

## BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Lee Hearn, Chairman  
Edward Gibbons, Vice Chairman  
Eric K. Maxwell  
Charles W. Oddo  
Charles D. Rousseau



## FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA

Steve Rapson, County Administrator  
Dennis A. Davenport, County Attorney  
Tameca P. Smith, County Clerk  
Marlena Edwards, Chief Deputy County Clerk

140 Stonewall Avenue West  
Public Meeting Room  
Fayetteville, GA 30214

## MINUTES

March 23, 2023  
5:00 p.m.

---

Welcome to the meeting of your Fayette County Board of Commissioners. Your participation in County government is appreciated. All regularly scheduled Board meetings are open to the public and are held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Thursday of each month at 5:00 p.m.

### Call to Order

Chairman Lee Hearn called the March 23, 2023 Board of Commissioners meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. A quorum of the Board was present. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

### Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance by Commissioner Charles Rousseau

Commissioner Rousseau offered the invocation and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

### Acceptance of Agenda

Commissioner Oddo moved to accept the agenda as presented. Commissioner Rousseau seconded. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Edward Gibbons was absent.

### PROCLAMATION/RECOGNITION:

#### 1. **Presentation by Fayette County's auditing firm, Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC, of the results of the Fiscal Year 2022 annual audit.**

Gregory Chapman with Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC provided the Board with a brief outline of the results of the Fiscal Year 2021 annual audit. Mr. Chapman thanked the Board for allowing his firm the opportunity to perform the FY 2022 annual audit for Fayette County. He stated that the responsibility of his firm was to perform an audit over the financial statements. He stated that this audit was performed in accordance with Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and Government Auditing Standards (GAS). Mr. Chapman stated that the objective was to perform a reasonable but not absolute audit, with the assurance that the basic financial statements are free of material mistakes. Mr. Chapman stated that they did consider the internal control structure of the County in expressing their opinion, but the objective was to not to provide an assurance on the internal control structure. He continued stated that the financial statements were the responsibility of the County's management. He continued that the audit was an unmodified "clean" opinion on the basic financial statements, presented fairly in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Mr. Chapman stated that within the report there was a section noted as "notes to the financial statements" – Note 1 contained all the financial policies that are used by the County to support the numbers within the financial statements. He added that in considering the policies they consider whether they were in accordance with accounting principles used by governmental entities, and upon review no problems were found with the policies used by the County. Mr. Chapman stated that when auditing the financial statements there were certain numbers used in the financials referred to as "hard numbers" (e.g., cash) that can easily be confirmed. Conversely, there were other numbers that had to be estimated (e.g., account receivable that were uncollectable) and assessed by actuarials, upon review there were no significant issues concerning these. He continued stating that the

financial disclosures were also reviewed, and no issues were found. Mr. Chapman stated that he was required to disclose any issues or problem encountered with management but advised that they received full cooperation with the County's management, staff, and others. He stated that as part of the audit certain financial adjustments were recorded, most of which revolve around the actuarial evaluations he previously discussed, he noted that these reports were completed late in the process and are provided to management and available for review. Mr. Chapman stated that passed audit adjustments referred to, any adjustment that was proposed to management and management wished not to record. He continued stating that there were no passed audit adjustments, any adjustment proposed to management was accepted and recorded in the financial statement. Mr. Chapman stated that as a part of the audit they do get representations from management, where they requested, and received, written representations from management relating to the accuracy of information included in the financial statements and the completeness and accuracy of various information requested. He noted that Nichols Cauley & Associates, LLC was independent with regard to the County and its financial reporting process. Mr. Chapman briefly outlined the financial highlights discussing various trends as it related to property tax, Local Occupational Sales Tax (L.O.S.T) and the millage rate as well as a high-level overview of General Funds expenditures. Mr. Chapman concluded advising the Board the new GASB pronouncements for future years which would be for Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements (SBITA).

Commissioner Oddo stated that although not mentioned Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC also participated in a peer review, which he felt was important for the public to know, to help them understand and appreciate the quality of the analysis the County received.

Mr. Chapman stated that his firm was actually in the midst of their peer review where they similarly go through an audit-like review to ensure they are following the quality control standards that are in place for financial consulting firms.

