

The Board of Commissioners of Fayette County, Georgia met in Official Session on Thursday, July 24, 2003, at 7:00 p.m. in the public meeting room of the Fayette County Administrative Complex, 140 Stonewall Avenue, Fayetteville, Georgia.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Greg Dunn, Chairman
Linda Wells, Vice Chair
Herb Frady
Peter Pfeifer

COMMISSIONER ABSENT: A.G. VanLandingham

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: William R. McNally, County Attorney
Carol Chandler, Executive Assistant
Karen Morley, Chief Deputy Clerk

Chairman Dunn called the meeting to order, offered the Invocation and led the pledge to the Flag.

Chairman Dunn asked if there would be any objection to waive the reading of the rezoning rules in lieu of the very small crowd in attendance. There was no objection and the hearing proceeded.

PETITION NO. 1110-03:

Director of Zoning Kathy Zeitler read Petition No. 1110-03, Dorothy Smith and Hall Cook of V.B. Smith Distributors, Inc., John W. Bonner of CBW Investments, LLC, Gordon MacFarlane, Charles Griffin, James Jackson on behalf of the Estate of Annette Jackson, Owners, and Josh Bonner of Southeast Properties, Inc., Agent, request to rezone 9.8681 acres from A-R and C-C to C-H to develop commercial uses ranging from retail to office. She said this property was located in Land Lots 25 and 26 of the 7th District and fronted on S.R. 54 West and Tyrone Road. She said the Planning Commission recommended c-c. Staff recommended to deny C-H and approve C-C.

Bill Bonner, 265 Old Ivy, Fayetteville, Georgia said petitioners were fine with the C-C zoning that was recommended by staff and the Planning Commission. He said at the time the petition was submitted they did not realize that all of the uses that they were planning on could be done in the C-C zoning. He said they were in agreement with the C-C zoning.

Chairman Dunn asked if anyone wished to speak in favor of this petition. Hearing none, he asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. Hearing none, he asked for the Board's pleasure in this matter.

Commissioner Frady remarked that the 60 foot right-of-way on the plat was not correct. He said there was a prescriptive easement on Tyrone Road which was 35 feet. He said staff was recommending that it would be 50 foot from center line right-of-way.

Mr. Bonner said they had agreed to donate whatever land was necessary on their side of the center line.

On motion made by Commissioner Pfeifer, seconded by Commissioner Wells to approve Petition No. 1110-03 to C-C zoning. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent. A copy of the staff's analysis and investigation, identified as "Attachment No. 1", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof. A copy of the Ordinance and Resolution granting Petition No. 1110-03, identified as "Attachment No. 2", follow these minutes and are made an official part hereof.

ORDINANCE NO. 2003-03 - AMENDMENT OF FAYETTE COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE REGARDING ARTICLE III, DEFINITIONS, SECTION 3-12. BUILDING HEIGHT:

Director of Zoning Kathy Zeitler asked for the Board's consideration to approve a proposed amendment to the Fayette County Zoning Ordinance regarding Article III. Definitions, Section 3-12. Building Height. She said the Planning Commission recommended approval. She said this was a housekeeping item where they were trying to have the definition to better coincide with how they measure building height in the fire code and building code which was from the average grade to the mid point of the roof. She said staff was proposing to just change the definition rather than change actual maximum building heights for zoning districts.

Chairman Dunn said he had always been concerned with the 35 foot maximum. He said at some point a church with a high steeple might be built and this amendment would handle that situation.

Ms. Zeitler remarked that the steeple issue was actually called out in a separate part of the ordinance as exempt from height.

Chairman Dunn asked if the 35 foot maximum was alright with the Fire Department and Chief Jack Krakeel replied yes.

Ms. Zeitler stated that all zoning districts were 35 feet maximum building height.

Chairman Dunn asked if anyone would like to comment either for or against this issue. Hearing none, he asked for the Board's pleasure in this matter.

