The roundabouts will be constructed with the diameter of a multi-lane roundabout but will be striped for a single lane, initially. In the future, the center islands can be reduced to add a second lane without additional impacts to property adjacent to the intersections. Also, SR 92 between the two roundabouts will be constructed with the width of a four lane roadway but striped as a two lane, initially.

We look forward to the successful delivery of these projects. If you need any additional information, please contact your Project Manager, Sam Allen, at (404) 865-3114 or saallen@dot.ga.gov.

Sincerely,

albert Shilty

Albert V. Shelby, III State Program Delivery Administrator

AVS:KWN:JTB:SA

Attachments

cc: Adam Smith, District 3 Preconstruction Engineer Phil Mallon, Fayette County









#### GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

One Georgia Center, 600 West Peachtree Street, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30308 Telephone: (404) 631-1000

March 14, 2016

Mr. Steven A. Rapson, County Administrator Fayette County 140 Stonewall Avenue West, Suite 100 Fayetteville, GA 30214

# RE: Roundabout lighting assistance for SR 92 @ CR 149/Antioch Rd & CR 308/Lockwood Rd, SR 92 @ CR 138/Seay Rd & CR 129/Harp Rd – P.I. No. 0009971 & 0009972; Fayette County

Dear Mr. Rapson,

The Department will require a signed agreement for roundabout lighting installed as part of the above referenced projects. The lighting shall be administered in the form of a *Local Government Lighting Project Agreement* (LGLPA). It will be the responsibility of the County to provide the Energy, Operation and Maintenance for the system. The Department shall be responsible for the design and installation, including all costs of materials for the system.

Attached for your review are five (5) original copies of the proposed LGLPA between the Georgia Department of Transportation and Fayette County, for County and State participation. It is requested that a Resolution be secured from the Board of Commissioners before entering into the Agreement. By virtue of the Resolution, the County can then enter into the Agreement. The Resolution/Agreement process can be done concurrently. If you concur with the terms of this Agreement, please attach an official copy of the Resolution (including all signatures and seals) to each of the Agreements. Then, please obtain the necessary signatures and appropriate seals from the Board of Commissioners on all 5 copies of the Agreement and return all 5 copies to the Office of Design Policy & Support for further handling. *Please do not fill in the date on the first page of the Agreement as this will be completed upon execution by the Department*. We will return one copy of the executed Agreement for your files.

Also enclosed is a Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit. We ask that this be completed and returned along with the LGLPA package.

If you have any questions or need any additional assistance, please contact Robert Graham at (404) 631-1684.

Sincerely, W\_th\_D-fl

For: Brent A. Story, P.E. State Design Policy Engineer

BAS: WDT: rg

 cc: Sam M. Wellborn, State Transportation Board, Congressional District 3 Meg Pirkle, Chief Engineer Michael Presley, District 3 Engineer Hiral Patel, Director of Engineering

#### AGREEMENT

#### BETWEEN

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **STATE OF GEORGIA**

#### AND

# **FAYETTE COUNTY**

This Agreement is made and entered into this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016, by and between the DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, an agency of the State of Georgia, hereinafter called the **DEPARTMENT**, and FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA acting by and through its Board of Commissioners, hereinafter called the **COUNTY**.

WHEREAS, the COUNTY has represented to the DEPARTMENT a desire to obtain roundabout lighting as part of the SR 92 @ CR 149/Antioch Rd & CR 308/Lockwood Rd project, said lighting to be installed under P.I. No. 0009971, Fayette County;

WHEREAS, the COUNTY has represented to the DEPARTMENT a desire to participate in: 1) Providing the Energy and 2) the Operation and Maintenance of said lighting system at the aforesaid location, and the DEPARTMENT has relied upon such representation; and

Page 93 of 185

WHEREAS, the DEPARTMENT has indicated a willingness to fund the materials and installation for the said lighting system at the aforesaid location, with funds of the DEPARTMENT, funds apportioned to the DEPARTMENT by the Federal Highway Administration under Title 23, United States Code, Section 104, or a combination of funds from any of the above sources.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises made and of the benefits to flow from one to the other, the DEPARTMENT and the COUNTY hereby agree each with the other as follows:

1. The DEPARTMENT or its assigns shall cause the installation of all materials and equipment necessary for roundabout lighting as part of the SR 92 @ CR 149/Antioch Rd & CR 308/Lockwood Rd project, said lighting to be installed under P.I. No. 0009971, Fayette County as shown on Attachment "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

2. Upon completion of installation of said lighting system, and acceptance by the DEPARTMENT, the COUNTY shall assume full responsibility for the operation, the repair and the maintenance of the entire lighting system, including but not limited to repairs of any damages, replacement of lamps, ballasts, luminaires, lighting structures, associated equipment, conduit, wiring and service equipment, and the requirements of the Georgia Utility Facility Protection Act. The COUNTY further agrees to provide and pay for all the energy required for the operation of said lighting system.

Page 94 of 185

3. The DEPARTMENT shall retain ownership of all materials and various components of the entire lighting system. The COUNTY, in its operation and maintenance of the lighting system, shall not in any way alter the type or location of any of the various components which make up the entire lighting system without prior written approval from the DEPARTMENT.

4. This Agreement is considered as continuing for a period of fifty (50) years from the date of execution of this Agreement. The DEPARTMENT reserves the right to terminate this Agreement, at any time for just cause, upon thirty (30) days written notice to the COUNTY.

5. It is understood by the COUNTY that the DEPARTMENT has relied upon the COUNTY'S representation of providing for the energy, maintenance, and operation of the lighting represented by this Agreement; therefore, if the COUNTY elects to de-energize or fails to properly maintain or to repair the lighting system during the term of this Agreement, the COUNTY shall reimburse the DEPARTMENT the materials cost for the lighting system. If the COUNTY elects to de-energize or fails to properly maintain any individual unit within the lighting system, the COUNTY shall reimburse the DEPARTMENT for the material cost for the individual unit which will include all costs for the pole, luminaires, foundations, and associated wiring. The DEPARTMENT will provide the COUNTY with a statement of material costs upon completion of the installation.

The covenants herein contained shall, except as otherwise provided accrue to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

3 of 5

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have made and executed this Agreement the day

and year first above written.

# **RECOMMENDED:**

# FAYETTE COUNTY

BY:

Chairman, Board of Commissioners

(SEAL)

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

(SEAL)

BY\_\_\_\_\_Commissioner

Notary Public

WITNESS

This Agreement approved by the Board of Commissioners at a meeting held at

this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_,

2016.

ATTEST:

Treasurer

**Commission Clerk** 

# Attachment "A"



# **Project Location Map**

# SR 92 @ CR 149/Antioch Rd & CR 308/Lockwood Rd Fayette County P.I. No. 0009971





#### GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT

Contractor's Name:	FAYETTE COUNTY
Solicitation/Contract No./ Call No.	0009971-0-0
or Project Description:	00079711-0-0

#### CONTRACTOR AFFIDAVIT

By executing this affidavit, the undersigned contractor verifies its compliance with O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91, stating affirmatively that the individual, entity or corporation which is engaged in the physical performance of services on behalf of the Georgia Department of Transportation has registered with, is authorized to use and uses the federal work authorization program commonly known as E-Verify, or any subsequent replacement program, in accordance with the applicable provisions and deadlines established in O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91.

Furthermore, the undersigned contractor will continue to use the federal work authorization program throughout the contract period and the undersigned contractor will contract for the physical performance of services in satisfaction of such contract only with subcontractors who present an affidavit to the contractor with the information required by O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91(b). Contractor hereby attests that its federal work authorization user identification number and date of authorization are as follows:

Federal Work Authorization User Identification Number (EEV/E-Verify Company Identification Number)

Name of Contractor

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Printed Name (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Contractor)

Signature (of Authorized Officer or Agent)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME ON THIS THE

\_\_\_\_\_DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_

Title (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Contractor)

Date of Authorization

Date Signed

[NOTARY SEAL]

Rev. 11/01/15

#### AGREEMENT

ż

#### BETWEEN

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# STATE OF GEORGIA

#### AND

# **FAYETTE COUNTY**

This Agreement is made and entered into this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016, by and between the DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, an agency of the State of Georgia, hereinafter called the **DEPARTMENT**, and FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA acting by and through its Board of Commissioners, hereinafter called the **COUNTY**.

WHEREAS, the COUNTY has represented to the DEPARTMENT a desire to obtain roundabout lighting as part of the SR 92 @ CR 138/Seay Rd & CR 129/Harp Rd project, said lighting to be installed under P.I. No. 0009972, Fayette County;

WHEREAS, the COUNTY has represented to the DEPARTMENT a desire to participate in: 1) Providing the Energy and 2) the Operation and Maintenance of said lighting system at the aforesaid location, and the DEPARTMENT has relied upon such representation; and

Page 99 of 185

WHEREAS, the DEPARTMENT has indicated a willingness to fund the materials and installation for the said lighting system at the aforesaid location, with funds of the DEPARTMENT, funds apportioned to the DEPARTMENT by the Federal Highway Administration under Title 23, United States Code, Section 104, or a combination of funds from any of the above sources.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises made and of the benefits to flow from one to the other, the DEPARTMENT and the COUNTY hereby agree each with the other as follows:

1. The DEPARTMENT or its assigns shall cause the installation of all materials and equipment necessary for roundabout lighting as part of the SR 92 @ CR 138/Seay Rd & CR 129/Harp Rd project, said lighting to be installed under P.I. No. 0009972, Fayette County as shown on Attachment "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

2. Upon completion of installation of said lighting system, and acceptance by the DEPARTMENT, the COUNTY shall assume full responsibility for the operation, the repair and the maintenance of the entire lighting system, including but not limited to repairs of any damages, replacement of lamps, ballasts, luminaires, lighting structures, associated equipment, conduit, wiring and service equipment, and the requirements of the Georgia Utility Facility Protection Act. The COUNTY further agrees to provide and pay for all the energy required for the operation of said lighting system.

Page 100 of 185

3. The DEPARTMENT shall retain ownership of all materials and various components of the entire lighting system. The COUNTY, in its operation and maintenance of the lighting system, shall not in any way alter the type or location of any of the various components which make up the entire lighting system without prior written approval from the DEPARTMENT.

4. This Agreement is considered as continuing for a period of fifty (50) years from the date of execution of this Agreement. The DEPARTMENT reserves the right to terminate this Agreement, at any time for just cause, upon thirty (30) days written notice to the COUNTY.

5. It is understood by the COUNTY that the DEPARTMENT has relied upon the COUNTY'S representation of providing for the energy, maintenance, and operation of the lighting represented by this Agreement; therefore, if the COUNTY elects to de-energize or fails to properly maintain or to repair the lighting system during the term of this Agreement, the COUNTY shall reimburse the DEPARTMENT the materials cost for the lighting system. If the COUNTY elects to de-energize or fails to properly maintain any individual unit within the lighting system, the COUNTY shall reimburse the DEPARTMENT for the material cost for the individual unit which will include all costs for the pole, luminaires, foundations, and associated wiring. The DEPARTMENT will provide the COUNTY with a statement of material costs upon completion of the installation.

The covenants herein contained shall, except as otherwise provided accrue to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the parties hereto. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have made and executed this Agreement the day and year first above written.

# **RECOMMENDED:**

1

# FAYETTE COUNTY

BY:

Chairman, Board of Commissioners

(SEAL)

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

BY\_\_\_\_\_

Commissioner

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

Notary Public

WITNESS

This Agreement approved by the Board of Commissioners at a meeting held at

this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_,

2016.

Treasurer

**Commission Clerk** 

# Attachment "A"



# **Project Location Map**

# SR 92 @ CR 138/Seay Rd & CR 129/Harp Rd Fayette County P.I. No. 0009972





#### GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT

Contractor's Name:	FAYETTE COUNTY	
Solicitation/Contract No./ Call No.	0009972-0-0	
or Project Description:		

#### CONTRACTOR AFFIDAVIT

By executing this affidavit, the undersigned contractor verifies its compliance with O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91, stating affirmatively that the individual, entity or corporation which is engaged in the physical performance of services on behalf of the Georgia Department of Transportation has registered with, is authorized to use and uses the federal work authorization program commonly known as E-Verify, or any subsequent replacement program, in accordance with the applicable provisions and deadlines established in O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91.

Furthermore, the undersigned contractor will continue to use the federal work authorization program throughout the contract period and the undersigned contractor will contract for the physical performance of services in satisfaction of such contract only with subcontractors who present an affidavit to the contractor with the information required by O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91(b). Contractor hereby attests that its federal work authorization user identification number and date of authorization are as follows:

Federal Work Authorization User Identification Number (EEV/E-Verify Company Identification Number)

Name of Contractor

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Printed Name (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Contractor)

Signature (of Authorized Officer or Agent)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME ON THIS THE

\_\_\_\_\_DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Title (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Contractor)

Date of Authorization

Date Signed

[NOTARY SEAL]

# STATE OF GEORGIA FAYETTE COUNTY

Resolution 2017 - \_\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE EXECUTION OF A LOCAL GOVERNMENT LIGHTING PROJECT AGREEMENT BETWEEN FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA AND THE GEORGIA DEPATMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. SO THAT THE GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MAY COMPLETE THE INSTALLATION OF STREET LIGHTING ASSOCIATED WITH THE TWO ROUNDABOUT PROJECTS ON SR 92, LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTIONS OF SEAY ROAD AND ANTIOCH ROAD.

WHERESAS, Fayette County Georgia (the "County") desires to obtain street lighting for the State Route 92 roundabout projects PI 009971 and PI 009972 (the "Projects"), which construction and installation shall be conducted by Georgia Department of Transportation ("GDOT"); and

WHERESAS, GDOT has agreed to fund the Projects using funds appropriated by the Federal Highway Administration; and

WHERESAS, the County has agreed to assume full responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the Project's lighting upon completion; and

WHERESAS, in order to move forward with the Projects, GDOT requires formal execution and approval of a Local Government Lighting Program Agreement (the "Agreement") in order to move forward with the Project;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISIONERS OF FAYETTE COUNTY AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The County hereby authorizes and approves the terms of the Agreement, which executed Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

Section 2. This resolution shall become effective immediately, and if any section, paragraph, clause, or provision hereof shall for any reason be held invalid or unenforceable, the invalidity of unenforceability thereof shall not affect any of the remaining provisions hereof.

PASSED, ADOPTED, SIGNED, APPROVED, and EFFECTIVE this 25<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2017.

# FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA

Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman Fayette County

ATTEST

Tameca White, County Clerk

Fayette County

# **COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST**

Page 106 of 185

Department:	Animal Control	Presenter(s):	Jerry J. Collins, I	Director
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #	<i>‡</i> 10
Wording for the Agenda:	,		,	
	ecommendation to adopt Fayette Co	ounty Policy 280.01; Animal Shelter	Management/Eutha	anasia.
	1 5	5	Ũ	
Background/History/Detail	S:			
The Fayette County Anim	al Control is committed to the prese	ervation of life and to the objective u	se of approved met	thods of euthanasia.
amended for a longer gra	ce period past the (5) five-day impo	ing policy of euthanasia. Staff review oundments period to allow for a long ain at the shelter to encourage ado	er adoption period.	The goal was to
		in advance notification to the local haching the end of the adoption perio		
	opportunity to rescue said animal.	ichning the end of the adoption perio	u. The goal was to j	provide local numarie
l	11			
		s with local humane and adoption g		
		al of those considerations and will p	rovide staff clear gu	uidelines for shelter
management/euthanasia				
<u> </u>		2		
	ng from the Board of Commissioner		www.a.mt/E.uth.a.m.a.a.ia	
Approval stall s recomme	endation to adopt Fayette County PC	blicy 280.01; Animal Shelter Manage	ement/Eutnanasia.	
If this item requires fundin	g, please describe:			
Not applicable.				
Has this request been cor	nsidered within the past two years?	No If so, wh	on?	
rias inis request been coi	isidered within the past two years?	No If so, wh		
Is Audio-Visual Equipmer	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup	Backup Provided with Request?	
			·	
All audio-visual material	must be submitted to the County	y Clerk's Office no later than 48 h	ours prior to the m	neeting. It is also
our department's respo	nsibility to ensure all third-party a	audio-visual material is submitted	at least 48 hours	in advance.
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewe	d by Legal	
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County	Clerk's Approval	Yes
Administrator's Approval				
Staff Notes:	bliches a three star as a star	honoo adontakla arimalimaari	ant.	
	ional twenty-five days past the five-	hance adoptable animal manageme day hold required:	5111.	

- \* Provides an additional twenty-five days past the five-day hold required;
  \* Creates a notification process for appropriate humane and rescue agencies;
  \* Establishes a capacity threshold of (75%) of available cages.

# FAYETTE COUNTY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

# 107.07 SHELTER MANAGEMENT/EUTHANASIA OPERATIONAL FUNCTIONS Animal Shelter Management/Euthanasia 280.01

# PURPOSE

The Purpose of this policy is to provide direction on the matter of routine euthanasia within the shelter shelter management and when necessary, euthanasia within the shelter.

# POLICY

The Fayette County Animal Shelter is committed to the preservation of life and to the objective use of approved methods of euthanasia. Euthanasia is normally reserved for animals that are suffering mentally, physically, terminally ill or considered dangerous to themselves, other animals, and/or humans. Euthanasia for reasons due to insufficient operational capacity is established when capacity reaches (75%) of available cages, leaving the remaining (25%) to be free to be utilized for required stratification of dangerous animals; isolate sick; puppies and manageability of shelter. When euthanasia becomes a must, due to lack of space needed to reasonably accommodate animals, euthanasia technicians must adhere to the following requirements:

# PROCEDURE

 Each animal admitted into the animal center will be evaluated initially at intake. Animals placed in the shelter's adoption program will be posted on social-media and other outlets to encourage adoptions and will be continuously evaluated for medical and behavioral considerations.

# **Evaluations are intended to identify:**

- a. Animals with a poor prognosis, protracted painful recovery, incurable illness, and/or are non-responsive to treatment or who suffer from an affliction in which treatment is not reasonably available.
- b. Animals who are deemed to pose an unacceptable danger to other animals, themselves or the public.
- c. Animals who have a condition that individually may not necessitate euthanasia, but that contribute to escalate other conditions that, in total, warrant euthanasia.
- 2. Notice to owner of impounded animals will be sent and a five-day impoundment period enacted to reach the pet owner. If the owner cannot be located, it shall be the duty of the director or his/her designee to determine adoptability of the animal.
- 3. If the animal is not deemed adoptable the director or his/her designee will notify the appropriate humane and rescue agencies in writing with the determination.

### 107.07 SHELTER MANAGEMENT/EUTHANASIA OPERATIONAL FUNCTIONS Animal Shelter Management/Euthanasia 280.01

The notice will advise that at the end of the five-day hold that the animal may be euthanized.

- 4. When an animal has been deemed adoptable, there will be an additional twentyfive days past the five-day hold required allowing for possible adoption.
  - a. Five-days prior to the end of the cumulative thirty-days staff will send a notification to the appropriate humane and rescue agencies that describes the animal and informs the agencies that the animal may be in danger of possible euthanization.
- 5. After all possible alternatives, have been exhausted and due to space limitation, an animal is to be euthanized. Animals that have been at the shelter the longest may be euthanized.
- 6. Animals that are to be euthanized will be approved by the Director or his/her designee before any action is taken.
  - a. Should an animal be considered wildlife, a rabies specimen or seriously sick or injured to the extent that allowing the animal to live will cause undue suffering and be inhumane, no prior approval will be needed.
- 7. The entire euthanasia procedure must be performed by two staff members.
- 8. Euthanasia must be performed in accordance with HSUS standards whenever possible. Pre-sedation must precede sodium pentobarbital injections and intravenous injections must be used whenever possible.
  - 1. Alternatives to euthanasia by finding reasonable accommodations for the animal must be considered before an animal is slated to be euthanized
  - 2. Animals considered for euthanasia must have spent 6 days in the shelter , and should be considered upon evaluation of their adaptability, disposition and time in the facility.
  - 3. Suggested slated euthanasia lists must be submitted to either the director or the supervisor for approval before any action may be taken.
### 107.07 SHELTER MANAGEMENT/EUTHANASIA OPERATIONAL FUNCTIONS Animal Shelter Management/Euthanasia 280.01

- 4. The amount of animals to be euthanized shall not exceed the amount needed to reasonably accommodate current influx of animals.
- 5. The entire euthanasia procedure must be performed by two staff members, who both must acknowledge that management has been notified of the animal(s) being put down.
- 6. Euthanasia must be performed by HSUS Standards whenever possible. Presedation must precede sodium pentobarbital injections and intravenous injections must be used whenever possible.

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Department:	Board of Commissioners	Presenter(s):	Board of Commis	sioners
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #	11
Wording for the Agenda:			1	
	Attorney's recommendation to adopt	Ordinance 2017-12 to amend Policy	y 100.19; Board Ap	pointments.
Background/History/Detail	S:			
required from the federal a Agreement, and the rema membership requirements	government, nine bodies are require ining seven bodies are established	ards, committees, commissions, and ed from the state, two bodies are esta by the Board of Commissioners. Eac	ablished through ar	n Intergovernmental
	ng from the Board of Commissioner ney's recommendation to adopt Ordi	s? nance 2017-12 to amend Policy 100	.19; Board Appoint	ments.
If this item requires funding	g, please describe:			
Not Applicable.				
Has this request been con	sidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	n?	
Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*		No Backup P	rovided with Reque	est? Yes
	5	Clerk's Office no later than 48 ho udio-visual material is submitted a		0
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	l by Legal	Yes
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County Cl	lerk's Approval	Yes
Administrator's Approval				

Staff Notes:

### FAYETTE COUNTY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

### ADMIN-APPOINTMENTS Board Appointment Policy 100.19

### PURPOSE

The intent of this policy is to establish procedures for advertising appointments to Fayette County's authorities, boards, commissions, committees and similarly established bodies; to establish procedures for accepting applications for advertised positions; and for appointing members to said bodies.

### POLICY

There shall be specific procedures for advertising appointments to Fayette County's authorities, boards, commissions, committees and similarly established bodies; procedures for accepting submitted applications for advertised positions; and procedures for appointing members to said bodies.

### PROCEDURE

Inasmuch as it is recognized that in order to promote and protect the integrity of the Board of Commissioners, staff, and Fayette citizens who have expressed interest in and are appointed to the County's authorities, boards, commissions, committees and similarly established bodies, the following procedures for the appointment of interested and qualified applicants shall be enacted and applied:

- 1. All vacancies to authorities, boards, commissions, committees, and similarly established bodies will be advertised <u>ninety days in advance of a term expiring</u>.
- 2. The County Clerk or his/her appointee will advertise for all vacant positions for a period of one month with deadlines for receiving applications to be established by the County Clerk. Advertisements will be provided to the County's legal organ, to other newspapers deemed appropriate by the County Clerk, and will be posted to the County's website.
- 3. The Board of Commissioners will appoint up to two Commissioners (referred to as the Selection Committee) to receive, review, and recommend an applicant for consideration of appointment to the vacant position. In addition, to the extent that the purpose of the relevant authority, board, commission, committee or similarly established body directly relates to one of the County's established departments, the Board of Commissioners shall have the discretion to appoint the head of said department as an additional member of the Selection Committee.

- 4. Vacancies and resignations that occur during the thirty-day advertisement may be filled by the Selection Committee.
- 4<u>5</u>. The County Clerk or his/her designee will schedule interviews between the Selection Committee and the applicants.
- 56. Selection Committee meetings will be conducted in accordance with Title 50 of the Official Code of Georgia as it pertains to open meetings and open records.
- 67. The County Clerk or his/her designee will provide a meeting agenda and supporting documents to the Selection Committees prior to scheduled meetings.
- 78. The Selection Committee will designate a member to produce the Action Agenda and Minutes of the meeting. The Action Agenda and Minutes will be provided to the County Clerk or his/her designee for keeping as official county records.
- 89. The Selection Committee will inform the County Clerk of its selection(s) once the interviews are completed. The County Clerk will then place the selection(s) on the next available agenda for the Board of Commissioners' formal appointment. The agenda item will include all applications with existing incumbents identified, as applicable.
- 910. After all applicants have been interviewed, the Selection Committee may unanimously recommend re-advertising the vacancy. This recommendation will be submitted to the County Clerk and re-advertisement will be authorized upon written approval by the Selection Committee. Once re-advertisement is authorized, the process, as specified in steps 2 through 8-9 will be repeated.
- 1011. If the Selection Committee cannot agree upon a recommendation after the readvertisement has occurred, the County Clerk will provide each County Commissioner with all the applications that have been received from both advertisements and seek Board direction.
- 1112. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, all appointments to authorities, boards, commissions, committees and similarly established bodies which are mandated by state law or local ordinance will be made in accordance with the applicable state law or county ordinance.
- 1213. The Board of Commissioners shall make every effort to avoid appointing an individual to more than one of Fayette County's authorities, boards, commissions, committees and similarly established bodies at the same time, except to the extent expressly permitted or provided by state or local law.
- **1314**. Except as otherwise provided by law, no member of the Board of Commissioners shall be eligible to serve on any of the County's authorities, boards, commissions, committees and similarly established bodies.

15. In the event that a position on one of the County's authorities, boards,
 commissions, committees or similarly established bodies becomes vacant prior to
 the expiration of its term, the vacancy shall be filled in the manner described
 above. If less than half of the term remains at the time an individual is appointed
 to fill such a vacancy, that individual shall also be appointed to the position for the
 next successive term.

### **ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDELINES**

It shall be the responsibility of each employee to be familiar with and to comply with this policy. Department heads and supervisory personnel shall be responsible for ensuring adherence to this policy. Reasonable limits, including time restrictions, shall be imposed by department heads or other supervisory persons to ensure maximum productivity of all employees with respect to this policy. Violation of this policy may result in disciplinary action in accordance with the County's Personnel Policy.

### **STATE OF GEORGIA**

### **FAYETTE COUNTY**

### ORDINANCE

### NO. 2017-\_\_\_\_

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA; TO REVISE POLICY 100.19; TO REPEAL CONFLICTING ORDINANCES; TO PROVIDE FOR SEVERABILITY; TO PROMOTE THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF FAYETTE COUNTY AND IT IS HEREBY ENACTED PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF THE SAME THAT THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF FAYETTE COUNTY BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. By deleting Paragraph 1 of the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", in its entirety, and by replacing it with a new Paragraph 1 in the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", to be numbered and read as follows:
  - 1. All vacancies to authorities, boards, commissions, committees, and similarly established bodies will be advertised ninety days in advance of a term expiring.
- Section 2. By deleting Paragraph 3 of the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", in its entirety, and by replacing it with a new Paragraph 3 in the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", to be numbered and read as follows:

- 3. The Board of Commissioners will appoint up to two Commissioners (referred to as the Selection Committee) to receive, review, and recommend an applicant for consideration of appointment to the vacant position. In addition, to the extent that the purpose of the relevant authority, board, commission, committee or similarly established body directly relates to one of the County's established departments, the Board of Commissioners shall have the discretion to appoint the head of said department as an additional member of the Selection Committee.
- Section 3. By renumbering Paragraphs 4 through 13 of the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure" as Paragraphs 5 through 14, respectively, and by adding a new Paragraph 4 to the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", to be numbered and read as follows:
  - 4. Vacancies and resignations that occur during the thirty-day advertisement may be filled by the Selection Committee.
- Section 4. By deleting Paragraph 9, as renumbered by Section 3 of this ordinance, of the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", in its entirety, and by replacing it with a new Paragraph 9 in the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", to be numbered and read as follows:
  - 9. The Selection Committee will inform the County Clerk of its selection(s) once the interviews are completed. The County Clerk will then place the selection(s) on the next available agenda for the Board of Commissioners' formal appointment.

The agenda item will include all applications with existing incumbents identified, as applicable.

- Section 5. By deleting Paragraph 10, as renumbered by Section 3 of this ordinance, of the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", in its entirety, and by replacing it with a new Paragraph 10 in the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", to be numbered and read as follows:
  - 10. After all applicants have been interviewed, the Selection Committee may unanimously recommend re-advertising the vacancy. This recommendation will be submitted to the County Clerk and re-advertisement will be authorized upon written approval by the Selection Committee. Once re-advertisement is authorized, the process, as specified in steps 2 through 9 will be repeated.
- **Section 6.** By adding a new Paragraph 15 to the portion of Section 100.19 entitled "Procedure", to be numbered and read as follows:
  - 15. In the event that a position on one of the County's authorities, boards, commissions, committees or similarly established bodies becomes vacant prior to the expiration of its term, the vacancy shall be filled in the manner described above. If less than half of the term remains at the time an individual is appointed to fill such a vacancy, that individual shall also be appointed to the position for the next successive term.

Page 117 of 185

- Section 7. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its adoption by the Board of Commissioners for Fayette County.
- **Section 8.** All other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.
- Section 9. In any event any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance shall be declared or adjudged invalid or unconstitutional, such adjudication shall in no manner affect other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases of this Ordinance, which shall remain in full force and effect as if the section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase so declared or adjudged invalid or unconstitutional were not a part thereof. The Board of Commissioners hereby declares that it would have passed the remaining parts of this Ordinance if it had known that such part or parts hereof would be declared or adjudged invalid or unconstitutional.

