Fire Chief David Scarborough advised the Board in response to both we do not own the architectural design for Fire Station 3, nor was that requested in the scope of work for Fire Station 4.

Commissioner Charles D. Rousseau expressed his concerns and displeasure with us not including ownership of architectural design in the scope of work for this project. As a good steward of taxpayer funds ownership of the architectural design would save us money on future Fire Station design using it as a template.

County Administrator Steve Rapson added to the discussion that architectural and engineering services for the design for Fire Station 3 had been bided on and awarded prior to this Board; however in reference to Fire Station 4 we are no longer under that contract and have expressly stated ownership of architectural design to be used as a template for future Fire Stations.

Commissioner Charles D. Rousseau asked for us to reach out to Howell Group Inc.in regards to the ownership of architectural design portion of this project; he asked County Attorney Dennis Davenport how to word a motion to do so; however County Attorney Davenport would need a series of questions answered before he could properly provide guidance.

Commissioner Brown asked can we, if necessary, scrub/void this RFP process and start over from scratch.

County Attorney Dennis Davenport we could if needed.

Commissioner Rousseau moved to table this item to the September 28th Commission Meeting with the caveat of all involved to providing questions/concerns to County Administrator Steve Rapson by Friday September 15, 2017. The motion passed 5-0.

This item was moved from the Consent Agenda at the acceptance of the agenda.

3. Approval of staff's request to increase the 2018, \$100,000 project budget, by \$6,000 for Audio-Visual Upgrades to four Courtrooms in Fayette County's Justice Center based on the proposed contract submitted by The Show Business, Sound & Lightning, Inc., approval of the contract for \$105,912.52 and authorization for the County Administrator to execute required documents.

Commissioner Charles D. Rousseau asked County Administrator Steve Rapson what contributed to the \$6,000 overage. Along with that he also has concerns about selecting a vendor well above bid pricing; and more importantly what assurances or agreements do we have with this vendor long term.

County Administrator Steve Rapson clarified agreement and scope of work for projects at the courthouse The Show Business, Sound & Lightning, Inc.,

Commissioner Charles D. Rousseau moved to approve staff's request to increase the 2018, \$100,000 project budget, by \$6,000 for Audio-Visual Upgrades to four Courtrooms in Fayette County's Justice Center with the povision that County Administrator Steve Rapson and Matt with The Show Business, Sound & Lightning, Inc. will discuss and negotiate service agreement with Fayette County. Ognio seconded. The motion passed 5-0.

This item was moved from the Consent Agenda at the acceptance of the agenda.

4. Approval of the July 13, 2017 Board of Commissioner Meeting Minutes. This item was tabled at the August 24, 2017 meeting.

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, accommodations are available for those who are hearing impaired and/or in need of a wheelchair. The Board of Commissioners Agenda and supporting material for each item is available on-line through the County's website at www.fayettecountyga.gov. This meeting will be telecast on Comcast Cable Channel 23 and on the internet at www.livestream.com.



40 STONEWALL AVENUE WEST, STE 204 FAYETTEVILLE, GEORGIA 30214 PHONE: 770-305-5420 www.fayettecountyga.gov

To: Steve Rapson

From: Ted L. Burgess

Date: September 14, 2017

Subject: Contract #1370-S: AV for Court Rooms

The audio-visual (AV) system in four of the Judicial Center court rooms is in need of improvement. The rooms are 1B (Magistrate Court), 2B (Superior Court), 2C (Superior Court), and 2D (State Court).

In 2013, the county issued Request for Proposals #P877 for a company to design, install, and support an audio and video system for the Commissioners' public meeting chamber. Twenty-two companies attended a mandatory pre-proposal conference, and nine submitted proposals. The county awarded the resulting contract to Sound Principle.

Since that time, there have been needs for audio, video, sound, and lighting system upgrades or installation in other county facilities. It is not economically or operationally effective to have multiple technologies installed by multiple vendors, and supported by a number of maintenance agreements. For these reasons, it was decided to continue our business relationship with Sound Principles for related installations and service. In addition to the public meeting chamber, they have installed sound and lighting at the Historic Court House. They also installed the AV system in Court Room 2A of the Justice Center.

The Fiscal Year budget includes \$100,000.00 for Justice Center AV improvements. It is recommended that the Board of Commissioners transfer \$6,000.00 from the Project Contingency fund, and award a contract to Sound Principle in the amount of \$105,912.52 to install an upgraded AV system in the four court rooms listed above. The Department of Information Technology has completed a Contractor Performance Evaluation, which is attached.

Specifics of the contract are as follows:

Contract Name	1370-S: AV for Court Rooms	
Vendor	Sound Principles	
Contract Amount	\$105,912.52	
Budget:		
Fund	375	CIP
Org. Code	37510535	CIP Information Systems
Object	542530	Business Machines
Project	181AK	Justice Center – Audio Visual
Available Balance:		
Current Budget	\$100,000.00	
Requested Transfer	6,000.00	
Total	\$106,000.00	

FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Page 1

1. Use this form to record contractor performance for any contract of

2. The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation.

3. This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.

VENDOR INFORMATION	COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION					
Company Name: Show Business Sound and Lighting Inc.	Contract Number: 1033-N					
	Contract Description or Title: N Courthouse	New Sou	nd Syste	em for H	istoric C	ounty
	Contract Term (Dates)					
	From: 11/23/2015		To:0	Complet	ed	
Phone Number:770-477-6227	Task Order Number:					
Cell Number:	Other Reference:					
E-Mail Address:soundprinciplespro.com						
DEFINITIONS						
<u>OUTSTANDING</u> – Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract.						
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contract	ual requirements or performance	expectat	ions of th	e produc	ts/servic	es.
SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services.						
<u>UNSATISFACTORY</u> (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products and/or services; Performed below minimum requirements						
EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.)						
Criteria (includes change orders / amendments)		Out- standing	Exc	Sat	Un- Sat	Not Apply
1. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule				х		
2. Condition of delivered products				Х		
3. Quality of work				Х		
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work				Х		
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem or complaint resolution				Х		
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing				Х		
7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens				Х		
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time				Х		
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule				Х		
10. Other (specify):						
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance			-	Х		
EVALUATED BY						
Signature:	Date of Evaluation:09/07/2017					
Print Name:Philip Frieder	Department/Division:IT					
Title:CIO	Telephone No:770-305-5406					

Form Updated 11/16/2016

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Ratings

-		~
Pag	е	2

	Explanation of Outstanding of Onsatisfactory Ratings			
Company N	ny Name: Contract Number:			
	 EXPLANATIONS / COMMENTS 1. Do not submit page 2 without page 1. 2. Use this page to explain evaluations of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>. 3. Be specific (include paragraph and page numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). Continue on separate sheet if needed (show company name and contract number or other reference) 			

Purchasing Department Comments (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):