**PUBLIC HEARING:**  
**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

The following citizens made comments: Stephanie Jones, Ralph View, Johnny Hill, Antoinette Barnes, Mimi Phillips, Henry Adams, Shirley Hopewell, Gary Bryk, Gerald T. Chichester, Larry [last name inaudible], John Smith, John Nixon, Johnny Jones, Alice Smith. Comments included concerns about the bison/buffalo and bulls that had broken free from their property and were roaming freely through the neighboring subdivision. Residents reiterated their concerns regarding safety, ordinance for animal control/wildlife, and quality of life.

Residents also expressed concerns regarding the potential for an upcoming car show event at the Rick Ross mansion. Residents reiterated concerns regarding traffic control/safety, accessibility for emergency transportation, alcohol consumption, and need for County assistance in addressing the concerns.

Residents also expressed concerns regarding recent changes at the transfer station as it related cost and the recycling process.

Resident also relayed their concerns regarding the lack of notice and awareness regarding the recently voted on Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (S.P.L.O.S.T.).

Gerald T. Chichester with Fox Rothchild representing Rick Ross. Mr. Chichester stated that Mr. Ross was aware of the bison incident and cared about what happened and was willing to work with the county to maintain compliance. Mr. Chichester stated that his team was working to comprise a plan regarding the proposed car show and was willing to work with the Board and County Administration. He mentioned that they were aware of traffic concerns and working to rectify those issues including potentially having a shuttle service. He noted that the show was an adult only event. Mr. Chichester stated he did not have full details regarding the car show but would leave his card with staff to further discussions regarding concerns. Mr. Chichester

Commissioner Rousseau asked Mr. Chichester, if he or Mr. Ross would be available to attend a community meeting should an invitation be extended.

Mr. Chichester stated that he could not speak for Mr. Ross but noted that someone from his firm could attend. Commissioner Rousseau extended an invitation to Mr. Chichester and his client to be a part of the North Fayette Community Association.

Commissioner Maxwell extended his full support to the residents of these subdivisions and expressed his genuine desire to address their concerns and issues.

**CONSENT AGENDA:**

Commissioner Oddo moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Chairman Hearn seconded. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Edward Gibbons was absent.

2. **Approval of Sheriff's Office decision to accept Option 1 of a five (5) year renewal Contract #2238-S with Flock Safety for fifty (50) existing cameras, at a cost of \$2,500.00 each, per year.**
3. **Approval of the March 9, 2023 Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes.**

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**NEW BUSINESS:**

4. **Request to approve a request from Chief Superior Judge Fletcher Sams to apply, on behalf of the Griffin Judicial Circuit, for \$851,917 of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) grant funds, for the purpose of audio-visual equipment modernization.**

Mr. Rapson stated that the request was seeking approve for Chief Superior Judge Fletcher Sams to apply, on behalf of the Griffin Judicial Circuit, for \$851,917 of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) grant funds, for the purpose of audio-visual equipment modernization.

Commissioner Oddo moved to approve request from Chief Superior Judge Fletcher Sams to apply, on behalf of the Griffin Judicial Circuit, for \$851,917 of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) grant funds, for the purpose of audio-visual equipment modernization. Commissioner Maxwell seconded. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

5. **Request to award Contract #2200-P, State Court Public Defender, to the law firm of Lister, Holt & Dennis, LLC for the amount of \$609,869.00.**

County Administrator Steve Rapson stated that last year the Public Defender's office provided the county notification, that they would no longer service non-statutory services provided to State Court defendants (Lower Court). These services will be discontinued when the contract ends on June 30, 2023. He elaborated stating that when reviewing the budget associated with the Public Defenders office there is an upper court which was include the Superior Court functions and a lower court which include some State, Probate, and Judicial Court functions. He continued stating once this notification was received an RFP was issued to procure a qualified law firm to provide these Public Defender services beginning July 1, 2023. Mr. Rapson stated that he also requested the Public Defender provide their upcoming years budget. In doing so he would be able to provide a complete and holistic review and analysis to the Board of the impact of these changes. Mr. Rapson stated that this would have a significant impact to next year's budget. In reviewing the PowerPoint presentation included in the backup package of the March 23, 2023 agenda, he outlined that the current FY2023 total allocated budget for the Public Defender included Fayette County's share of upper court which totaled \$324,628.00. The lower court included attorney staff at 1.775 FTE and support staff at 5.140 FTE totaling \$282,229. So, for both upper and lower courts the total budget was \$606,857.00. He stated that this was an important figure, because it was the current budget for this year. In reviewing the next slide of the PowerPoint, he stated that the chart outlined an overview of the FY23 level of effort for the Public Defenders Office, as well as the original offer from the proposed contracted firm, Lister, Holt, and Dennis. Mr. Rapson noted that Lister, Holt, and Dennis was the law firm that when reviewing the technical scores from the RFP, they received the best scores as well as

