Minutes

Board of Commissioners
August 3, 2011
3:30 P.M.

Notice: A complete audio recording of this meeting can be heard by accessing Fayette County's Website at www.fayettecountyga.gov. Click on "Board of Commissioners", then "County Commission Meetings", and follow the instructions. The entire meeting or a single topic can be heard.

The Board of Commissioners of Fayette County, Georgia, met in an Official Workshop Meeting on August 3, 2011, at 3:30 p.m. in the Public Meeting Room of the Fayette County Administrative Complex, 140 Stonewall Avenue, Fayetteville, Georgia.

Commissioners Present:	Herb Frady, Chairman Robert Horgan, Vice Chairman Steve Brown Lee Hearn Allen McCarty
Staff Present:	Jack Krakeel, County Administrator Scott Bennett, County Attorney Carol Chandler, Executive Assistant Karen Morley, Chief Deputy Clerk

---

Chairman Frady called the meeting to order.

Acceptance of Agenda: Commissioner Brown made a motion to accept the agenda as presented. Commissioner Horgan seconded the motion. The motion carried 5-0.

Old Business:

1. **Further discussion of a request from Commissioner McCarty that the Board consider establishing a formal set of standards to be used wherever the County acquires privately-owned property for the construction of a public facility:**

Commissioner McCarty stated that the purpose of this request was to establish a set of standards to be used whenever the County acquires privately-owned property for transportation projects. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 1", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. He said this written policy would be available for the public and hopefully solve a lot of problems and answer questions they might have. He said he had discussed this with County Attorney Scott Bennett and a policy clarifying these procedures has been drafted.

Commissioner Horgan asked for clarification in the document of wording in the document for "transportation projects" and in other sections for "the County getting property". He asked if this document should be broader than just transportation projects or should it just be worded for whenever the County needs property for whatever reasons.

County Attorney Scott Bennett remarked that this document was designed for transportation projects and it also talks about the procedure for transportation projects. He said there were special laws governing acquisition of property through imminent domain for transportation projects that were a part and separate from other types of projects. He said first of all the County would negotiate in good faith with a property owner and if negotiations could not be reached, then the County would obtain an appraiser. He said the County would then offer the appraised value and if negotiations could not be reached at that point, the County would proceed with condemnation.

Commissioner McCarty said the purpose of this document was for citizens of the County to have answers to any questions they may have regarding the County acquiring privately-owned property.

Chairman Frady said it was his understanding that this document parallels the State's documentation very closely and County Attorney Bennett agreed.

Commissioner Hearn said he would like to see this document used as a way to inform a landowner of their rights. He said he did not like the title of imminent domain. He also questioned what surrounding counties were doing in facing this same issue. He suggested staff contact Henry County, Rockdale County, Gwinnett County and Cobb County to see what their policy looks like if they have one.

There was a consensus to place this item on the August 11th Board of Commissioners' Agenda for action.

2. <u>Further discussion of staff's recommendation related to funding the costs of stormwater management:</u>

Director of Stormwater Management Vanessa Birrell and Engineer Bryan Keller discussed this item with the Board. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 2", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. Ms. Birrell remarked that in 2004 there were approximately 10 stormwater utilities in the State and today there are over 40 utilities in the metro Atlanta area alone. Mr. Keller discussed cost comparisons of stormwater utilities in the surrounding area. Ms. Birrell remarked on the extent of service. She said staff was proposing that Fayette County would be responsible for maintenance of the stormwater system located in County owned right-of-ways and on other publicly owned land. She noted that the policy could be expanded on a case by case basis if certain conditions were met including: (1) structures directly connected to existing MS_4; (2) existing conditions pose a significant and real threat to human health, property (including County infrastructure), or environment; (3) Water draining from the MS_4 contributes a significant amount to the total flow draining through the structure(s); (4) problem is not attributable to property owner negligence; and (5) appropriate easements for drainage and maintenance are provided by the property owners to the County. She compared the extent of service for the City of Peachtree City and Clayton County.

Ms. Birrell further remarked on the stormwater user fee credits. She said these are made available to private and public entities that undertake specific stormwater management activities to reduce the impact/demand on Fayette County's Stormwater Management Program. She also remarked that credits are given for both structural and non-structural stormwater activities.