**SO ENACTED** this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

# BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF FAYETTE COUNTY

By:\_\_\_\_\_

Eric K. Maxwell, Chairman

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

Tameca P. White, County Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_

Approved as to form:

County Attorney

### **COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST**

		n		
Department:	Selection Committee	Presenter(s):	Commissioners Ma	axwell & Rousseau
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #1	2
Wording for the Agenda:				
Consideration of a recom	mendation of the Selection Committ ter Ponder to the Board of Assessor			
Background/History/Detai	S:			
years old, must be a resident experience in appraisal re Members must complete	s comprised of three county citizens dent of Fayette County, must have a elated work, and must complete an a 40 hours of training prior to or within	high school or equivalent diploma, assessor examination administered l n 180 days of appointment.	must have at least of by the State Revenu	ne year of e Commissioner.
The Selection Committee resigned effective May 37	is recommending that Mr. Walter Poll, 2017.	onder to be appointed to the Board	of Assessors. Mr. Ke	enneth Spaller
	ng from the Board of Commissioners er Ponder to the Board of Assessors		mediately and expiri	ng December 31,
If this item requires fundin	g, please describe:			
Has this request been cor	nsidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	en?	
Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*			Provided with Reques	st? Yes
	must be submitted to the County nsibility to ensure all third-party a			0
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	d by Legal	
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	lerk's Approval	Yes

Staff Notes:

Administrator's Approval

### APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT Fayette County Board of Tax Assessors

Fayette County's Board of Assessors was established by state law to determine the fair market value of all real and personal property in the county for property tax purposes based on uniform and fair mass appraisal practices.

Fayette County's Board of Assessors is comprised of three county citizens who are appointed to six-year terms each. Board members must be 21 years old, must be a resident of Fayette County, must have a high school or equivalent diploma, must have at least one year of experience in appraisal related work, and must complete an assessor examination administered by the State Revenue Commissioner. Members must complete 40 hours of training prior to or within 180 days of appointment. Additionally, members must complete at last 40 hours of approved appraisal courses provided during each two years of his or her term. No member of a County Board of Tax Assessors shall be eligible to hold any state, county, or municipal office during the time he or she holds such office. Compensation for this position is \$22.05 per hour.

The Fayette County Board of Commissioners would like to inform all interested and qualified Fayette County residents of one (1) position available on Fayette County's Board of Tax Assessors. The available term will begin on January 1, 2016 and will expire on December 31, 2022.

Please take a few minutes to complete the form and answer the questions below and return it with a resume, if available, to Floyd Jones, County Clerk, 140 Stonewall Avenue, West, Suite 100, Fayetteville, GA 30214 no later than 5:00 p.m. on Friday, November 11, 2016.

If you have any questions, please call (770) 305-5102.

NOTE: Information provided on this form is subject to disclosure as a public record under Georgia Open Records Law.

NAME WALTER A. PONDER
ADDRESS ITO MCEIWANEY WAY
FAgetteville, GA. 30215
TELEPHONE (day)
(evening)
(email address)
Matteren 10/18/16
Signature Date

1. How long have you been a resident of Fayette County?

2. Why are you interested in serving on the Fayette County Board of Tax Assessors?

SEC ATTACHED

3. What qualifications and experience do you possess for appointment to the Board of Tax Assessors?

SEE ATTACHED

4. List your recent employment experiences to include name of company and position.

Retired For 3 years, Worked presidualy in Tax Associations Office

5. Do you have any past experience relating to the Board of Tax Assessors? If so, please describe.

See ATTACHED

6. Are you currently serving on a commission/board/authority or in and elected capacity with any government?

NO

- Have you attended any Board of Tax Assessors meetings in the past two years and, if so, how many?
- 8. Are you willing to attend seminars or continuing education classes at county expense?

9. What is your vision of the county's future related to the duties of the Board of Tax Assessors?

See ATTACHED

10. Would there be any possible conflict of interest between your employment or your family and you serving on the Board of Tax Assessors?

### NO

- Are you in any way related to a County Elected Official or County employee? If so, please describe.
  - 140
- 12. Describe your current community involvement.

SER ATTACHED

13. Have you been provided a copy of the county's Ethics Ordinance?

YES

14. Is there any reason you would not be able to comply with the Ethics Ordinance?

NO

From: Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 2:07 PM To: Subject: FW: fayette

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: <u>Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016</u> 2:01 PM To: <u>Subject: FW: fayette</u>

Walter A. Ponder 140 McElwaney Way Fayetteville, GA 30215

#### **Previous Work History**

**1965** – **2001** - Telephone professional for the Bell System. I started my telephone career in East Point, GA as a telephone installer for Southern Bell. After the break-up of the Bell System I was reassigned to AT@T, Lucent, and finally Avaya. I retired after 36 years of service as a Southeastern Regional Manager over Logistics.

**2001 -2013** – Began working at the Fayette County Tax Assessors office as a Residential Appraiser in August of 2001. Received certification as both Appraiser I and II the first year of employment. After receiving my certification as an Appraiser III, I was promoted to Lead Appraiser over the Residential Real Property group. Retired in July of 2013.

### Response to Application for Appointment Questions Fayette County Board of Tax Assessors

2) - I would like to get back involved with my county and feel that my 13 years experience with the Fayette County Tax Assessors office will accomplish this and benefit the county as well.

3) - My previous certifications as Appraiser I, II, and III.

- My relationship and experience with personnel in the Tax Assessors Office.

- My relationship and experience with the Tax Commissioner and staff.

- My relationship and experience with the Chief Appraiser, his staff and the present members of the Board of Assessors.

- My knowledge of the geographical make-up of the County.

- My working knowledge of State codes, regulations, and laws governing the Tax Assessors Office.

5) - Yes, 13 years working with and managing residential personal in the Tax Assessors Office.

9) – As a member of the Board of Assessors I would work to ensure that the Tax Assessors Office had the tools, training, and manpower to do their jobs in a timely and accurate manner while also assuring that our taxpayers received fair assessments for their personal and real property based on current State guidelines.

12) - Active member of St. Gabriel Catholic Church.

- Member of the Knights of Columbus with the rank of SR. Knight

- Member of the "Golden Threads", an over 50 church group.

- President of the Rebecca Lakes Homeowners Association.



Fayette County's Board of Assessors was established by state law to determine the fair market value of all real and personal property in the county for property tax purposes based on uniform and fair mass appraisal practices.

Page 125 of 185

Fayette County's Board of Assessors is comprised of three county citizens who are appointed to six-year terms each. Board members must be 21 years old, must be a resident of Fayette County, must have a high school or equivalent diploma, must have at least one year of experience in appraisal related work, and must complete an assessor examination administered by the State Revenue Commissioner. Members must complete 40 hours of training prior to or within 180 days of appointment. Additionally, members must complete at last 40 hours of approved appraisal courses provided during each two years of his or her term. No member of a County Board of Tax Assessors shall be eligible to hold any state, county, or municipal office during the time he or she holds such office. Compensation for this position is \$22.05 per hour.

The Fayette County Board of Commissioners would like to inform all interested and qualified Fayette County residents of one (1) position available on Fayette County's Board of Tax Assessors. The available unexpired term will begin immediately and will expire on December 31, 2017.

Please take a few minutes to complete the form and answer the questions below and return it with a resume, if available, to Tameca White, County Clerk, 140 Stonewall Avenue, West, Suite 100, Fayetteville, GA 30214 no later than **5:00 p.m. on Friday, May 26, 2017**.

If you have any questions, please call (770) 305-5103.

NOTE: Information provided on this form is subject to disclosure as a public record under Georgia Open Records Law.

NAME - Mae M. Ransom

ADDRESS _415 North Drive Fayetteville, Georgia 30214	DECEIVED May 2 4 2017
TELEPHONE (day) _	By
(evening) (email address)	
Mac M. Raison Signature	May 24 2017 Date

- How long have you been a resident of Fayette County? I have lived in Fayette County for 18 years.
- 2. Why are you interested in serving on the Fayette County Board of Tax Assessors?

As a property owner, my interest in serving on the Board is to ensure all properties in the county are appraised, assessed and valued in a fair and uniform manner.

- 3. What qualifications and experience do you possess for appointment to the Board of Tax Assessors? I was employed by Clayton County Tax Assessors office. I worked closely with Appraiser's updating customer records. I also successfully completed exam1 administered by the state.
- 4. List your recent employment experiences to include name of company and position.

My recent employment experience was with Clayton County Tax Assessors office where I worked closely with Appraisers updating customer records. I also worked as a cartographer.

5. Do you have any past experience relating to the Board of Tax Assessors? If so, please describe.

NO, I do not have any past experience relating to the Board of Tax Assessors.

6. Are you currently serving on a commission/board/authority or in and elected capacity with any government?

No

7. Have you attended any Board of Tax Assessors meetings in the past two years and, if so, how many?

No

8. Are you willing to attend seminars or continuing education classes at county expense?

Yes, I am willing to attend seminars or continuing education classes.

9. What is your vision of the county's future related to the duties of the Board of Tax Assessors?

My vision for the county's future as it relates to the duties of the Board is for the county to continue to monitor the assessments and values of all properties and continue to provide a forum for the tax payer to be heard concerning their property values

10. Would there be any possible conflict of interest between your employment or your family and you serving on the Board of Tax Assessors?

No

11. Are you in any way related to a County Elected Official or County employee? If so, please describe.

No

12. Describe your current community involvement.

I serve on the Northridge Home Owners Association.

13. Have you been provided a copy of the county's Ethics Ordinance?

Yes

14. Is there any reason you would not be able to comply with the Ethics Ordinance?

No

### APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT Fayette County Board of Tax Assessors



Fayette County's Board of Assessors was established by state law to determine the fair market value of all real and personal property in the county for property tax purposes based on uniform and fair mass appraisal practices.

Fayette County's Board of Assessors is comprised of three county citizens who are appointed to six-year terms each. Board members must be 21 years old, must be a resident of Fayette County, must have a high school or equivalent diploma, must have at least one year of experience in appraisal related work, and must complete an assessor examination administered by the State Revenue Commissioner. Members must complete 40 hours of training prior to or within 180 days of appointment. Additionally, members must complete at last 40 hours of approved appraisal courses provided during each two years of his or her term. No member of a County Board of Tax Assessors shall be eligible to hold any state, county, or municipal office during the time he or she holds such office. Compensation for this position is \$22.05 per hour.

The Fayette County Board of Commissioners would like to inform all interested and qualified Fayette County residents of one (1) position available on Fayette County's Board of Tax Assessors. The available unexpired term will begin immediately and will expire on December 31, 2017.

Please take a few minutes to complete the form and answer the questions below and return it with a resume, if available, to Tameca White, County Clerk, 140 Stonewall Avenue, West, Suite 100, Fayetteville, GA 30214 no later than **5:00 p.m. on Friday, May 26, 2017**.

If you have any questions, please call (770) 305-5103.

NOTE: Information provided on this form is subject to disclosure as a public record under Georgia Open Records Law.

NAME	Mark Steven MacFall	
ADDRESS	120 Calypso Court, Tyrone, GA 30290	
TELEPHONE (	day)	
(even	ing)	
(emai	l address)mmacfall@fayettecountyga.gov	,,
Mark	Steve Mactall	05/26/2017
Signat	ure	Date

- 1. How long have you been a resident of Fayette County? 20+ years
- Why are you interested in serving on the Fayette County Board of Tax Assessors? I want to do my part to ensure that all county tax assessments are fair and equitable.
- What qualifications and experience do you possess for appointment to the Board of Tax Assessors? I am a Certified Residential Real Property Appraiser and have been for more than 15 years.
- 4. List your recent employment experiences to include name of company and position. I am a Battalion Chief with the Fayette County Fire Department and I own my own real estate appraisal business known as Chief Residential Appraisals, Inc. I am also a licensed Real Estate Agent with Century 21 Meridian Realty.
- Do you have any past experience relating to the Board of Tax Assessors? If so, please describe.
   I do not have any experience with the Board of Assesors.
- Are you currently serving on a commission/board/authority or in and elected capacity with any government? No
- Have you attended any Board of Tax Assessors meetings in the past two years and, if so, how many? No
- Are you willing to attend seminars or continuing education classes at county expense? Yes
- 9. What is your vision of the county's future related to the duties of the Board of Tax Assessors? With the growth within the county and the increase in property values, it is imperative that the Board of Assessors ensure that assessments are completed fairly and and competently to ensure proper reporting of the County tax base.
- 10. Would there be any possible conflict of interest between your employment or your family and you serving on the Board of Tax Assessors? No
- 11. Are you in any way related to a County Elected Official or County employee? If so, please

describe. I am not related to any elected official. I am a 28 year county employee in the fire department and my daughter Allie MacFall is an employee in the finance department.

- 12. Describe your current community involvement. My wife and I are avid joggers and we run multiple toad races throught the year in an out of the county.
- Have you been provided a copy of the county's Ethics Ordinance? Yes
- 14. Is there any reason you would not be able to comply with the Ethics Ordinance? No

### Mark Steven MacFall

120 Calypso Court, Tyrone, GA 30290

Cellular

### **Certified Residential Real Property Appraiser**

### Summary

I am a Certified Residential Real Property Appraiser with over 15 years experience appraising 1 to 4 family homes in all of the metropolitan Atlanta area. I am FHA certified and have been for 10 years.

I am also a Licensed Salesperson in Georgia and I am affiliated with Century 21 Meridian Realty.

### Experience

Chief Residential Appraisals, Inc, Tyrone, GA 2007 to Present

### CEO, Appraiser

I am a state of Georgia Certified Residential Real Property Appraiser.

- Almost fifteen years appraisal experience in all of the Metropolitan Atlanta area.
- FHA certified for over ten years
- I have completed over 4000 residential appraisals since Jan 2002 ranging in values of \$10,000 to \$8.9 million
- I have averaged over 70 fee appraisals in Fayette County over the last several years

North Georgia Appraisals, LLC, Marietta, GA 2002 to 2007 *Staff Appraiser* Staff appraiser.

 Fayette County Fire/EMS, Fayetteville, GA
 1989 to Present

 Battalion Chief
 1989 to Present

As a Battalion Chief, I oversee all the operations of the fire department for "C" shift. I manage 43 firefighters and all fire/EMS operations on "C" Shift.

Century 21 Meridian Realty, Fayetteville, GA 2011 to Present *Real Estate Sales Agent* Residential and commercial real estate sales.

Remax Legacy, Tyrone, GA 2009-2011 *Real Estate Sales Agent* Residential and commercial real estate sales

### Education

Cogswell Polytechnical College, Sunnyvale, CA 2000 B.A., Fire Administration

### Skills

Strong computer skills

•Excellent knowledge of the Metro Atlanta Real Estate market

### Licenses

<u>Certified Residential Real Property Appraiser</u>, GREAB, GA, 2002 to 2017 <u>Licensed Sales Person</u>, GREB, GA, 2010 to 2018 <u>Paramedic</u>, State of Georgia, Fayette County, GA, 1991 to 2018. I am a state of Georgia and nationally registered Paramedic.