price in regards to providing the lower court functions. He stated that in looking at costs from Lister, Holt, Dennis Fayette County's share for upper court was set at \$420,422.00 which was an increase of \$95,616.00 or 29.5% increase. He continued stated that this increase was primarily due to staffing issues. Recruitment and retention required pay increases as well as a Fayette County court caseload increased. Mr. Rapson stated that in reviewing the lower court and the functions associated with running it, the number of attorneys increased. In reviewing the level of effort and based on conversation with the Public Defenders Office and analysis of recent law changes it was determined that the need for additional attorney staff was warranted. Mr. Rapson stated that he also analyzed the Fayette County Solicitor budget which would help provide an apples-to-apples comparison based on court sized. Through his review it was determined that the 3.33 FTE attorney staff request was comparable. As a part of negotiation, the county did request a final and best offer and was able to lower the contract amount by \$75K to \$609,869.00. As a synopsis he reiterated that the budget had increased and noted that the proposed cost for lower court was more than this years current Public Defenders Office total budget. Mr. Rapson stated that the increase associated with the bid for lower court services was about 116% higher than this year's budget. He added that they did feel this was valid comparison. Mr. Rapson concluded stated that the recommendation before the Board was to award Contract #2200-P, State Court Public Defender, to the law firm of Lister, Holt & Dennis, LLC for the amount of \$609,869.00.

Commissioner Oddo moved to approve to award Contract #2200-P, State Court Public Defender, to the law firm of Lister, Holt & Dennis, LLC for the amount of \$609,869.00. Commissioner Maxwell seconded.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that he needed some clarification, particularly as it related to the PowerPoint presentation. He inquired about the \$95,616 increase for upper court and the lack of a comparison bid, which causes him hesitation. Commissioner Rousseau asked why a comparison between the recommended bidder and the other bidder was not provided as it related to various cost associated with both upper and lower court functions.

Mr. Rapson stated that they received two bids for lower court services, The Jewkes Firm & Lister, Holt & Dennis. The Jewkes firm level of effort for lower court was 2.5 attorneys. Lister, Holt & Dennis firm level of effort for lower court was 3.33 attorneys. Of that, .33 was for a partner as a result actual attorneys in the courtroom would be 2.5 attorneys compared to 3 attorneys. Mr. Rapson noted that when this RFP was placed out for bid it was done based on technical merit and pricing. Based on that analysis the bidder that won was Lister, Holt, & Dennis. As a result, that was the firm staff focused their efforts in regard to current and future years budget comparisons. He noted that staff did not consider the other law firm even though they were \$100K less because they were not the best overall qualified based on both technical merit and price scoring.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that although he understood the scoring evaluations since both firms were new vendors, it was difficult for him to provide an educated opinion without contract comparison.

Mr. Rapson reiterated that the bid award was based on technical merit and price scoring. The Jewkes Firm did have the better pricing however overall analyzing both technical merit and pricing scores Lister, Holt & Dennis won the bid.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that this was the challenge, although the county does look for the lowest responsible bidder that did not always mean the lowest bidder, as seen in this instance. With that said he still found it difficult to provide an educated opinion, with a possible \$110K hanging in the balance, without contract comparison.

Mr. Rapson stated that he was troubled that the cost was \$340K more, so as a part of his initial review he wanted to ensure that the two firms bidding were providing an apples-to-apples comparison, which was the case.

Chairman Hearn asked if this was a time sensitive matter or could it wait.