Commissioner Brown questioned what would occur when stormwater infrastructure on private property was not maintained, causing problems in the system and not in compliance. Ms. Birrell remarked that staff was recommending in the proposed stormwater ordinance to have personnel available to perform inspections depending upon whether or

not the property owner had a structure that was illegally connected to the County's and if it was having a negative impact on the County's infrastructure.

Commissioner Brown asked what the financial impact would be if all of the credits were issued in terms of annual funding. Mr. Keller replied that most places very rarely receive a lot of credits but the average was 5%-10% credits. He said Fayette County would be looking at $50,000 - $60,000 per year off annual revenue projections.

Commissioner Horgan asked how the fee structure would be impacted if the public schools were excluded from the list. He also asked if there could be a cap limit on the amount that churches would be charged. Mr. Keller remarked that as a result of committee discussion, it was recommended to form a board to at least do one annual report, and then every five years to bring recommendations to the Commissioners as to whether or not the fee should be increased or decreased or completely removed. Mr. Keller remarked that the schools were estimated to be approximately 3% of the entire budget which was around $43,000. He said the schools would also have credits for educational purposes. Mr. Keller commented on the issue of a cap and stated he had never come across another utility that has a cap and he was not sure about this.

Commissioner Hearn said he wanted to encourage citizens to do the prudent things as this relates to stormwater, but also wanted it to be simple enough for the County to implement without being a heavy burden on staff. He said he would be in favor of a maximum rate of $250 for large churches and a lower rate for smaller churches.

Chairman Frady asked staff for clarification on the $18 million estimated for inventory and Ms. Birrell replied that figure was a very rough figure and nothing would be definite until a full blown inventory was done of the County. She noted that this would have to be done in the next three to five years to meet the North Georgia requirement.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel said he would be glad to work with staff to (1) develop a five year pro forma budget for this program that takes into consideration the current operations; (2) the current capital budget that the Board has previously approved as part of its budget process this current year which is $1.1 million for stormwater projects; (3) further development of the inventory of the current system County-wide; and (4) a flood plain mapping program which is also a component that has to be accomplished. He felt this would give the Board a much better insight as to total cost over a projected five year period and then correlate that to an appropriate fee schedule.

Chairman Frady said he felt this was something that needed to be done. He said he did not feel this could be accomplished by raising taxes because everyone in Fayette County would be taxed instead of just the unincorporated County. He felt something along the lines of user fees was the way to go.

Commissioner McCarty remarked that the budget was approximately $18 million behind at this point in time and there was no money in the budget to cover this cost. He questioned if this fee was implemented, how many years would it take at this fee rate to get this budget caught up.

Mr. Keller said staff had provided a five year operations plan at the Board's last meeting. He remarked that the projects that the County was going to work on this year were paid for through the Capital Improvement Program. He noted that the cost for the utility implementation was also paid for and there was also $350,000 for C.I.P. projects which include pipe replacement and those sorts of things.

Commissioner McCarty remarked that the County was still $18 million behind to begin with and he asked at what point would the County catch up and then take in enough money to continue the work. He said he also understood that a homeowner would have an additional inspector who would come on his property without his permission to determine

what his stormwater activity would be and possibly fine him or give him a bill for repair that they felt may be necessary on his property.

Mr. Keller said there were several things that would stop that. He pointed out that a property owner would have to sign a right of entry agreement for staff to come onto the property in order to perform an inspection. He also noted that staff would not be going on all private property and looking for problems. He said under the erosion and sediment control staff had a permit that would allow staff to come onto the property. He also pointed out that there were many utilities surrounding Fayette County that have not gotten caught up yet and they were started 10 to 15 years ago. He said there would be a point when the County would catch up but no one would know when that would be.

Commissioner Hearn said he was supportive of setting up a utility. He felt it important for citizens to know that Peachtree City residents and Fayetteville were already paying a stormwater utility fee and are also paying County taxes.

Commissioner Brown remarked that the baseline problem was that the County had never done anything regarding stormwater. He said with all of the reservoir projects built up now, the problems would start showing up. He said stormwater infrastructure would be under the roads and could be taken care of at the same time when a road was being repaved, realigned or whatever.

Commissioner McCarty interjected if this work had been done in past years, then the County would not this far behind.