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Page 132 of 185

Department:	Board of Commissioners	Presenter(s):	Commissioners Maxwell & Brown
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #13
Wording for the Agenda:	,		1
Consideration of a recom		mittee, comprised of Chairman Eric N portation Committee to serve a term	
, Background/History/Detai	ls:		
On January 28, 2016 the	Board of Commissioners adopted (	Ordinance 2016-03- Transportation C	Committee.
of Commissioners for she of funding sources, solici municipalities; f) provide long-term goals; h) ident and concerns to the Geo The Selection Committee applicants. What action are you seek Approval of a recommend	brt-and long-term priorities; c) provious tation of federal funds, grants, etc., of appropriate focus on projects to en- ify and support safety initiatives; and rgia Department of Transportation (of the interviewed Mr. Sas has recommen- ing from the Board of Commissioner dation from the Selection Committee	de input on project alignments and al e) assist with coordination of project sure accountability of staff and consu d i) assemble information and facilita GDOT) and the Atlanta Regional Cor nded him for consideration for this ap rs?	ultants, g) provide recommendations for te communication of the County's views nmission (ARC.)
If this item requires fundin	a plass describe		
Not Applicable.	ig, piedse describe.		
Is Audio-Visual Equipmer	nsidered within the past two years? It Required for this Request?*		en? Provided with Request? Yes
	5	audio-visual material is submitted	, 0
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	by Legal
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	lerk's Approval Yes
Administrator's Approval			

Staff Notes:

MARTIN S. SAS 195 Ashley Forest Drive E Fayetteville, GA 30214

### April 13, 2017

Tamica White County Clerk 140 Stonewall Avenue West Suite 100 Fayetteville, Georgia

### Dear Ms. White,

It has been my honor, and a thoroughly enjoyable experience for me to have served on the inaugural Fayette County Transportation Committee during the past year. I hope I've contributed constructively to the goals and objectives of the Committee and look forward to possibly another year with the Committee.

Please accept the enclosed Application and resume for the Available Position on the Fayette County Transportation Committee for the coming year.

If you have any questions or require additional information please contact me the number listed above.

Sincerely,

Martin S. Sas

LM-68

### APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT Fayette County Transportation Committee

The purpose of the Transportation Committee is to identify traffic problems in the county, provide recommendations for short-and-long term priorities, provide input on project alignments and alternatives; assist with identification and solicitation of federal funds and grants, assist with coordination of projects between the county and municipalities, and to provide appropriate focus that ensures accountability of staff and consultants. Other tasks for the Transportation Committee include providing recommendations for long-term goals, identifying and supporting safety initiatives, and assembling information and facilitating communication of the County's views and concerns to the Georgia Department of Transportation and the Atlanta Regional Committee. It is the intention of the Board of Commissioners that the Fayette County Transportation Committee shall fully explore traffic issues and provide information and recommendations to the Board of Commissioners.

The Transportation Committee will meet on a monthly basis, however, the meeting dates, times, and location are to be determined.

Please take a few minutes to complete the form and answer the questions below and return it with a resume, if available, to Tameca White, County Clerk, 140 Stonewall Avenue, West, Suite 100, Fayetteville, GA 30214 no later than 5:00 p.m. on Friday, April 28, 2017.

If you have any questions, please call (770) 305-5103.

### NOTE: Information provided on this form is subject to disclosure as a public record under Georgia Open Records Law.

NAME ADDRESS	Martin S. Sas 195 Ashley Fo Fayetteville, G		
TELEPHONE	(day) (evening)	770-719-1027 or SAB	(mobile)
(email addres	s)		
Ma	lestin 1	5 20	13 Apre
1	Signa	ture	Date

Date

7017

- 1. How long have you been a resident of Fayette County I've resided in Fayette County since December 1996.
- 2. Why are you interested in serving on the Fayette County Transportation Committee? I am retired and currently have time to serve the community in this capacity and would consider it an honor to do so.
- 3. What qualifications and experience do you possess that should be considered for appointment to the Transportation Committee?

I retired from the military with 22 years of service as an officer and Program Manager. For 32 years after retirement from the military, I have worked as a System Engineer and a Program Manager for a Defense Contractor and in the civil sector as a Program Manager, System Engineer, and Consultant for several Transportation Engineering companies.

In addition to my expertise in Intelligent Transportation Systems, each of the companies I have worked for required me, as well as all program managers to take ethics, code of conduct, and public awareness and interaction training courses on an annual basis.

- List your recent employment experiences to include name of company and position. Atkins North America, Inc., Senior Tolls Consultant Xerox, Senior Program Manager
- 5. Do you have any past experience related to this position? If so, please describe. Only in the context of executing my duties as an Officer and as a Program Manager in the Transportation sector; also as oversight and management of contractor contract requirements within DoD and as a Program Manager in the civil sector.
- 6. Are you currently serving on a commission/board/authority or in an elected capacity with any government?

Yes, as an appointed citizen member on the Fayette County Transportation Committee.

- Have you attended any Transportation Committee meetings in the past two years and, if so, how many? Yes, Ten.
- 8. Are you willing to attend seminars or continuing education classes at county expense? Yes, that would not be a problem.
- 9. What is your vision of the county's future related to the duties of the Transportation Committee?

I believe the Transportation Committee must work with all county agencies and jurisdictions to develop unified transportation requirements, land use, and the implementation plans required to maintain Fayette County's quality of life, reduce the effects of uncoordinated development and mitigate growing traffic congestion within the county. The Committee should be open to all options for regional integration that improves transportation options and reduces traffic congestion for everyone in the county. They must be the 'forward thinkers' in the county and should articulate new ideas, as well as concerns, not only to the Board of Commissioners, but to the citizens as well.

- 10. Would there be any possible conflict of interest between your employment or your family and you serving on the Transportation Committee? None with family and since I am retired there will be none from any employer.
- 11. Are you in any way related to a County Elected Official or County employee? If so, please describe.

No.

12. Describe your current community involvement.

I have served as President of the Ashley Forest Home Owners Association for over three years and currently support Board and Association requirements as needed.

13. You have been given a copy of the County's Ethics Ordinance. Is there any reason you would not be able to comply with the ordinance? None. 195 Ashley Forest Drive ■ Fayetteville, GA 30214 ■ (770)-719-1027 ■ <u>http://www.linkedin.com/in/martysas</u>

### Senior Management Consultant / Transportation and Toll Systems

Senior manager with extensive project and technical management experience in program management, systems design and implementation, and revenue-financed highways. Expertise in state and federal planning, systems engineering, intelligent transportation systems, revenue collection and back-office services for violation processing and revenue collection, privately financed highway initiatives and interface between agencies and the public. Adaptable problem solver with MSEE, strong leadership skills and ability to execute under pressure based on military leadership experience and through management and executive roles. Specializations include:

- Electronic Toll Collection (ETC) Systems
- Open Road Toll ETC Systems
- Automatic vehicle classification (AVC) systems,
- Automatic vehicle identification (AVI),
- Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) solutions
- Advanced image capture and processing for video & violation enforcement systems (VES)
- Customer Service Center / Violation Processing Center (CSC/VPC).
- Advanced homeland security solutions

### HIGHLIGHTED ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Managed the implementation of the New Jersey Turnpike Authority's ORT Lane ETC System at the Barnegat Plaza completing the program one week ahead of schedule.
- Managed design, construction and implementation of the Salt Lake City Interim Traffic Management Center and Intelligent Transportation System in preparation for the 2002 Olympics on time and on budget.
- Increased sales revenue by 8% with implementation of national sales and marketing program.
- Achieved proposal win ratio greater than 70% sustained over two years as technical proposal team manager.
- Served as the Chief Technical Liaison to U.S. NATO Negotiators.
- Deputy Program Manager for acquisition for a DoD Satellite Terminal program.

### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

### Atkins North America, Inc.

### Senior Tolls Consultant

## Provide technical assistance for project implementation, preparation or review of specifications, development of functional requirements, and support for Request For Proposal development.

Provided technical expertise and support in developing system requirements and proposal support for the managed lane RFP for the SRTA program indicated below

• Toll System Integrator for Toll Collection Systems Implementation and Maintenance RFP program in support of the I-75 South Express Lanes Project and the I-75 Northwest Corridor Project. Both programs will be reversible Express Lane facilities managed initially as separate projects but under the operational control and direction of the SRTA.

### Xerox State and Local Solutions Inc. (formally ACS), Germantown, MD Senior Manager, System Consultant

# Provided Program management and technical assistance for project implementation, systems engineering oversight, preparing specifications, and developing functional requirements.

Managed and directed the Operations & Maintenance programs and provided leadership, and technical expertise serving as the Program Manager for the programs indicated below

- Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission (DRJTBC) Program Manager responsible for O&M Contract and providing guidance to project team for the design, build and maintenance of conventional and ORT lanes at 7 plazas.
- New Jersey E-ZPass Lanes Program Manager responsible for O&M Contract and providing program management for the design, build of ORT lanes at a number of plazas for both the New Jersey Turnpike and the Garden State Parkway. Specifically
  - Barnegat ORT Lanes

2012-2016

2010 - 2012

### **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE** (continued)

- PAGE 2 Page 138 of 185
- ORT Camera and Zone Controller Upgrade for 6 Plazas (in progress)
- Interchange 8 Conventional Lane Implementation (in progress)
- North Carolina Toll Authority (NCTA) As Assist Program Manager for lane implementation, I provided both management and technical direction for the design and implementation of the Roadway Overview Camera and Site Security Access Control Systems.
- Florida's Turnpike Enterprise As Deputy Program Manager I provided technical assistance in lane design, installation and commissioning tests. Directed and contributed to developing the FTE Lane/Plaza O&M Manuals and coordinating document deliverables.

### MKRS ASSOCIATES, Atlanta, GA Senior Consultant / Principal

Specializing in project management, systems engineering, technical analysis and system design for electronic toll collection systems, Intelligent Transportation Systems, wireless applications, strategic planning, support for JAVA Technologies.

### HNTB CORPORATION, Atlanta, GA

### Senior Consultant and Project Manager, Toll Facilities Group

Provided management and technical assistance for project implementation, conducting due diligence reviews, preparing specifications, and developing requirements for transportation and toll agencies and enhancing client relations.

- Reduced cost estimate formatting and production time by 40% by developing a cost estimate format for three similar, yet different, project types.
- Co-authored document for publication by the Federal Highway Administration entitled, "Considerations for HOV to HOT Lane Conversions Guidebook, December 12, 2007".
- Developed designs and prepared project scopes of work, functional requirements, and cost estimates for more than ten procurement and technical support projects.
  - <u>West Virginia Turnpike Upgrade</u> Provided technical support in the design of an upgrade to the toll collection system, prepared projected capital cost estimate and prepared the Concept of Operations for the implementation of the proposed upgrade.
  - <u>New Hampshire Turnpike ORT Upgrade</u> Provided technical support in the design of the ORT upgrade at an existing barrier plaza. Developed the technical functional specifications and statement of work for the procurement\_documents.
  - <u>Miami Dade Expressway Authority (MDX), Miami FL</u> –Provided technical oversight in the development and coordination of the technical RFP and scope of work, and system capital cost estimates for the procurement of an ORT toll collection system and for a new customer service center and violation processing center.
  - <u>Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), I-15 HOT Lanes Study, Salt Lake City, UT</u> Provided technical oversight and assistance in developing the concept of operations, technical specifications, requirements definitions, procurement documents, and the capital cost estimate
  - <u>Port Authority of New York and New Jersey</u>, Prepared project cost estimates for the proposed toll collection upgrade including assessments for implementing an ORT system.
  - <u>Massachusetts Turnpike Authority (Masspike)</u> –Provided technical oversight in the development and coordination of the technical RFP and scope of work and developed cost estimates for procurement options for the toll collection system upgrade.<u>Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge</u> <u>Commission (DRJTBC), In-Lane Toll System Design Build Maintain Project RFP, Lambertville,</u> <u>PA</u> – Provided technical services to develop and coordinate the technical RFP, and scope of work for the procurement of a system upgrade that included an ORT implementation, customer service center, and violation processing center.
  - <u>San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), I-15 Managed Lanes, San Diego, CA</u> Provided technical services in the preparation of network and system cost estimates, procurement and proposal review and evaluation, preparation of the Concept of Operations for the Evaluation of Automatic Vehicle Occupancy

2007 - 2009

2009 - 2010

### **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE** (continued)

• <u>Transurban, Pocahontas Parkway ETC Conversion Project RFP, Richmond, VA</u> – Provided technical services to develop and coordinate the technical and performance requirements for an RFP for the ETC Conversion project.

### PBS & J, Atlanta, GA

2001 - 2006

### Senior Consultant and Project Manager, Toll Facilities Group

Provided management and technical assistance for project implementation, conducting due diligence reviews, systems engineering oversight, preparing specifications, and developing functional requirements.

- Conducted due diligence review for investment grade evaluation and on-site inspection of existing Toll Collection System, as member of intelligent transportation system (ITS) and toll collection system (TCS) Technical Advisory Team. Created program design, capital and operations expenditure projections and developed design specifications for a private consortium.
- Developed conceptual design for truck-only toll (TOT) facility with electronic tolling and Open Road Tolling option including cost analysis and facility cost estimates as member of Public-Private Initiative (PPI) project design team.
- Developed the designs, prepared project scopes of work, functional requirements, and cost estimates for more than fifteen technical support projects and conducted numerous technical studies.
  - <u>Cintra-Marquarie Consortium</u> Proposal and technical assistance as member of intelligent transportation system (ITS) and toll collection system (TCS) Technical Advisory Team to conduct a due diligence review for an investment grade evaluation and on-site inspection of an existing TCS that included program design, capital and operations expenditure projections, and developing design specifications for the private consortium's toll road proposal.
  - <u>Bechtel Infrastructure Corporation</u> Member of a design team for I-75/I-575 Northwest Corridor Public-Private Initiative (PPI) project responsible for the conceptual design for a truckonly toll (TOT) facility with electronic tolling, developing plaza staffing projections as needed, designing an Open Road Tolling option, developing transaction cost analysis and facility cost estimate to implement electronic and VES operations for the TOT facility.
  - <u>Florida's Turnpike Enterprise</u> Technical assistance for the SunPass Electronic Toll system that included the evaluation of existing and Next Generation enterprise technologies, and product enhancements.
  - <u>Delaware River Port Authority</u> Managed and conducted the review and evaluation of the Automatic Vehicle Classification (AVC) System to determine the cause of incorrect classifications and toll charges
  - <u>Texas Turnpike Authority (TTA)</u> Technical assistance in the conduct and evaluation of <u>Factory Acceptance Tests of the Tolls Management System to be deployed within the Central</u> Texas Turnpike System
  - <u>ATMS Projects</u> Technical assistance and analysis of existing ATMS facilities to develop improvement scenarios, including ITS and electronic toll applications. Some of the projects included:
    - GDOT's I-285 Strategic Implementation Plan
    - <u>US-95 ITS Communications</u> Technical assistance in the design of the ITS Corridor communication system in Las Vegas, NV for NDOT, to provide a seamless connection with the existing communications infrastructure
  - <u>*iFlorida's RWIS Project*</u> Project manager and technical expert for the Weather Forecasting by Road Segment element of *iFlorida's*, Surface Transportation Security and Reliability Information System Model Deployment.
  - <u>Orlando-Sanford International Airport Authority</u> Managed and provided technical assistance for Security Enhancements that included design criteria for the acquisition, integration and replacement of the access control and surveillance system.

### **PRIOR EXPERIENCE:**

### Senior Consultant / Principal

Specializing in project management, systems engineering, technical analysis and system design for electronic toll collection systems, Intelligent Transportation Systems, wireless applications, strategic planning, value engineering and proposal support for PBS&J and the Florida Turnpike Enterprise.