Mr. Rapson stated that it depended on who was asked. In his opinion the item could wait, however if you asked Judge Thompson, he would be ready for a vote. However, if requested by the Board a detailed side-by-side comparison of the two bidders could be provided, although that was not something that was typically done. He noted that even with this additional information staff was confident with the current recommendation.

Commissioner Maxwell stated that he was familiar with some of the attorneys that placed a bid and had no opinion on which firm was a better option. He asked how close to the Solicitors budget was the Lister firm bid offer.

Mr. Rapson stated that the Lister firm bid was closer to the Solicitors budget than the second bidder.

Commissioner Maxwell stated that he felt the Solicitors budget was larger than the Public Defenders Office budget.

Mr. Rapson stated that was correct.

Commissioner Maxwell asked how much was anticipated to be allocated for conflict attorneys.

Mr. Rapson stated that there was a portion of each of these bids that included funds allocated to conflict attorneys.

Commissioner Maxwell stated that he had not seen that outlined in the information provided in his backup package.

Mr. Rapson stated that with most bids the vendor provided an overall offer/figure. However, he requested a detailed outline of what was included in the offer, this included a proposed level of effort, number of employees in the courtroom, etc. This detailed outline would provide assurance to the county of what the firm would adhere to and provided exactly what was agreed upon when accepting the offer. Once this outline was provided it included the attorneys, supervising attorney & partners which was one category. The second category included support staff and contract technical services which included the conflict attorney and operating expenses. This detailed outline review helped in price negotiations which lead to the \$75K price decrease. He noted that this process was focused on the bidder that won the award not both bidders. With this level of detail for each of these functions of court, along with a review of Solicitors detail budget he was able to do an apples-to-apples comparison and determined that the level of effort and costs were consistent.

Commissioner Maxwell asked in reviewing this contract he noted that this was for 1-year, was there a caveat that would allow for a 3-year contract.

Mr. Rapson stated that they had agreed to a 1-year contract, with two renewals. He noted that the only thing that would alter the terms would be caseload.

Commissioner Maxwell ask what the alternative for the county was if the Lister, Holt & Dennis Firm did not work.

Mr. Rapson said the only option would be another bid with another firm.

Commissioner Maxwell provided a brief overview of the law and history of the Public Defender's Office, and outlined why it was an important part of the court system and the requirements the County had to ensure it was properly in place. He noted that this was going to be a trial-and-error process and he anticipated some complaints from both the judges, defendants, and the contracted firm over this initial year. He noted that in his opinion this was a starting point, and an evaluation/review would be key once this first year was completed. Commissioner Maxwell stated that he knew these were not the typical type services for an attorney firm. He concluded stating that he had sat in court during court proceedings and there was no way to truly determine the appropriate number of attorneys needed. He stated that he was concerned that 3.33 attorneys would not be enough. He stated that he was ready to vote now, to put the contract in place.

Commissioner Oddo stated that in reviewing this information he admitted that he was less familiar with the mechanics and finite details of the courtroom. Typically, the Board reviewed contracts that dealt with and compared products, however this contract involved professional services which was more difficult to analyze and compare. He noted that vendors may "do the same thing but not at the same level". As a result, the Board had to do their due diligence in selecting a firm. From his standpoint he had to review the evaluations and recommendations provided by staff that noted that the Lister, Holt & Dennis firm was assessed at being much better at what they did and understood what the county needed. He stated that this was very important to him

because although there was a price difference of about \$100K, that did not compare to the cost of potential lawsuits against the County in the future. He stated that based on the analysis of the evaluations he felt it was important to go with the firm that was deemed the best based on their technical merit. He also stated that we must start somewhere and noted that he was ready to vote.

Chairman Hearn stated that when dealing with professional services the lowest bidder is not necessarily the best deal. He continued stating that for something as important as the Public Defenders Office, which was a serious matter he felt more comfortable going with the firm with best technical merit scores.

Mr. Rapson stated that the technical team comprised of: State Court Judge Thompson, Solicitor General Jamie K. Inagawa, Vernon Smith from the Attorneys Board, Captain Jones with the Sheriff Office, Purchasing Director Ted Burgess. These individuals were the professionals that provided the technical scoring evaluations.