Commissioner Brown felt there was a question as to what the credits were going to be, and what the total financial impact would be of those credits. He said he had also heard from the Board about a sliding scale for churches and he wasn't sure how this would figure out. Ms. Birrell remarked that staff was developing a credit manual that would be presented to the Board for discussion and approval as well as the rate structure ordinance and a utility implementation ordinance. She noted that staff had the utility ordinance, the rate structure ordinance and the credit manual were already prepared.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel remarked that staff would need direction from the Board as to how they should proceed from here. He said if this was something that the Board was interested in implementing, then staff would bring a recommended rate schedule and an ordinance to set up a utility back to the Board at a Thursday night meeting. He said the Board could then discuss this and vote on it at that time. He recommended staff have some additional time in order to discuss this with him regarding the sliding scale issue and several other items that the Board has mentioned today.

Commissioner Hearn interjected that he would like to start off slow and work up based on the assessment. He said the final amount might be as low as $11 million.

Chairman Frady suggested this item be placed on the Board's August 25th Agenda for further discussion and the Board agreed.

3. <u>Discussion on consideration of establishing an independent library system for Fayette County:</u>

County Administrator Jack Krakeel remarked that this item had previously been discussed approximately three years ago and quite a bit of work had been done from a financial perspective. He said irrespective of how this Board wanted to proceed in this matter, until the LOST negotiations begin and include a renewal or modification to the current Service Delivery Agreement with the municipalities, nothing could really be done. He said this would be prepatory work in preparation for the renegotiation of the Service Delivery Agreement which would be part of the LOST negotiations next

year. He said this was because at the time the Service Delivery Agreement as reached, there was specific language added to the Agreement with respect to the library system in Fayette County.

Assistant Finance Director Toni Jo Howard discussed this item with the Board. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 3", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. She remarked that staff had done some preliminary work on this early in 2010 but this was put on hold due to State funding reductions which resulted in the Board of Regents and the Georgia Public Library Service placing a moratorium on creating new single county library systems. She said some of the original issues that staff had identified included (1) Service Delivery Strategy Agreement which states that cities cannot remain part of the Flint River if the County does not remain part of the Flint River. She noted that the State would require the County to do 100% funding and also look into what steps would be required for this State to recognize Fayette County as a new library system; (2) Courier services would have to be addressed; (3) access to the Pine System and possible charges as well as the Galileo System and the possible cost for replacement of computers; and (4) possible cost for books. She said staff was looking for direction from the Board as to what direction it wished to take.

Commissioner Brown remarked that earlier in the year he had met with library representatives from Peachtree City, Tyrone and Fayette County. He said the Flint River and the State Board of Regents was also represented at this meeting. He said the State Board of Regents officials said under no conditions at all were they even going to allow anyone to create their own district and still receive any kind of State funding. He said he could not imagine the County going out on its own and absorbing the total cost of running the library and he could not imagine what it would cost Peachtree City to absorb the entire bill for providing services. He said he saw this as a huge financial tidal wave if the County decided to take this on without State funding.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel remarked that there was currently no State funding available. He felt the critical question that needed to be answered at this junction was in light of the decision of the Flint River Regional System to no longer fund the position for the Fayette County Director of Library Services was whether or not the fees that are currently being paid to the Flint River Regional Library System equate to the level of service that was received from the Regional Library System. He said the County has been paying and continues to pay approximately $75,000 per year. He said the question was with the reduction in funding provided to Fayette County through the elimination of the Director of Library Services. He questioned if the fees that the County was paying were consistent with the level of service, and exactly services are being provided.

Commissioner Brown said he agreed and the County would need to weight all of these different entities that are in that system. He suggesting trying to renegotiate the County's contract with Flint River. Mr. Krakeel interjected that the County had attempted this in the past and they use a State driven formula based on a per capita basis. He said he did not feel there was a lot of room for negotiating the contract and felt it was pretty well fixed.

Commissioner Hearn said the County needed to determine the cost of going out on its own, and if the same level of service could be provided to the citizens.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel remarked that staff was looking for direction from the Board to see how they should proceed and if staff needed to spend any significant time on this matter or wait until next year and revisit the issue when the LOST renegotiations begin.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel said staff could compile information during the coming weeks and provide this information to the Board at its September 7th Workshop meeting.