### SURFACE SYSTEMS, INC., St. Louis, MO

### Vice President for Product Development

Provided technical guidance and direction in product development and oversight to functional departments (Engineering, Manufacturing, Customer Service, Shipping and Weather).

- Achieved 8% increase in sales revenue by restructuring national sales and technical support programs.
- Reduced operating expenses by 5% and improved sales department efficiency by approximately 11%.
- Led new product design, product upgrades, and ITS functional specification applications.

### TRW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS INC., Atlanta, GA

### **Project Manager and Senior Systems Engineer**

Provided management and technical design guidance in support of Intelligent Transportation System programs.

- Managed and completed construction of Salt Lake City Interim Traffic Management Center and
- Designed wireless Interim Intelligent Transportation System for 2002 Olympics during I-80 and I-15 reconstruction within budget and ahead of schedule.

### MFS NETWORK TECHNOLOGIES, Omaha, NE

### Program and Technical Systems Manager

Provided technical guidance for system design, installation, test, operational planning and transitional management of project implementation from inception to permanent project personnel.

- Achieved proposal win ratio greater than 70% as Technical Manager of technical proposal teams.
- Project Manager for two signature electronic toll collection system projects in California; SR 91 Express Lanes, and Accounting System (ATCAS), Toll Bridges.

### MAGNAVOX ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS COMPANY, Leesburg, VA

### **Program Manager**

Senior Technical Manager of all Magnavox personnel providing technical support to US Forces in Europe

- Provided advice and technical support as the Chief Technical Liaison to US NATO Negotiators.
- Planned and executed the European deployment of a multi million dollar communication system.

### UNITED STATES ARMY

### MILITARY EXPERIENCE

- Retired at the rank of *Lieutenant Colonel*
- Program Manager Special Communications Systems (Satellite and HF systems) in Europe
- Deputy Program Manager Acquisition for Extra High Frequency (EHF) Satellite Terminal
- Division Manager Electronic Maintenance Division.

### **EDUCATION AND AFFILIATIONS**

M.S. Electrical Engineering; B.S., Electrical Engineering – University of Alabama

Diploma, Strategic Planning, Armed Forces Staff College

Numerous Technical and Professional Training & Continuing Educational Courses

Member, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)

Member Intelligent Transportation Society of America (ITSA) and ITS Georgia

Member, Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and ITE Georgia

Member International Council of System Engineers (INCOSE)

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Department:	County Attorney	Presenter(s):	Dennis Davenport	
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #1	4
Wording for the Agenda:				
Update regarding the proc	cedural posture of the disabled vete	rans' homestead exemption under H	ouse Bill 196.	
Background/History/Details	S:			
A memo from the County homestead exemption.	Attorney is provided as backup with	n an explanation of the procedural po	sture of the disable	d veterans'
	ng from the Board of Commissioner cedural posture of the disabled vete	s? erans' homestead exemption under H	ouse Bill 196.	
If this item requires funding	g, please describe:			1
	•	the overpayment of taxes (voluntarily taxes have already been collected fr	<b>.</b>	
Has this request been con	sidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	n?	
Is Audio-Visual Equipment	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup P	rovided with Reques	st? Yes
	5	c Clerk's Office no later than 48 hou nudio-visual material is submitted a		0
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	by Legal	Yes
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County Cl	erk's Approval	Yes
Administrator's Approval				
Staff Notes:				

Page 142 of 185

LAW OFFICES

McNally, Fox, Grant & Davenport

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 100 HABERSHAM DRIVE FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214-1381

TELEPHONE: (770) 461-2223 FACSIMILE: (770) 719-4832 (770) 461-5863

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 849 FAYETTEVILLE, GA 30214-0849

### MEMORANDUM

FROM:	E. Allison Ivey Cox AL	
DATE:	June 28, 2017	
RE:	Disabled veterans' exemption under HB 196	

### FUTURE PROCESSING OF APPLICATIONS FOR DISABLED VETERAN HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

In the future, each application for disabled veterans homestead exemption will be received by the Tax Commissioner. It will then proceed as directed by HB 196 to the Board of Assessors. That body will assess the application for evidence of residence, property ownership, 100% disability rating, effective date of disability and honorable discharge. Following approval or denial, the board of assessors will then determine whether the veteran was eligible for the exemption for any of the 3 years prior to the date of application. This period, if any, will be sent to the Tax Commissioner for immediate treatment as an overpayment of taxes. The Tax Commissioner will then reprocess the appropriate tax bills applying the exemption to the first \$60, 000 of the homesteads value (this value is adjusted annually in accordance with an index set by the Secretary of Veterans' Affairs). It is \$73,768 for tax year 2016. This will flag any overpayment by the veteran in the appropriate years. The Tax Commissioner will draft and forward a check for this amount to the veteran. The communication from the Board of Assessors to the Tax Commissioner will be copied to the Board of Commissioners. This will allow monitoring of any impact on the general fund.

WILLIAM R. MCNALLY PATRICK J. FOX PHILIP P. GRANT DENNIS A. DAVENPORT PATRICK A. STOUGH MEREDITH F. MCCLURE E. ALLISON IVEY COX

Page 143 of 185

LAW OFFICES

McNally, Fox, Grant & Davenport

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214-1381

TELEPHONE: (770) 461-2223 FACSIMILE: (770) 719-4832 (770) 461-5863

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 849 FAYETTEVILLE, GA 30214-0849

### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Board of Commissioners	
FROM:	E. Allison Ivey Cox	
DATE:	June 28, 2017	
RE:	Disabled veterans' exemption under HB 196	

# PROCEDURAL POSTURE OF THE DISABLED VETERANS HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION:

We have closely monitored proposed legislation that would enable retroactive application of a homestead exemptions for disabled veterans. The first bill did not make its way into law. However, House Bill 196 was proposed, the House and Senate voted to move it on for gubernatorial review. It was enacted and becomes effective July 1, 2017. This legislation will alter the treatment of the homestead exemption granted to disabled veterans.

### FACTS:

WILLIAM R. MCNALLY

PATRICK A. STOUGH MEREDITH F. MCCLURE E. ALLISON IVEY COX

DENNIS A. DAVENPORT

PATRICK J. FOX PHILIP P. GRANT

The Department of Veterans Affairs "VA" is charged with the duty to make findings pertaining to the status of U.S. Veterans regarding any disability received during service to the country. If a disability or a combination of disabilities is found to impair one hundred percent of a veteran's function, it triggers several benefits at the federal level to accommodate the disability. Upon finding that a veteran is 100% disabled, the VA also determines the effective date of that disability, the date the disability began. Often this date is several years prior to the date that the VA makes its final determination on disability. If a disability is found to have commenced in a prior year, the affected veteran, his surviving spouse, and/or minor children will then receive federal benefits retroactively, to the effective date of the disability.

Under the former law governing refunds of local property tax, no homestead exemption could be applied to a property until the date application was made for it. The recently amended provision of the homestead exemption for disabled veterans will allow the exemption to be applied retroactive to the effective date of 100% disability, as determined by the VA. This will generate refunds in some cases as the retroactive application will produce a flag that overpayment been made. Only tax years within a three year prior to the date of application are subject to retroactive application. Further, no interest can be collected on these funds.

# HB 196 amends O.C.G.A. § 48-5-48 (The disabled veteran's homestead exemption) by adding subsection (g), the new provision is set forth below:

### O.C.G.A § 48-5-48(g)

(g)

(1) If a disabled veteran receives a final determination from the United States Department of Veterans Affairs containing a retroactive period of eligibility, such disabled veteran or his or her surviving unremarried spouse or minor children shall be entitled to a refund of the ad valorem taxes paid during such period that he or she or his or her surviving unremarried spouse or minor children would have otherwise been exempt from such taxes pursuant to this Code section, provided that the refund shall only be for the three years preceding his or her or his or her surviving unremarried spouse's or minor children's application for the homestead exemption permitted by this Code section.

(2) Upon application for the homestead exemption provided by this Code section and submittal of proper documentation, each county and municipality shall consider the taxes paid by the disabled veteran or his or her surviving unremarried spouse or minor children under the circumstances provided in paragraph (1) of this subsection to be voluntarily or involuntarily overpaid and shall refund such taxes to such disabled veteran or his or her surviving unremarried spouse or minor disabled veteran or his or her surviving unremarried spouse or minor children in accordance with Code Section 48-5-380.

(3) Upon final determination and approval of a period of prior eligibility, the county board of assessors shall immediately transmit such approval to the local tax commissioner and local municipal tax officer if applicable. The local tax commissioner and local municipal tax officer shall be authorized to refund the proportioned amount of taxes from the entities for whom the taxes were collected for the tax years approved for the exemption. Such refund shall not exceed three years and shall not include interest.

### **ANALYSIS:**

Under the new provisions, a veteran determined to be 100% disabled by the VA, is deemed to have "voluntarily or involuntarily overpaid" taxes since the effective date of disability. Upon filing an application for disabled veterans homestead exemption, an automatic refund may be triggered. As written, the need for board of commissioner approval is eliminated. Although the language requires administration in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 45-5-380, the
board of assessors receives the following instruction, "upon final determination and approval of a period of prior eligibility, the county board of assessors shall immediately transmit such approval to the local tax commissioner...". The tax commissioner is immediately authorized to issue payment to the veteran of any overpaid tax dollars. "Final determination" is used in (g)(1) to refer to the decision on disability issued by the VA not the decision of a board of commissioners. After a determination letter from the VA is submitted by a veteran to apply for the exemption, the next decision is the, "approval of the period of eligibility". This period is defined by the statute. It is measured retroactively from the date of application and includes up to three years preceding the date of application, depending on the effective date of the disability. No formal refund request is necessary nor is it clear how these decisions are appealed.

Under this new provision, an application for this exemption must establish 5 elements. The new law states, that the veteran is only entitled to receive the tax dollars he would have otherwise been entitled under the other provisions of this code section. The veteran must demonstrate disability, effective date, and discharge status. Additionally, the exemption must be applied to a, "homestead which such veteran owns and actually occupies as a residence …" In accordance with the outlined procedure, the veteran must submit the following proof at the time of application:

- 1. Proof of honorable discharge from service.
- 2. A VA finding of 100% disability due to his service.
- 3. The VA effective date of the disability
- 4. Proof of ownership of the property at issue
- 5. Proof of residence on the property at issue during the time claimed.

If the proper documents are submitted, the applicant is approved for the exemption for all future tax years and a payment for any overpayment in the 3 previous years is triggered.

## **COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST**

Department:	Legal	Presenter(s):	Dennis Davenport	, County Attorney
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #	15
	8.42 in respond to the recently ado	for disabled veterans' Peter Davis, J pted Disabled Veterans' Homesteac	0	
Background/History/Details	S:			
regarding Disabled Vetera A memorandum explainin What action are you seekir	ns' Homestead Exemption. g each request is provided. ng from the Board of Commissioner			
	8.42 in respond to the recently ado	for disabled veterans' Peter Davis, J pted Disabled Veterans' Homesteac	0	
f this item requires funding	j, please describe:			
Has this request been con	sidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	n?	
Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?*		No Backup P	Provided with Request? Yes	
		Clerk's Office no later than 48 ho udio-visual material is submitted		
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	I by Legal	Yes
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	lerk's Approval	Yes

Staff Notes:

Administrator's Approval

Page 147 of 185

LAW OFFICES

McNally, Fox, Grant & Davenport

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 100 HABERSHAM DRIVE FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214-1381

TELEPHONE: (770) 461-2223 FACSIMILE: (770) 719-4832 (770) 461-5863

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 849 FAYETTEVILLE, GA 30214-0849

#### MEMORANDUM

RE:	Disabled veterans' exemption under HB 196
DATE:	July 3, 2017
FROM:	E. Allison Ivey Cox
TO:	Board of Commissioners

WILLIAM R. MCNALLY

DENNIS A. DAVENPORT PATRICK A. STOUGH MEREDITH F. McCLURE

E. ALLISON IVEY COX

PATRICK J. FOX

PHILIP P. GRANT

#### IMPACT OF CHANGES TO THE DISABLED VETERANS HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION ON PREVIOUS REFUND REQUESTS:

Should this body choose to re-address the requests of disabled veterans that have come before it since September 2016, the cases and recommended action on each is set forth below. Each analysis assumes an application date of July 1, 2017 (the first day that retroactive application of the exemption will be legal).

#### A. Recommended for Refund upon reconsideration

- 1. Mr. Peter Davis requested a refund of taxes based on his status as 100% disabled in 2016. On September 12, 2016, the request was denied for having no legal foundation. A re-examination under current law would result in a refund.
  - 1. Mr. Peter Davis was honorably discharged from service.
  - 2. The VA found him to be 100% disabled as a result of his service.
  - 3. The VA found the effective date of the disability was January 1, 2010
  - 4. Mr. Davis owns the property at issue
  - 5. Mr. Davis resided on the property at issue during the appropriate time.

Mr. Davis' sworn affidavit of homestead supports his residence and ownership. His VA letter supports his discharge status, rating at 100% disability and effective date of disability. Any years prior to 2014 are foreclosed for review by the statute of limitations. Tax year 2016 was addressed prior to payment of the 2016 tax bill. Under the law as it is now amended, Mr. Davis should be granted a refund for the 2014 and 2015 tax years as follows:

2014 \$848.95

#### 2015 \$853.87

- 2. Ms. Jamie Kagels requested a refund of taxes based on her status as 100% disabled November 15, 2016. On January 27, 2017, the request was denied for having no legal foundation. A re-examination under current law would result in a refund.
  - 1. Ms. Kagels was honorably discharged from service.
  - 2. The VA found her to be 100% disabled as a result of her service.
  - 3. The VA found the effective date of the disability was August 23, 2013.
  - 4. Ms. Kagels owns the property at issue.
  - 5. Ms. Kagels resided on the property at issue during the appropriate time.

Ms. Kagels' sworn affidavit of homestead supports her residence and ownership. Her VA letter supports her discharge status, rating at 100% disability and effective date of disability. Under the law as it is now amended, Ms. Kagels should be granted a refund for tax years 2014, 2015 and 2016 as follows:

2014	\$1,179.98
2015	\$1,913.20
2016	\$2,052.31
	Total: \$5,145.49

- Ms. Ora Williamson requested a refund of taxes based on her status as 100% disabled in October 2016. On December 9, the request was denied for having no legal foundation. A re-examination under current law would result in a refund.
  - 1. Ms. Williamson was honorably discharged from service.
  - 2. The VA found her to be 100% disabled as a result of her service.
  - 3. The VA found the effective date of the disability was May 3, 2010.
  - 4. Ms. Williamson owns the property at issue
  - 5. Ms. Williamson resided on the property at issue during the appropriate time.