Commissioner Rousseau stated that voting on this item would begin the budget cycle, which he was not totally in favor of. He also noted that he recently noticed a decrease in response to County bid request be it RFP, RFQ, etc. He expressed his concern and stated that there may be a need to reach out the local delegation, the State Procurement Office and/or the Governor's Office to determine if the bids were being received and/or reviewed.

Commissioner Oddo moved to approve to award Contract #2200-P, State Court Public Defender, to the law firm of Lister, Holt & Dennis, LLC for the amount of \$609,869.00. Commissioner Maxwell seconded. The motion passed 3-1, with Commissioner Rousseau voting in opposition. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

**6. Request to approve Resolution 2023-03, to participate in the national Teva, Allergan, CVS, Walgreens, and Walmart settlements and agree to be bound by an anticipated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the State of Georgia and Georgia Subdivisions regarding the settlements.**

County Attorney Dennis Davenport stated that this was the second round of National Opioid Settlements. He added that the County was involved in the first round of settlements some time back with different defendants. He noted that there were an additional five defendants who were seeking settlement with different plaintiffs within this nationwide litigation. Mr. Davenport stated that this item was seeking Board approval to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the State of Georgia and Georgia Subdivisions regarding the settlements. Mr. Davenport stated that this MOU outlined the distribution of the funds from the settlements.

Commissioner Maxwell moved to approve Resolution 2023-03, to participate in the national Teva, Allergan, CVS, Walgreens, and Walmart settlements and agree to be bound by an anticipated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the State of Georgia and Georgia Subdivisions regarding the settlements. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

**7. Request to approve staff's recommendation for the use of National Opioid Settlement funding allocated to Fayette County through the State of Georgia.**

Mr. Rapson stated that this request was staff's recommendation for the use of National Opioid Settlement funding allocated to Fayette County through the State of Georgia. He added that the County received an allocation of \$186K of new opioid settlement (Settlement #1) funding, that had not been allocated. Mr. Rapson stated that he asked both the Sheriff's Office as well as Fire/EMS for recommendation for possible uses of these funds. He stated that the goal was to setup a plan that used about \$60K annually. This would help kickstart the plan and allow for future expansion as future allocations were received. The Sheriff Office requested reimbursement of inmate specialty costs, the total annual cost for the Sheriff's Office was estimated at \$30,000/annually. And the Fire/EMS requested opioid overdose kits, the total annual cost was estimated at \$30,000/annually.

Chairman Hearn stated that this sounded like an excellent way to utilize these funds.

Mr. Rapson stated that these funds were restricted for use only for specific purposes.

Commissioner Maxwell moved to approve \$30,000 to the Sheriff Office for the reimbursement of inmate specialty costs and \$30,000 to Fire/EMS for opioid overdose kits-Narcan units, for the use of National Opioid Settlement funding allocated to Fayette County through the State of Georgia. Commissioner Oddo seconded.

Commissioner Rousseau asked if the opioid overdose kits would be to restock inventory currently being used by Fire/EMS.

Mr. Rapson stated that these kits would actually be new opioid overdose kits supplied to the Marshal's Office, Animal Control, Road Department, Constable, Recreation (in each ball field concession stand and gymnasium), Fayette County Board of Education (in each school nurse's office), etc...

Commissioner Rousseau stated as a point of clarification that only \$60K total would be spent initial and the remaining funds distribution would be determined in the future.

Mr. Rapson reiterated that these funds were allocated to a separate special revenue category unable to be spent on anything else.

Commissioner Rousseau encouraged the leaders in these various department that utilize these kits to work with entities like Drug Free Fayette and other organizations that work on the frontline dealing with overdose victims and their family. And to ensure the community was aware that these kits are available.

Mr. Rapson added that the kits would also be provided to churches, free-clinics, Homeowner's Associations (HOA) (pool area and clubhouses), along with all necessary training to use the kits.