4. Consideration of further information related to the relocation of the Office of Women, Infants, and Children (WIC):

County Administrator Jack Krakeel discussed this matter with the Board. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 4", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. He remarked that at the Board's last meeting staff was requested to present additional analysis and research with respect to a potential site location for the WIC program. He said Commissioner Brown had specifically requested information related to how Spalding County approached this matter. Mr. Krakeel said he had visited the potential site on Lee Street and staff had prepared a site plan for that location. He said he had met with the City Manager and the County staff had met with City staff to discuss the location on Lee Street. He said today he had forwarded the estimated cost of relocation, site preparation, hookup and everything necessary to relocate the modular unit. He remarked that the cost estimate for that was approximately $30,000. He said he had received a reply from the regional office that they felt this was doable within the revenues available at the State level, but he had not received a confirmation of that.

Mr. Krakeel further remarked in his discussions with the City, it was clear that the City preferred a different site but they recognize that the County would ultimately make that decision. He said the City had requested consideration of the number of items should the facility be located on Lee Street including: (1) screening of any HVAC equipment or utility equipment; (2) appropriate landscaping; (3) parking component in the rear of the facility rather than in front of the facility; (4) preference of the skirting of the facility to be brick rather than something else; and (5) some other aesthetic issues associated with the facility as well. He said it was his feeling that the County could accommodate a number of those requests without exceeding the budget that has been established. He said the proposed site on Lee Street would have an access on the Lee Street side and would front Lee Street. He said the necessary design work was complete in order to accomplish this as well as cost estimates.

Mr. Krakeel further remarked that he had spoken to the County Manager for Spalding County late this afternoon. He said their decision to separate the WIC program from the public health program was actually driven by the Public Health Department rather than the Board of Commissioners. He said that decision was predicated from a financial perspective where the cost of providing that program exceeded the revenues received for providing the program. He said this was a grant-in-aid program that did not contractually require to be delivered. He said the role of the Board of Commissioners was not to draft a letter saying that they no longer wanted that program as a service delivery component of their Public Health Department rather it was driven by the Board of Health and the Regional Health Office between those two entities. He said when the Board of Health made the decision that they were no longer going to provide space, then the Regional Health Office took it upon themselves to find another organization to facilitate the program. He said he was not sure that there was anything that the Board would need to do with respect to this matter unless they turned it over to the Board of Health and requested them to address it.

Commissioner Horgan remarked that the WIC program for Fayette County was outgrowing their current facility here and this was the main factor for them to seek out another site.

Commissioner Brown interjected that the County was not mandated to provide lease hold space and utilities for the WIC program, and since the County was not mandated to hold that program and it was known that the Federal Government would fund it in another venue and retain that program, he said he would like to see the Federal Government go and lease space on Highway 85 that has been vacant for the last several years and put money back into the local economy. He said the Country was in an era of deficit budgeting and there doesn't need to be any additional expenses.

Chairman Frady asked what this would cost the County and Mr. Krakeel replied that the only cost to the County to relocate the facility was the labor cost associated with staff providing assistance with the paving and some concrete work for ramps or sidewalk. Mr. Krakeel noted that all material costs would be paid for by the State and then all of their utility costs after that would have to be borne by the WIC program.

Commissioner Brown interjected that the County would permanently maintain that property and bear the cost of that, and Mr. Krakeel replied yes and stated the County was currently doing that. Commissioner Brown asked if the cost of materials would include the cost of landscaping and Mr. Krakeel replied yes, if the property was fully landscaped , but he did not feel it was the County's intent to provide minimal landscaping such as shrubbery around the facility.

Commissioner Horgan remarked that this was a very critical service that the County provides and a part of for the citizens of Fayette County as well as surrounding areas. He felt the County should do everything it could to provide this very important service to young mothers and children.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel remarked that if the Board wanted to proceed in this matter, the County Attorney would prepare a Lease Agreement with the WIC program for relocating WIC services to a site belonging to the County. There was a consensus by the Board to direct the County Attorney Scott Bennett to draft this Agreement, and Attorney Bennett said he would do so. Attorney Bennett said he would have the Lease approved by WIC and bring it back to the Board for consideration at a future meeting.