Ms. Williamson's sworn affidavit of homestead and her driver's liscense.issued May 2013 support her residence and property ownership. Her VA letter supports her discharge status, rating at 100% disability and effective date of disability. Any years prior to 2014 are foreclosed for review by the statute of limitations. Tax year 2016 was addressed prior to payment of the 2016 tax bill. Under the law as is now amended, Ms. Williamson should be granted a refund for the 2014 and 2015 tax years as follows:

2014 \$2,007.72

### 2015 \$2,062.39 Total: \$4070.11

#### B. Recommended for denial upon reconsideration

 Ms. Ivonne Morrison applied for a refund on July 13, 2013 based on application of an exemption for disabled veteran status. Investigation by the tax commissioners office revealed that Ms. Morrison has been receiving a disabled veterans homestead exemption on her property in Lorton, Virginia for each of the years she requested a tax refund. The request was denied. The exemption can be applied to only one residence. She currently lives in Virginia. Her request should remain a denial as follows:

2014	\$2,054.91
2015	\$2,198.91
	Total: \$4,253.82

2. On February 6, 2017, Mr. Ritesh Ghimire made a request for refund of taxes paid in 2016 based on application of an exemption for disabled veteran status. The request was denied on April 13. Mr. Ghimire should not receive a refund for tax year 2016. He owns and resides on the property and the information submitted by Mr. Ghimire from the VA supports his rating at 100% disabled and honorable discharge. However, the effective date for his service related disability is February 6, 2017. The exemption should only apply for tax year 2017 and later. The request should remain a denial as follows:

2016 \$2112.06

The board of assessors has approved the application each of the above qualifying veterans. This body is now asked to reconsider the requests that have come before it for refund or denial.

# COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Page 150 of 185

Department:	Legal	Presenter(s):	Dennis Davenpo	rt, County Attorney
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business	¥16
Wording for the Agenda:		<i>a</i>		
	County Attorney to deny the disposit y adopted Disabled Veterans' Homes			
, Background/History/Deta	ils:			
1	s will reconsider past disabled veterar rans' Homestead Exemption.	ns' homestead exemptions impacted	I by the adoption o	f House Bill 196
A memorandum explaini	ng each denial is provided. The mem	no provides the approvals and denia	ls in one memo.	
	ing from the Board of Commissioner			
Denial of disposition of ta	ax refunds for disabled veterans, Ivor	nne Morrison and Ritesh Ghimire.		
If this item requires fundir	ng, please describe:			
Has this request been co	nsidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	n?	
			I	
Is Audio-Visual Equipme	nt Required for this Request?*	No Backup P	Provided with Requ	est? Yes
	I must be submitted to the County onsibility to ensure all third-party a		,	0
Approved by Finance		Reviewed	d by Legal	Yes
			5 0	
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	IERKS ADDROVAL	Yes
Approved by Purchasing Administrator's Approval	Not Applicable	County C	lerk's Approval	Yes

Page 151 of 185

LAW OFFICES

McNally, Fox, Grant & Davenport

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 100 HABERSHAM DRIVE FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214-1381

TELEPHONE: (770) 461-2223 FACSIMILE: (770) 719-4832 (770) 461-5863

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 849 FAYETTEVILLE, GA 30214-0849

#### MEMORANDUM

RE:	Disabled veterans' exemption under HB 196
DATE:	July 3, 2017
FROM:	E. Allison Ivey Cox
TO:	Board of Commissioners

WILLIAM R. MCNALLY

DENNIS A. DAVENPORT PATRICK A. STOUGH MEREDITH F. McCLURE

E. ALLISON IVEY COX

PATRICK J. FOX

PHILIP P. GRANT

#### IMPACT OF CHANGES TO THE DISABLED VETERANS HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION ON PREVIOUS REFUND REQUESTS:

Should this body choose to re-address the requests of disabled veterans that have come before it since September 2016, the cases and recommended action on each is set forth below. Each analysis assumes an application date of July 1, 2017 (the first day that retroactive application of the exemption will be legal).

#### A. Recommended for Refund upon reconsideration

- 1. Mr. Peter Davis requested a refund of taxes based on his status as 100% disabled in 2016. On September 12, 2016, the request was denied for having no legal foundation. A re-examination under current law would result in a refund.
  - 1. Mr. Peter Davis was honorably discharged from service.
  - 2. The VA found him to be 100% disabled as a result of his service.
  - 3. The VA found the effective date of the disability was January 1, 2010
  - 4. Mr. Davis owns the property at issue
  - 5. Mr. Davis resided on the property at issue during the appropriate time.

Mr. Davis' sworn affidavit of homestead supports his residence and ownership. His VA letter supports his discharge status, rating at 100% disability and effective date of disability. Any years prior to 2014 are foreclosed for review by the statute of limitations. Tax year 2016 was addressed prior to payment of the 2016 tax bill. Under the law as it is now amended, Mr. Davis should be granted a refund for the 2014 and 2015 tax years as follows:

2014 \$848.95

#### 2015 \$853.87

- 2. Ms. Jamie Kagels requested a refund of taxes based on her status as 100% disabled November 15, 2016. On January 27, 2017, the request was denied for having no legal foundation. A re-examination under current law would result in a refund.
  - 1. Ms. Kagels was honorably discharged from service.
  - 2. The VA found her to be 100% disabled as a result of her service.
  - 3. The VA found the effective date of the disability was August 23, 2013.
  - 4. Ms. Kagels owns the property at issue.
  - 5. Ms. Kagels resided on the property at issue during the appropriate time.

Ms. Kagels' sworn affidavit of homestead supports her residence and ownership. Her VA letter supports her discharge status, rating at 100% disability and effective date of disability. Under the law as it is now amended, Ms. Kagels should be granted a refund for tax years 2014, 2015 and 2016 as follows:

2014	\$1,179.98
2015	\$1,913.20
2016	\$2,052.31
	Total: \$5,145.49

- Ms. Ora Williamson requested a refund of taxes based on her status as 100% disabled in October 2016. On December 9, the request was denied for having no legal foundation. A re-examination under current law would result in a refund.
  - 1. Ms. Williamson was honorably discharged from service.
  - 2. The VA found her to be 100% disabled as a result of her service.
  - 3. The VA found the effective date of the disability was May 3, 2010.
  - 4. Ms. Williamson owns the property at issue
  - 5. Ms. Williamson resided on the property at issue during the appropriate time.

Ms. Williamson's sworn affidavit of homestead and her driver's liscense.issued May 2013 support her residence and property ownership. Her VA letter supports her discharge status, rating at 100% disability and effective date of disability. Any years prior to 2014 are foreclosed for review by the statute of limitations. Tax year 2016 was addressed prior to payment of the 2016 tax bill. Under the law as is now amended, Ms. Williamson should be granted a refund for the 2014 and 2015 tax years as follows:

2014 \$2,007.72

#### 2015 \$2,062.39 Total: \$4070.11

#### B. Recommended for denial upon reconsideration

 Ms. Ivonne Morrison applied for a refund on July 13, 2013 based on application of an exemption for disabled veteran status. Investigation by the tax commissioners office revealed that Ms. Morrison has been receiving a disabled veterans homestead exemption on her property in Lorton, Virginia for each of the years she requested a tax refund. The request was denied. The exemption can be applied to only one residence. She currently lives in Virginia. Her request should remain a denial as follows:

2014	\$2,054.91
2015	\$2,198.91
	Total: \$4,253.82

2. On February 6, 2017, Mr. Ritesh Ghimire made a request for refund of taxes paid in 2016 based on application of an exemption for disabled veteran status. The request was denied on April 13. Mr. Ghimire should not receive a refund for tax year 2016. He owns and resides on the property and the information submitted by Mr. Ghimire from the VA supports his rating at 100% disabled and honorable discharge. However, the effective date for his service related disability is February 6, 2017. The exemption should only apply for tax year 2017 and later. The request should remain a denial as follows:

2016 \$2112.06

The board of assessors has approved the application each of the above qualifying veterans. This body is now asked to reconsider the requests that have come before it for refund or denial.

# COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Department:	County Attorney	Presenter(s):	Dennis Davenp	ort
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business	#17
Wording for the Agenda:				
Consideration of the Cour	ty Attorney's recommendation to ap 5 and 2016 in the amount of \$167.4	pprove the disposition of tax refunds, 10.	as requested by	Jamie and Lisa
Background/History/Details	5.			
bills, they have the right to reviewed in detail by the C final approval of said requ	o request a Refund under O.C.G.A. County Attorney. Appropriate recom ests.	t to taxes paid to Fayette County on 48-5-380. This request is given to the mendation(s) are then forwarded to t an explanation to approve tax years	e Tax Assessors he Board of Con	' Office in order to be nmissioner's for their
Approval of the County At	ng from the Board of Commissioner torney's recommendation to approv 16 in the amount of \$167.40.	s? e the disposition of tax refunds, as re	equested by Jam	ie and Lisa Inagawa
If this item requires funding	j, please describe:			
i s i	•	the overpayment of taxes (voluntarily taxes have already been collected from the second s	<b>.</b>	
Has this request been con	sidered within the past two years?	No If so, when	ו?	
Is Audio-Visual Equipment	Required for this Request?*	No Backup Pr	ovided with Req	uest? Yes
		Clerk's Office no later than 48 hou udio-visual material is submitted a		
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	by Legal	Yes
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County Cl	erk's Approval	Yes

Administrator's Approval

Staff Notes:

# Fayette County Tax Commissioner

140 Stonewall Avenue West • Fayetteville, GA 30214 Tags: 770.461.3611 Tax: 770.461.3652 www.fayettecountytaxcomm.com



Kristie King Tax Commissioner

April 18, 2017

RE: Jamie and Lisa Inagawa 450 Swains Dr. Peachtree City, GA 30269

On April 18, 2017, taxpayer Lisa Inagawa came into this office to inquire as to why the homestead exemption she had applied for was not on her 2016 property tax statement. Our clerk was unable to find an exemption card for her, and asked Mrs. Inagawa to bring in any paperwork she might have at home given to her at the time the application was made. Mrs. Inagawa left then returned with her copies of the signed homestead card. However, due to a clerical error in this office the exemption application was completed on an incorrect parcel. The exemption was granted to the new owner of the property formerly owned by the Inagawas.

The exemption has been removed from the parcel granted the exemption in error for tax year 2017 and going forward.

Mrs. Inagawa would like a refund for tax years 2015 and 2016 for the property she was applying for in 2014, which is parcel #060330003, 450 Swains Drive, Peachtree City, Georgia, 30269.

Pained - Whete Pamela White

Fayette County Tax Commissioners Office (770) 461-3652 x103 LAW OFFICES

## McNally, Fox, Grant & Davenport

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214-1381

TELEPHONE: (770) 461-2223 FACSIMILE: (770) 719-4832 (770) 461-5863

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 849 FAYETTEVILLE, GA 30214-0849

PATRICK J. FOX PHILIP P. GRANT DENNIS A. DAVENPORT PATRICK A. STOUGH MEREDITH F. MCCLURE E. ALLISON IVEY COX

WILLIAM R. MCNALLY

#### MEMORANDUM

To: Fayette County Board of Commissioners

From: McNally, Fox, Grant & Davenport, P.C.

Date: June 20, 2017

Re: Tax Refund Request – Jamie and Lisa Inagawa

On April 18, 2017 Lisa Inagawa made a request for a refund of local property taxes based on the absence of a homestead exemption on her notice of assessment and billing for 2015 and 2016. On February 18, 2014, the Inagawas acquired a residence located at 450 Swains Drive in Peachtree City. They applied for homestead exemption at that time. The exemption was approved to be applied beginning in tax year 2015. However, the exemption was not present on the tax bill for 2015 or 2016. Ms. Inagawa brought this to the attention of the tax commissioner's staff. She was advised to locate and resubmit her copy of the 2014 application. She complied with the request. Upon investigating of the claim, the staff discovered that an error was made in processing the application. The exemption was applied to the property previously owned by the Inagawas, Lot 29 of Wilshire Estates. As a result, the property at 450 Swains Drive was assessed without application of the homestead exemption.

Qualification for homestead exemption requires residency and ownership of the homesteaded property. Both of these requisites were met by the Inagawas at the time the application for homestead was filed. The refund provisions operate in two scenarios. First, to return taxes that have been assessed and collected either in error or illegally. Second, to return those taxes that were voluntarily or involuntarily overpaid by the taxpayer. The error in processing the application for homestead exemption resulted in erroneous assessment and collection of taxes in 2015 and 2016. The exemption has been incorporated for future assessment.

A refund is recommended to remedy the error for 2015 and 2016 as follows:

2015	\$84.63	Approval
2016	\$82.77	Approval

Total recommended refund = \$167.40



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

July 3, 2017

Jamie and Lisa Inagawa 450 Swains Drive Peachtree City, GA 30269

**RE: Tax Refund Request** 

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Inagawa

This letter is to notify you that your request for tax refund has been slated to appear on the Thursday, July 13, 2017 Agenda of the regularly scheduled meeting of the Fayette County Board of Commissioners, at 6:30 P.M.

That meeting will take place in the Public Meeting Room of the Board of Commissioners located at 140 Stonewall Avenue West, Fayetteville 30214.

Your request will be discussed and a decision to grant or deny your request will be made at that meeting.

Should you desire to be heard on the matter, please be present and prepared to address the commissioners at the appropriate time.

Sincerely,

Tameca P. White, MBA, CCC County Clerk

Cc: Ali Cox, Assistant County Attorney Joel Benton, Tax Assessor Kristi King, Tax Commissioner

# COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Page 158 of 185

Department:	County Attorney		Presenter(s):	Dennis Davenpor	t	
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	7	Type of Request:	New Business #	18	
Wording for the Agenda:	t			-		
Consideration of the Cour years 2015 and 2016 in th		dation to approve the d	isposition of tax refunds	, as requested by R	oger Casale for tax	
Background/History/Details	S:					
When a taxpayer feels tha bills, they have the right to reviewed in detail by the C final approval of said requ A memo from the County	o request a Refund unde County Attorney. Approp lests.	r O.C.G.A. 48-5-380. The recommendation (s	his request is given to th are then forwarded to	e Tax Assessors' C the Board of Comm	office in order to be issioner's for their	
What action are you seekir Approval of the County At 2015 and 2016 in the amo	torney's recommendatio		ition of tax refunds, as r	equested by Roger	Casale for tax years	
I If this item requires funding	n plasa dascriba:					
The funding required will h		ests where the overnav	ment of taxes (voluntaril	v or involuntarily) w	as a direct result of	
property that had previous						
Has this request been con	Has this request been considered within the past two years? No If so, when?					
Is Audio-Visual Equipment	Is Audio-Visual Equipment Required for this Request?* No Backup Provided with Request? Yes					
All audio-visual material must be submitted to the County Clerk's Office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. It is also your department's responsibility to ensure all third-party audio-visual material is submitted at least 48 hours in advance.						
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable		Reviewed	I by Legal	Yes	
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable		County C	lerk's Approval	Yes	

Administrator's Approval

Staff Notes:

Page 159 of 185

LAW OFFICES

McNally, Fox, Grant & Davenport

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214-1381

TELEPHONE: (770) 461-2223 FACSIMILE: (770) 719-4832 (770) 461-5863

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 849 FAYETTEVILLE, GA 30214-0849

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: FROM:	Fayette County Board of Commissioners E. Allison Ivey Cox	
TROM.		
DATE:	June 28, 2017 OU St	
RE:	Recommendation om Roger Casale tax refund request – Disabled	
	Veteran	

Roger Casale, resides at 1222 Pinehurst Drive in Peachtree City. He made a request for refund based on application of a disabled veterans homestead exemption on May 12, 2017. He has benefitted from a homestead exemption on this property in both 2015 and 2016. At the time of application, Mr. Casale, submitted a letter from the VA dated February 19, 2015. The letter disclosed VA findings of honorable discharge and 100% service related disability. No effective date of disability was mentioned. As such the February 19, 2015 date of the letter is presumed to be the effective date.