Commissioner Maxwell moved to approve \$30,000 to the Sheriff Office for the reimbursement of inmate specialty costs and \$30,000 to Fire/EMS for opioid overdose kits-Narcan units, for the use of National Opioid Settlement funding allocated to Fayette County through the State of Georgia. Commissioner Oddo seconded. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

#### **ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORTS:**

- A. Contract #1867-P: Water System Engineer of Record; Task Order 23-07: Chemical System Improvements**
- B. Contract #1975-S: Health Facility Project Management; Change Order 3: Additional Design Development & Construction**

#### **Hot Projects Updates**

Mr. Rapson provided a report to the Board that included updates on field work for State Route 279.

#### **Family and Children Services (DFACS) Board**

Mr. Rapson advised that he needed a selection committee for Department of Family and Children Services (DFACS) Board. Commissioner Maxwell nominated Commissioner Rousseau and Commissioner Odoo to serve as the Department of Family and Children Services (DFACS) Board selection Committee. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

#### **McIntosh Trail Community Service Board**

Mr. Rapson advised that he also needed a selection committee for McIntosh Trail Community Service Board. Commissioner Rousseau moved to nominate Chairman Hearn and Vice Chairman Gibbons. Commissioner Gibbons seconded. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

#### **ATTORNEY'S REPORTS:**

#### **COMMISSIONERS' REPORTS:**

### **Commissioner Maxwell**

Commissioner Maxwell called on Mr. Rapson to provide a response to concerns regarding the bison/buffalo incident, the car show and the trash/recycling at the transfer station.

Mr. Rapson responded starting with concerns regarding the bison and the car show since they deal with the same property owner. He stated that county staff was in the process of reviewing the application for the car show, this include the Planning and Zoning office, Code Enforcement, the Sheriff Office, and Legal; and would correspond with Mr. Ross legal team once a determination was made. He reiterated that no permit for the car show had been approved. He stated that once a determination was made, he would share that with the Board and at a future Board Meeting for the public. He also stated that staff was evaluating local ordinances to determine if the ordinance could be strengthened as it related to livestock. He noted that a lot of the control and/or authority was regulated at a state level. However, all these issues were being analyzed so they could be addressed and brought back to the Board for review. Mr. Rapson stated in response to the recycling concerns staff was evaluating whether recycling efforts could be re-instituted. He noted that there was a hard cost associated with it and added that the contract did outline provision for recycling. He stated that the whole recycling process had shifting and as a result the County had to pivot its process. The current recycling process for the new waste management/recycling company was being done in Alabama, with plans for a south Georgia recycling location. He continued stating that the county was evaluating other recycling options however recycling companies weren't as prevalent.

### **Commissioner Rousseau**

Commissioner Rousseau expressed his appreciation to the citizens who came out to render their concerns. He stated that he was available to meet with anyone who was interested in discussing these concerns and issues in greater detail. He stated that he welcomed the calls and emails and was deeply concerned regarding the threat to citizens safety and quality of life being compromised. He advised the citizens that he cared and that he heard their concerns. He asked all to keep representative Tish Naghise's family lifted in prayer. He continued stating that representative Naghise suddenly passed away two-weeks ago. He noted that her services would be held on Saturday March 25, 2023.

### **Chairman Hearn**

Chairman Hearn concluded stating that he grew up on a cattle farm and from time to time the cows would get out and his family had to respond. Although they never had any bull get loss, he understood residents fear, caution, and concern. He stated that the Board was working to address these issues. Chairman Hearn expressed his appreciation to staff for their effort with the S.P.L.O.S.T. election. Chairman Hearn concluded stating that he as well as the entire Board genuinely cared about the citizens and residents of Fayette County and their quality of life.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION:** None

### **ADJOURNMENT:**

Commissioner Oddo moved to adjourn the March 23, 2023 Board of Commissioners meeting. Commissioner Rousseau seconded the motion. The motion passed 4-0. Vice Chairman Gibbons was absent.

The March 23, 2023 Board of Commissioners meeting adjourned at 7:02 p.m.

---

Marlena Edwards, Chief Deputy County Clerk

---

Lee Hearn, Chairman

The foregoing minutes were duly approved at an official meeting of the Board of Commissioners of Fayette County, Georgia, held on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March 2023. Attachments are available upon request at the County Clerk's Office.

---



Marlena Edwards, Chief Deputy County Clerk