NEW BUSINESS:

5. Discussion of proposed amendments to the Fayette County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 20. Zoning Ordinance regarding auto grave yards:

Zoning Administrator Dennis Dutton discussed this item with the Board. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 5", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. He said staff was requesting permission from the Board to review the zoning ordinance in dealing with two existing businesses along Roberts Road between the section of S.R. 314 and S.R. 85. He said there were two conforming businesses located there which are auto salvage yards that have been in existence prior to the current zoning requirements. He said the reason these businesses are in non conformance is because once they existed the County placed conditions for a junk yard requiring certain set backs, requirements and so forth for the placement of vehicles. He said there was a business owner located on Roberts Road that was looking to expand his junk yard. He said based on that, the dialogue was for him to expand the business and keep the same setbacks that he was granted. He said staff understood this ordinance that if someone was a non-conforming use, that business was granted permission to allow to exist or be grandfathered but any expansion would require the business to meet requirements. He said staff had reviewed this ordinance and also reviewed it with the business owner and his attorney. He stated in 1996 the Board of Commissioners approved a policy regarding this issue, however staff's interpretation is that this policy applies to existing lots containing these non-conforming uses and not to lots where these uses are proposed to be expanded.

Mr. Dutton further remarked that this particular area was never going to be developed into anything else but this would be up to the Board as to whether staff should proceed with this or not. He said staff was just asking the Board if there was any interest for staff to review this further. He said it was the idea of the business owner to expand at this current location.

Commissioner McCarty asked if the property owner was current on his taxes and Mr. Dutton said he assumed he was but not sure. Commissioner McCarty felt the additional piece of property would bring in more taxes and the County needed tax money right now.

Commissioner Brown felt the property owner would have to conform to the zoning. He said to allow this property owner to continue with a grandfathered use on adjacent properties would be setting a bad precedent. He said just because we might not see a potential use for that site right now, there might be a possible use for this site in the future. He said he would not be in favor of allowing grandfathered properties to continue to not conform on an expansion basis and he could not support this.

Commissioner McCarty questioned if this property owner would move his business out of the County if the Board did not grant him this request. Mr. Dutton said since there was an existing business already there, he did not see this property owner moving it out of the County.

Commissioner Horgan said he agreed with Commissioner Brown and felt the County needed to abide by the current ordinance. He said he was not in favor of changing anything.

Commissioner Hearn said he also agreed with Commissioner Brown and Commissioner Horgan and was not in favor of any change.

Chairman Frady said he also was not in favor of any changes. He said this was a consensus of the Board that there was no desire to make any changes whatsoever.

6. <u>Annual update on the County's Purchasing Card Program</u>:

Assistant Finance Director Toni Jo Howard presented an update to the County's purchasing card program. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 6", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. She noted that the original Purchasing Card Policy was adopted on October 23, 2008. She said the usage of this program has grown and during 2010 there were 89 credit cards that were issued. She noted that a majority of the cards were used by the Sheriff's Department. She reviewed the dollar usage for these cards and discussed the various types of transactions associated with these cards. She noted that the smallest percentage for dollar usage was for fuel and car parts and the largest percentage was for office supplies and postage. The biggest change from 2009 to 2010 was in public relations services. She noted that in 2009 public relations services was only 7.7% and in 2010 it was 12.7%. She also remarked that as part of the purchasing card program, monthly audits were performed on random cards. She said the monthly audits have not shown any instances of exceptions to the policy. She said there were also some additional procedures that are in place to review transactions.

Commissioner Brown questioned when a card is issued to an employee, does that employee sign something stating that they are aware of the policies and procedures and things of that nature. Ms. Howard replied that employees do not actually sign anything but they are given a copy of the purchasing card policy. She said analysts are available to answer any questions an employee may have.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel also noted that there were external controls that would go into effect with the County's banking entity when they see an unusual transaction. He said an unusual transaction was automatically flagged and the County staff notified immediately. He noted that this program was working exactly how it was intended to do and has reduced staff time associated with the normal purchasing process that one would have to go through in using the card for routine transactions.

Ms. Howard also noted that there were limits set on every purchasing card as well a certain types of entities that are allowed and not allowed based on how the policy was established. She said staff monitors these cards very closely and makes sure that everything is in compliance.