Based on the submitted application for disabled veterans homestead exemption, Mr. Casale has overpaid taxes for the 2015 and 2016 tax years. A refund is recommended in the following amounts:

2015 \$828.23

2016 \$871.21

TOTAL \$1699.44

WILLIAM R. MCNALLY PATRICK J. FOX PHILIP P. GRANT DENNIS A. DAVENPORT PATRICK A. STOUGH MEREDITH F. MCCLURE E. ALLISON IVEY COX



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

July 3, 2017

Roger F. Casale 1222 Pinehurst Drive Peachtree City, GA 30269

**RE: Tax Refund Request** 

Dear Mr. Casale

This letter is to notify you that your request for tax refund has been slated to appear on the Thursday, July 13, 2017 Agenda of the regularly scheduled meeting of the Fayette County Board of Commissioners, at 6:30 P.M.

That meeting will take place in the Public Meeting Room of the Board of Commissioners located at 140 Stonewall Avenue West, Fayetteville 30214.

Your request will be discussed and a decision to grant or deny your request will be made at that meeting.

Should you desire to be heard on the matter, please be present and prepared to address the commissioners at the appropriate time.

Sincerely,

Tameca P. White, MBA, CCC County Clerk

Cc: Ali Cox, Assistant County Attorney Joel Benton, Tax Assessor Kristi King, Tax Commissioner

# COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Page 161 of 185

Department:	County Attorney	Presenter(s):	Dennis Davenport	
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business #7	9
Wording for the Agenda:				
	5	eny the disposition of tax refunds, as	requested by Pauli	ne Parker for tax
Background/History/Detail	S:			
bills, they have the right t	o request a Refund under O.C.G.A. County Attorney. Appropriate recom	t to taxes paid to Fayette County on 48-5-380. This request is given to th mendation(s) are then forwarded to	e Tax Assessors' C	ffice in order to be
A memo from the County this request.	Attorney is provided as backup with	n an explanation to approve tax years	s 2012, 2013, 2014,	2015 and 2016 of
	ng from the Board of Commissioner	s? ne disposition of tax refunds, as requ	lostod by Daulino D	arkor for tax yoars
2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 a	5			
If this item requires fundin	g, please describe:			
0 1	1	the overpayment of taxes (voluntarily taxes have already been collected fi	, ,	
Has this request been cor	nsidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	n?	
Is Audio-Visual Equipmen	t Required for this Request?*	No Backup P	rovided with Reque	st? Yes
		Clerk's Office no later than 48 ho udio-visual material is submitted		0
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	I by Legal	Yes
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable	County C	lerk's Approval	Yes
Administrator's Approval				

Staff Notes:

April 7, 2017

Fayette County Tax Commissioner 140 Stonewall Ave W Fayetteville, GA 30214



To Whom It May Concern:

I am requesting underTitle 48 Revenue and Taxation GA Code 48-5-380 for reimbursement of property and all other taxes that exempt me as a !00% total and permanent veteran.

I have attached my VA award letter and the effective date December 1 2012.

Thank you,

Kerle

Pauline Parker 195 Pilgrim Way Fayetteville, GA 30214

LAW OFFICES



A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 100 HABERSHAM DRIVE FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214-1381

TELEPHONE: (770) 461-2223 FACSIMILE: (770) 719-4832 (770) 461-5863

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 849 FAYETTEVILLE, GA 30214-0849

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Fayette County Board of Commissioners
FROM:	E. Allison Ivey Cox
DATE:	June 28, 2017
RE:	Recommendation of denial of Pauline Parker tax refund request – Disabled Veteran

Pauline Parker resides at 195 Pilgrim Way. She has made a request for refund based application of homestead exemption for a 100% disabled veteran. Ms. Parker submitted this request and her application for exemption on January 25, 2017. She received no homestead prior to 2017. At the time of application, Ms. Parker made an affidavit swearing to her residency and ownership of her residence, "as of January 1 for the year the exemption is claimed." As part of her application, Ms. Parker submitted a Department of Veterans Affairs determination dated January 25, 2017. That document demonstrates an honorable discharge from military service, a service connected disability rated at 100% and an effective date of December 1, 2016. She owns and reside in the subject home, as demonstrated through her affidavit.

Based on her application, Ms. Parker is eligible for the disabled veterans homestead exemption beginning tax year 2017. Unfortunately, none of the submitted documentation supports a refund. The effective date of her disability only impacts tax year 2017 and beyond. A refund of taxes paid in any previous tax year is unsupported and anything prior to 2014 is barred by the statute of limitations. A denial is recommended for the requests for refund in tax years 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 as follows:

2012	\$1,794.44
2013	\$1,786.74
2014	\$1,868.56
2015	\$2019.72
2016	\$2,136.54

WILLIAM R. MCNALLY PATRICK J. FOX PHILIP P. GRANT DENNIS A. DAVENPORT PATRICK A. STOUGH MEREDITH F. MCCLURE E. ALLISON IVEY COX

Page 164 of 185



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

July 3, 2017

Pauline Parker 195 Pilgram Way Fayetteville, GA 30214

**RE: Tax Refund Request** 

Dear Ms. Parker

This letter is to notify you that your request for tax refund has been slated to appear on the Thursday, July 13, 2017 Agenda of the regularly scheduled meeting of the Fayette County Board of Commissioners, at 6:30 P.M.

That meeting will take place in the Public Meeting Room of the Board of Commissioners located at 140 Stonewall Avenue West, Fayetteville 30214.

Your request will be discussed and a decision to grant or deny your request will be made at that meeting.

Should you desire to be heard on the matter, please be present and prepared to address the commissioners at the appropriate time.

Sincerely,

Tameca P. White, MBA, ČCC County Clerk

Cc: Ali Cox, Assistant County Attorney Joel Benton, Tax Assessor Kristi King, Tax Commissioner

## COUNTY AGENDA REQUEST

Page 165 of 185

Department:	Administration	Presenter(s):	Steve Rapson, Co	unty Administrator
Meeting Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017	Type of Request:	New Business	
Wording for the Agenda:	,		,	
	5 5	lle sewer system to serve a proposed	d residential subdivis	sion located on SR
Background/History/Detail	S:			
<ul> <li>82.99 ac Butler Tract – I</li> <li>39.6 ac Gable tract – Pa See enclosed location ma</li> <li>Although the property is in the land owners. See the</li> </ul>	Parcel 0529-070 arcels 0529-058 and 0528 – 059 aps. These properties are currently n unincorporated Fayette, it has acc e enclosed letter from Chris Hindman	in Fayette County for a single-family zoned R-40. ess to City of Fayetteville sewer by p n, Fayetteville Water and Sewer. By oject to the Fayetteville sewer syster	prior agreement betw copy of this letter, v	veen the City and
	ng from the Board of Commissioner			
North in Land Lot 157 of t	5 5	wer system to serve a proposed resi	dential subdivision i	ocated on SR 92
 If this item requires fundin	g, please describe:			
Has this request been cor	sidered within the past two years?	No If so, whe	n?	
Is Audio-Visual Equipmen	t Required for this Request?*	Backup P	rovided with Reques	st? Yes
	5	c Clerk's Office no later than 48 ho udio-visual material is submitted a		•
Approved by Finance	Not Applicable	Reviewed	by Legal	
Approved by Purchasing	Not Applicable		erk's Approval	Yes

Administrator's Approval

Yes

Staff Notes:

#### Brent Fayette, LLC 270 North Jeff Davis Drive Fayetteville, GA 30214 (770) 461-0478

June 15, 2017

Fayette County Board of Commissioners 140 Stonewall Ave Fayetteville, GA 30214

RE: Butler Gable properties, SR 92

Dear Board of Commissioners,

We are considering the development of three adjoining tracts in Fayette County for a single-family residential subdivision:

- 82.99 ac Butler Tract Parcel 0529-070
- 39.6 ac Gable tract Parcels 0529-058 and 0528 059

See enclosed location maps. These properties are currently zoned R-40.

Although the property is in unincorporated Fayette, it has access to City of Fayetteville sewer by prior agreement between the City and the land owners. See the enclosed letter from Chris Hindman, Fayetteville Water and Sewer. By copy of this letter, we are requesting approval from the Board of Commissioners to connect this project to the Fayetteville sewer system.

Sincerely, perea tolack

Donna Black Land Manager



# City of Fayetteville

240 Glynn Street South • Fayetteville, Georgia 30214 Telephone (770) 461-6029 • Facsimile (770) 460-4238 www.fayetteville-ga.gov

Facebook

MAYOR Edward J. Johnson, Jr.

COUNCIL Scott Stacy, Mayor Pro Tem Kathaleen Brewer Paul C. Oddo, Jr. Harlan Shirley James B. Williams

CITY MANAGER Ray Gibson

CITY CLERK Anne Barksdale

06/15/17

Re: Sewer Availability and Capacity

The property located in Land Lots 157 and 156 in the 5<sup>th</sup> District of Fayette County, in the Fayetteville City limits, located off of Highway 92 N, known as the "Butler Property" will be furnished with enough capacity for sewer service to provide for the needs required by the developer for this development. The property is outside of the City Limits but the sewer connection access was part of sewer easement negotiations with the property owner granted in June 8<sup>th</sup>, 1987. The owner or developer will be responsible for any additions or changes that will be made to the sewer system.

The owner is responsible for providing all engineering details to accomplish any additions or changes to the City's sanitary sewer system if necessary. All work done shall comply with the City's Developmental Standards.

If you have any questions feel free to call me at (770) 460-4664.

Thank you, *Chris Hindman* Chris Hindman Director of Public Services







Administrator's Report: A

"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

140 STONEWALL AVENUE WEST, STE 204 www.fayettecountypa.gov

To: Steve Rapson

Through: Ted L. Burgess

Trina C. Barwicks From:

Date: June 22, 2017

#### Subject: Contract #P916: Auditing Personal Property Accounts for Compliance -Renewal #2

The Tax Assessors has an annual contract with Mendola & Associates to verify the accuracy of Business Personal Property Tax Reports filed by Fayette County business taxpayers. The contract authorizes two 12-month renewal terms. This request is for approval of the second renewal option. The vendor has accepted the renewal option, if approved.

Specifics of the proposed contract are as follows:

Contract Name:	#P916: Auditing Personal Property Accounts for Compliance
Renewal Number:	Renewal #2
Vendor:	Mendola & Associates, LLC
NTE:	\$50,000.00 /

FY 2018 Budget Proposed: \$50,000.00 Organization Code: 10010550 (Tax Assessor) Object Code: 521316 (Technical Services)

Awarding Authority:

County Administrator

Approval Signature

Stur Che Date:

## FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Use this form to record contractor performance for any contract of \$50,000 or above.
 The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.

3. This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or

expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.						
VENDOR INFORMATION COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION				N		
Company Name:	Contract Number:					
MENDOLA & ASSOCIATES, LLC	#P916					
Mailing Address:	Contract Description or Title:					
525 CLUBHOUSE DRIVE, SUITE 200	AUDIT PERSONAL PROPER	TY ACC	OUNTS	FOR CO	OMPLIA	NCE
City, St, Zip Code:	Contract Term (Dates)					
PEACHTREE CITY, GA 30269	From: FEB 2015 To: JUN	N 2017				
Phone Number:	Task Order Number:					
770-631-3911	N/A					
Cell Number:	Other Reference:					
404-512-7174						
E-Mail Address:						
JOEMENDOLA@COMCAST.NET						
	DEFINITIONS					
<u>OUTSTANDING</u> – Vendor considerably exceeded products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest						
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contra	actual requirements or performance	e expectat	ions of th	ne produc	cts/servic	es.
SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.						
UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet	the minimum contractual requirer	nents or	performa	nce exp	ectations	of the
products and/or services; Performed below minimum re	quirements					
EVALUATIONS (Place ">	(" in appropriate box for	each c	riterio	n.)		
Criteria (includes change orders	/ amendments)	Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply
1. Work or other deliverables performed on so	chedule			X		
2. Condition of delivered products			x			
3. Quality of work x						
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work			X			
		1	1	1		1

5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem or complaint resolution Х 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing Х 7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens Х 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time Х 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule Х 10. Other (specify): Х 11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance Х EVALUATED BY Signature: Date of Evaluation: June 19, 2017 Print Name: Joel T. Benton Department/Division: Tax Assessors Title: Chief Appraiser Telephone No: 770-305-5272

Form Updated 11/16/2016

# Page 172 of 185

\_\_\_\_\_

	CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Ratings			
Company N	ame:	Contract Number:		
	<ol> <li>Do not submit page 2 without page 1.</li> <li>Use this page to explain evaluations o</li> <li>Be specific (include paragraph and pa</li> </ol>	PLANATIONS / COMMENTS of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . Ige numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). Company name and contract number or other reference)	Continue	

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

Administrator's Report: B



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

To:	Steve Rapson
Through:	Ted L. Burgess
From:	Trina C. Barwicks
Date:	June 26, 2017
Subject:	Contract #940-P: Engineer of

Subject: Contract #940-P: Engineer of Record for Public Works: Task Order 21 – Redwine Road (Starrs Mill Complex) Multi-Use Trail and Tunnel Design – FY 2017 SPLOST Project

The current Engineer of Record for a division of Public Works projects, Tetra Tech, Inc. has issued Task Order #21 for Redwine Road (Starrs Mill Complex) Multi-Use Trail and Tunnel Design. The multi-use path is planned to convey people and small golf cart type vehicles from the west side of Redwine Road to the southside of Panther Path.

Specifics of the Task Order are as follows:

Contract Name:	#940-P: Engineer of Record for Public Works
Task Order:	#21: Redwine Road (Starrs Mill Complex) Multi-Use Trail
	and Tunnel Design
Contractor:	Tetra Tech, Inc.
Contract Type:	Engineering Services
Amount:	\$72,402.00
Budget:	
Organization Code:	Varies Project Numbers will be assigned
Object Code:	
Project:	FY 2017 SPLOST

Awarding Authority:

County Administrator

Approval Signature

Strund Date: 6/26/17



Administrator's Report: C

"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

To:	Steve Rapson
Through:	Ted L. Burgess
From:	Trina C. Barwicks

Date: June 22, 2017

Subject: Contract #949-A: Dust Control Services – Renewal 2

The Road Department enters into an annual contract each year for a vendor to provide and apply a road stabilizing and dust control agent to some of the county's unpaved roads. The Road Department has used calcium chloride as a road stabilizer for a number of years. The regional distributor of calcium chloride, AVF Industries in Atlanta, has confirmed to us that they supply only one vendor in this area. The vendor is South Eastern Road Treatment.

This request is for approval of the second renewal option. The Road Department recommends renewal and has confirmed that they are satisfied with the work of the contractor, see attached. The contractor has accepted the renewal option, if approved.

The contracted price is \$3,041.28 per mile of road treatment. This renewal term will contain a not-to-exceed price of \$80,000.00 which will treat approximately 26 miles of road.

Specifics of the proposed contract renewal are as follows:

Contract Name	#949-A: Dust Control Services
Renewal Number	Renewal #2
Vendor	South Eastern Road Treatment
Not-to-Exceed Amount	\$80,000

FY 2018 Proposed Budget:\$80,000.00Organization Code:10040220 (Road Department)Object Code:521316 (Technical Services)

Awarding Authority:

County Administrator

Approval Signature

tante

Date:

Page	175	of	185

FAYE CONTRACTOR	TTE COUNTY, GEOR R PERFORMANCE E\	GIA /ALUAT	ION			Page
1. Use this form to record contractor performa	ince for any contract of \$50.000	or above				
<ol> <li>I he person who serves as project manager</li> </ol>	or account manager is the dec	ignated par	ty to co	mplete ti	he evalu	ation
1 3. This form is to be completed and forwarded	to the Purchasing Department	not later th	an 30 c	lays afte	r comple	etion
expiration of a contract. Past performance	is considered on future contrac	IS.				
VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL	APPLICA	ABLE	INFOR	MATIC	N
Company Name:	Contract Number:					
South Eastern Road Treatment, Inc. Mailing Address:	#949-A					
453 Columbia Industrial Blvd., Ste. 2	Contract Description or Tit	e:				
City, St, Zip Code:	Dust Control Services		-			
Evans, GA 30809	Contract Term (Dates) From: April 2015	To: Jun	0 2017			
Phone Number:	Task Order Number:	TO. JUN	e 2017			
706-860-1893	N/A					
Cell Number:	Other Reference:					
706-339-4196						
E-Mail Address:						
richiea@knology.net						
	DEFINITIONS					
OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceede	d minimum contractual requirer	nents or p	erformar	ice expe	ctations	of t
products/services, the vehiclor demonstrated the high	nest level of quality workmanship/p	rofessionalis	m in exe	ecution of	contract	
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum con	ntractual requirements or performant	nce expectat	ions of t	he produc	cts/servic	es
SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contra	actual requirements or performance	e expectation	is of the	products	Isonvicos	
UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not mee products and/or services; Performed below minimum	requirements				ectations	of th
EVALUATIONS (Place	"X" in appropriate box fo	or each c	riterio	n.)		
Criteria (includes change order	rs / amendments)	Out-	Exc	Sat	Un-	No
1. Work or other deliverables performed on		standing			Sat	App
2. Condition of delivered products						
3. Quality of work				$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$		
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of w	vork		V	×		
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem	m or complaint resolution		0			
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing			~	V		
7. Working relationship / interfacing with cou	inty staff and citizens		~	X		
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time			$\wedge$			V
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedu	lle					A
10. Other (specify):						X
	ance			V		
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performa	The second se			<u>^</u>	1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 199	
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performa						
	EVALUATED BY	141		Manufacture de la		
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performa	Date of Evaluation:	6/20/17				
		6/20/17 Road				

#### Administrator's Report: D



"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

To: Steve Rapson

Through: Ted L. Burgess

From: Trina C. Barwicks

Date: June 22, 2017

Subject: #1307-A: Firefighters Uniform Annual Contract

Fire & Emergency Management Services submitted in FY 2018 a budget request to purchase the uniform items as needed, at the same prices awarded whether for new employees or replacement items. This award is for the annual contract of uniform trousers, shirts, jackets, shoes, boots, belts and ball caps. Toward this end, the Purchasing Department issued Request for Quotes #1307-A: Firefighters Uniform Annual Contract.

Notices of the opportunity to quote were emailed to twenty seven uniform suppliers. The RFQ was also advertised and GLGA Market Place website and the State of Georgia eSource System. Purchasing received six quotes.

Fire & EMS recommends awarding a contract to four suppliers, Uniform Sales America, T & T Uniform South, Uniform Source and Smyrna Police Distributors. The low bidder that met or exceeded the specification is recommended for award. Line item 6: Job Shirt, apparent low bidder did not meet specification. The shirt quoted does not have a collar. Line items 13 and 14: <u>Trousers</u> apparent low bidder did not meet specification of freedom fit waistband with 2-zone stretch. Line items 8, 10 and 12 are being awarded to the second low bidder. The low bidder Galls, LLC has been disqualified because of unsatisfactory services in the previous contract #1089-A: Annual Contract for Fire Station Uniform Items, see attached Performance Evaluation.

Specifics of the proposed contract are as follows:

<b>Contract Name:</b>	#1307-A: Firefighters Uniform Annual Contract
Vendor:	Uniform Sales America, LLC
Not-to-Exceed Amount:	\$9,000.00

Vendor:	T & T Uniforms - South
Not-to-Exceed Amount:	\$55,600.00

Vendor: Uniform Source, LLC Not-to-Exceed Amount: \$4,500.00

> Smyrna Police Distributors \$1,500.00

Vendor: Not-to-Exceed Amount:

**Award Total NTE:** \$70,600.00

Organization/Object Code:

27030550 - 531701 - FY 2018 Budget Proposed: \$51,500.00 27230600 - 531701 - FY 2018 Budget Proposed: \$18,000.00 10030930 - 531701 - FY 2018 Budget Proposed: § 1,100.00 FY 2018 Budget Proposed: \$70,600.00

Awarding Authority:

County Administrator

Approval Signature

Aun Date: 623

Page 1

## FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

1. Use this form to record contractor performance for any contract of \$50,000 or above.

2. The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.

3. This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION			
Company Name: Uniform Sales of America Inc.	Contract Number: 1089-A			
Mailing Address: 1415 Trae Lane	Contract Description or Title: Annual Contract for Fire Station Uniform Items			
City, St, Zip Code: Lithia Springs, Ga. 30122	Contract Term (Dates) From: July 1, 2016 To: June 30, 2017			
Phone Number:770-944-0596	Task Order Number:			
Cell Number:	Other Reference:			
E-Mail Address:				

#### DEFINITIONS

<u>OUTSTANDING</u> – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.

**EXCELLENT (Exc)** - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. **SATISFACTORY (Sat)** - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.

<u>UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat)</u> - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products and/or services; Performed below minimum requirements

## EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.)

Criteria (includes change orders / amendments)		Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply
1. Work or other deliverables performed on sched	dule			Х		
2. Condition of delivered products				Х		
3. Quality of work			Х			
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work			Х			
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem or o	complaint resolution			Х		
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing			Х			
7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens			Х			
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time						X
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule				X		
10. Other (specify):						X
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance				Х		
EVA	ALUATED BY					
Signature:	Date of Evaluation: June 22, 2017					
Print Name: Michael Pollard	Department/Division: Fi	re/EMS				
Title: Captain	Telephone No: 770-305-5492					

Form Updated 11/16/2016

		ERFORMANCE EVALUATION Inding or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 2
Company N	lame:	Contract Number:	
	<ol> <li>Do not submit page 2 without page 1.</li> <li>Use this page to explain evaluations of 3. Be specific (include paragraph and paragraph)</li> </ol>	PLANATIONS / COMMENTS of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . age numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). Company name and contract number or other reference)	Continue
		the demonstruct a familitaria had an autor dad time	
	Overall they provided good service to	the department a few items had an extended time.	
	They communicated with the departm	nent on items that were delayed.	
		and an and a second	a:
	х.		

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

Page 1

## FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

1. Use this form to record contractor performance for any contract of \$50,000 or above.

The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.
 This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or

expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION			
Company Name:T & T Uniforms South Inc.	Contract Number: 1089-A			
Mailing Address: 285-A North Main St.	Contract Description or Title: Annaul contract for Fire Station Uniforms Items			
City, St, Zip Code: Jonesboro, Ga. 30236	Contract Term (Dates) From: July 1, 2016 To: June 30, 2017			
Phone Number: 770-210-3399	Task Order Number:			
Cell Number:	Other Reference:			
E-Mail Address: tandtuniforms.com				
	DEFINITIONS			
<b>OUTSTANDING</b> – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.				
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contra	ctual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.			
SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractu	al requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.			

<u>UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat)</u> - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products and/or services; Performed below minimum requirements

#### EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.)

in appropriate box for	••••		,		
Criteria (includes change orders / amendments)		Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply
dule		Х			
		Х			
		Х			
complaint resolution		Х			
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing			Х		
7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens		Х			
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time					X
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule		Х			
10. Other (specify):					X
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance		Х			
ALUATED BY					
Date of Evaluation: June 22, 2017					
Department/Division: Fire/EMS					
Telephone No: 770-305-5462					
	mendments) dule complaint resolution staff and citizens ALUATED BY Date of Evaluation: June Department/Division: Fin	Out-standing         idule	Out-standing       Exc         dule       X         idule       X         X       X         Complaint resolution       X         Staff and citizens       X         Image: Staff and citizen	mendments)     standing     Exc     Sat       idule     X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       Complaint resolution     X       X     X       Staff and citizens     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X	Out-standing     Exc     Sat     Un-sat       dule     X     X     X       X     X     X     X       X     X     X     X       Complaint resolution     X     X       Staff and citizens     X     X       X     X     X       X     X     X       Staff and citizens     X     X       X     X    <

Form Updated 11/16/2016

#### Page 181 of 185

		ERFORMANCE EVALUATION Inding or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 2
Company Na	ame:	Contract Number:	
	<ol> <li>Do not submit page 2 without page 1.</li> <li>Use this page to explain evaluations o</li> <li>Be specific (include paragraph and pa</li> </ol>	PLANATIONS / COMMENTS of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . age numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). ( mpany name and contract number or other reference)	Continue
		artment excellent service in the past year, they are ver	У
	accommodating to ours needs and go	the extra mile in providing the best service possible.	
			and the second

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):

	E COUNTY, GEORG PERFORMANCE EVA		ON		F	age 1
1. Use this form to record contractor performance	for any contract of \$50,000 or	above.	v to com	nloto the	a ovalur	tion
<ol> <li>The person who serves as project manager or</li> <li>This form is to be completed and forwarded to</li> </ol>	the Purchasing Department n	ot later that	an 30 da	lys after o	complet	tion or
expiration of a contract. Past performance is c						
VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL A	PPLICA	BLEIN	NFORM	IATIO	N
Company Name: Galls Inc.	Contract Number: 10	89-A				
Mailing Address 1340 Russell Cave Rd	Contract Description or Title: Annual Contract	for Fire S	tation U	Jniform	s Items	6
City, St, Zip Code:	Contract Term (Dates)	223				
Lexington, KY. 40505 Phone Number:	From: July 01, 2016 Task Order Number:	To:	June 3	30, 2017		
	Task ofder Number.					
Cell Number:	Other Reference:					
E-Mail Address:		*N				
	DEFINITIONS					
OUTSTANDING – Vendor considerably exceeded products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highes	minimum contractual requirement	ents or pe	erformand	ce expec	tations	of the
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contra						
SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contraction						
UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet products and/or services; Performed below minimum red	he minimum contractual require					
EVALUATIONS (Place "X		r each c	riterio	n.)		
Criteria (includes change orders	the state of the second s	Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply
1. Work or other deliverables performed on so		oturiang			X	1.66.0
2. Condition of delivered products				X		
3. Quality of work				X		
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of wo					Х	
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem	or complaint resolution			X	Х	
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing				Λ	X	
7. Working relationship / interfacing with coun	y starr and citizens				Λ	v
<ol> <li>8. Service Call (On-Call) response time</li> <li>9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule</li> </ol>					X	X
10. Other (specify):						X
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance					Х	
	EVALUATED BY		and Mary Contractor and	<u></u>		
Signature:	Date of Evaluation:	Ma	ay 23, 20	017		
Print Name: Michael Pollard	Department/Division:	Fire/	EMS			
Title: Captain	Telephone No:	770-	305-549	92	2	

Form Updated 11/16/2016

		RFORMANCE EVALUATION nding or Unsatisfactory Ratings	Page 2	
Company Name:		Contract Number:		
	<ol> <li>Do not submit page 2 without page 1.</li> <li>Use this page to explain evaluations of 3. Be specific (include paragraph and p</li></ol>	PLANATIONS / COMMENTS of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . Ige numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). ( mpany name and contract number or other reference)	Continue	
	We placed an order on Septembe	r 15, 2016 for 22 job shirts. We shipped them the pat	ches	
	that would be needed from the department on the 17th of September. We recieved 2 of the 22			
	shirts November 28, 2016. The remainder of the order was recieved on December 06, 2016.			
	A second order was placed 8 more of the job shirts on February 27, 2017 and we recieved			
	May 22, 2017 and the order was incomplete with the shirts not having shoulder patches on both sleeves.			
	The ball caps were also ordered on September 15, 2017 and were recieved and the end of January			
	2017.			
	The delivery time on the contract s	stated 30 days.		
		-		

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):



Administrator's Report: E

"WHERE QUALITY IS A LIFESTYLE"

To:	Steve Rapson
Through:	Ted L. Burgess
From:	Trina C. Barwicks
Date:	June 12, 2017

Subject: RFQ #1326-A: Partridge Point Culvert Replacement

Environmental Management has an approved budgetary project which includes Stormwater Projects. Toward this end, the Purchasing Department issued request for quotes #1326-A: Partridge Point Culvert Replacement for Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) prequalified contractors to provide Culvert Replacement at Partridge Point. Notices of the opportunity to quote were emailed to seventeen contractors on the Purchasing Department bid list, department referrals and/or contractors who bid/quoted previously on culvert replacement projects. Another three hundred and sixty eight contractors were contacted through the Georgia Procurement Registry. This request for quotes was also advertised on the Local Government Access Marketplace.

The Purchasing Department received seven quotes as listed below.

<b>COMPANIES NAME:</b>	TOTAL BASE QUOTE:
CONSTRUCTION 57 INCORPORATED	\$52,760.00
MCLEROY INC.	\$72,195.00
RDJE, INC.	\$80,030.00
PIEDMONT PAVING, INC.	\$84,971.00
SITE ENGINEERING INC.	\$90,810.00
CRAWFORD GRADING & PIPE, INC.	\$94,559.85
GEORGIA BRIDGE AND CONCRETE, LLC	\$109,070.00

The Environmental Management Department recommends award to the low bidder, Construction 57 Incorporated. Two of Three References has been checked by the Purchasing Department with positive responses and recommendations. The third reference was unable to be reached.

Specifics of the proposed contract are as follows:

Contract Name:	#1326-A: Partridge Point Culvert Replacement
Vendor:	Construction 57 Incorporated
Total Base Amount:	\$52,760.00

Budget:

Organization Code:	50940320 (Stormwater Proj)
Object Code:	541210 (Other Improvement)
Project Code:	55091 (Stormwater Projects)
Available Budget:	\$101,099.68 as of 6/12/2017

Awarding Authority:

County Administrator

Approval Signature

Aun 6/13/2017 Date:

Note: There is no record of a previous contract with Construction 57, Incorporated, so there is no Contractor Evaluation Report.