Commissioner Brown questioned if an employee reaches a certain dollar figure on the card by a certain date, would this automatically get flagged. Ms. Howard remarked that there are annual limits as well as monthly limits but no one has come close to anything like that at this point.

7. The Finance Department will present an overview of proposed annual millage rates for 2011 for discussion:

Assistant Finance Director Toni Jo Howard commented on the proposed annual millage rates for 2011. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 7", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. She remarked that public hearings were scheduled for August 11th and August 25th and also a Special Called Meeting would be held on August 18th at 7:00 p.m. County Administrator Jack Krakeel remarked that there would be public comment at each of these public meetings.

Commissioner Brown remarked on recent debt ceiling situation taking place in Washington and a lot of figures going into the negatives again and possibly going into a second recession. He asked Ms. Howard if staff was adjusting making any kind of forecasting adjustments based upon these latest numbers.

Ms. Howard said staff had considered this in looking forward at the sales tax projections and the County's revenue projections for 2012. She said staff was constantly monitoring that and was providing the Board with monthly reports that provide updates as to where the County stands on based projected projections and how staff feels the County may end the year.

Mr. Krakeel said this was exactly why staff was providing the Board with roll up numbers. He said these projections have been predicated and developed three to four months ago as part of the budget development process. He remarked that with a potential double dip, this was the reason staff had presented both the no roll back and the roll back numbers so that the Board can make an informed decision. He said if the Board wanted to do a roll up, it would certainly be a hedge that would provide additional revenues to offset any potential issues associated with further declines in growth. He said staff would need direction from the Board if there was a possibility that the Board would be in favor of a roll up so that the advertisements in the newspapers could be modified and the general public be notified. He said a roll up would be equivalent to a .24 mills on the general fund. He noted that the Board would be holding three public hearings and the Board would have the ability to modify decisions during these hearings.

Mr. Krakeel asked for the Board's direction on this matter and there was a consensus by the Board for staff to proceed with advertisement of these public hearing meetings and the possibility of a potential roll up equivalent to .24 mills in the General Fund. Commissioner Brown said he had some basic fundamental disagreements which he had already expressed in past meetings.

8. Discussion of proposed amendments to the County's Reserve Fund Policy:

Assistant Finance Director Toni Jo Howard discussed the proposed amendments to the County's Reserve Fund Policy. A copy of the request and backup, identified as "Attachment No. 8", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof. She remarked that the Governmental Accounting Standards Board has issued Statement No. 54. She said this Statement required changes in the presentation of fund balance and as a result of changes required by this Statement, the reserve fund policy has been updated. She noted that some of the key points of this Statement are (1) E-911 and SPLOST fund balances will be shown as restricted; (2) General fund is the only fund that will have an unassigned fund balance; and (3) the remaining fund balance of the governmental funds after non-spendable, restricted, and committed will be shown as assigned. She further remarked that the updated reserve funds policy needed to be adopted before

the completion of the final budget entry at the end of September to ensure that the Board has established the necessary parameters for the classifications as required by the statements.

County Administrator Jack Krakeel interjected that this Statement would not change the intent of the County's policy. He said the intent of the policy as to how the County accounts for reserves is maintained in its entirety.

Commissioner Brown questioned the section at the end of this document referring to "natural disaster" and using this fund for those types of things. He asked why this was referred to as "natural disaster" instead of just "disaster."

Ms. Howard replied that part of the reason it was done in that way was because the statement actually says if something is set aside for an emergency, it has to be very specific as to what classifies as an emergency. She said a "natural disaster" would be a true disaster such as a tornado or flooding versus the working capital reserve fund.

The Board concurred that this matter could be placed on the August 11th Agenda for approval.

Administrator's Reports:
There were none.

Commissioners Reports:
There were none.

Adjournment: Hearing no further business to come before the Board, Commissioner Brown made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 5:20 p.m.. Commissioner McCarty seconded the motion. The motion carried 5-0.

Karen Morley, Chief Deputy Clerk

Herbert E. Frady, Chairman

The foregoing minutes were duly approved at an official meeting of the Board of Commissioners of Fayette County, Georgia, held on the 25th day of August, 2011.

Karen Morley, Chief Deputy Clerk