On motion made by Commissioner Frady, seconded by Commissioner Wells to adopt Ordinance No. 2003-03 amending the Fayette County Zoning Ordinance regarding Article III. Definitions, Section 3-12. Building Height. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner

July 24, 2003

Page 3

VanLandingham was absent. A copy of Ordinance No. 2003-03, identified as "Attachment No. 3", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

RESOLUTION NO. 2003-10 - TRANSMITTAL OF THE SHORT TERM WORK PROGRAM (FY'04 TO FY'08) OF THE FAYETTE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN TO THE ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION AND GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS FOR REVIEW:

Senior Planner Pete Frisina asked for the Board's consideration in authorizing the Chairman to execute Resolution No. 2003-10 authorizing the transmittal of the Short Term Work Program (FY'04 to FY'08) of the Fayette County Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan to the Atlanta Regional Commission and the Georgia Department of Community Affairs for review and also approval of the list of accomplishments (FY'99 to FY'03). He said this was the update for the solid waste management plan. He said the State mandated that a plan be submitted and after five years the plan must be updated and after ten years the plan must be amended. He said this item was in the five year plan and the short term work program needed to be updated. He said this consisted of three elements—report of accomplishments based on the last five year plan; the new five year plan and transmittal of the Resolution to the D.C.A. and A.R.C. He said this would have to be adopted by all of the jurisdictions by December 31, 2003.

Chairman Dunn asked if anyone wished to speak in favor or in opposition to the Short Term Work Program that would be forwarded to the State.

On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Frady to adopt Resolution No. 2003-10 authorizing transmittal of the Short Term Work Program (FY'04) of the Fayette County Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan to the Atlanta Regional Commission and the Georgia Department of Community Affairs. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent. A copy of Resolution No. 2003-10, identified as "Attachment No. 4", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

CONSIDERATION OF ANNEXATION APPLICATION FROM THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE BY ELIZABETH LANDRUM FOR .84 ACRES CURRENTLY ZONED R-40 AND APPLICANT IS SEEKING R-30 ZONING LOCATED ON NEW HOPE ROAD:

Director of Zoning Kathy Zeitler remarked that this was a lot that was adjacent to the City limits. She said it was currently zoned R-40 in the county and the City was proposing to annex the property and rezone it to R-30. She said this would consist of a .68 acre lot with R-40 being in the county being a minimum one acre lot. She said staff's concerns were that there was also a proposed land use plan amendment for this area that would revise the land use from office in the City to highway commercial. She noted that staff objected to that. She said it was something that would adversely affect the remaining residential lots in the county. She said staff believed that this should be left as land use of office in keeping with the county's Land Use Plan.

Commissioner Wells said she agreed with staff's recommendations and felt this would make a horrific impact on the surrounding people. She said she had great concern about the way that this proposed amendment was presented to the county. She said there were short cuts and less than complete delineation of the facts were apparent.

On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Pfeifer to inform the City of Fayetteville that the county opposes this annexation request, discussion followed.

Commissioner Pfeifer remarked that it was very difficult to determine what was or what was not County and City property in this particular area. He said people were paying their taxes to the inappropriate entity. He said he could not vote to approve anything to do with annexation in this area when there were two other major annexations still in dispute. He felt this was an attempt to redress injuries done to property owners in that area. He said to him it just did not make sense to peel off two more lots and move them from the County to the City. He felt this would not improve the services for anybody. He said the reason for the annexation law was to improve services and allow cities to extend services into areas where they were not available. He said it was not the case in this area. He said by adding two more lots to the City would not affect this at all. He said every lot in that area had a for sale sign on it that read potential commercial. He said this was what the staff's report said and that was their concern. He said annexation was not there to provide a roulette wheel for some property owners to reap profits while others paid their bills.

Commissioner Frady said he could not hold anything against this just because of something that happened prior. He felt each request should stand on its own merits. He said these zonings were legal and he did not think the county could stop them and objecting to them would not stop them.

Chairman Dunn remarked that he was also opposed to this annexation request. He said he wanted to be a little more precise. He said on the 9th of July in accordance with the Annexation Dispute Resolution Policy between the cities and the county, he received a letter from the City which said they had received a request for annexation from this property owner. He said the form filled out by the Senior Planner of the City said that they were going to bring this property into the City as R-30 which was residential. He said it was going to be designated as office/industrial in the City's future land use map. He said two of the county's departments received phone calls from individuals who live in New Hope. He said the Senior Planner from the City had been to a meeting there with the property owners and this particular property owner was incensed that they had been informed that they better just go along with this annexation. He said the individual was told by the Planner that the entire area was scheduled to be commercial. He said at the same time the county received a letter stating

July 24, 2003

Page 5

that it was going to be residentially zoned O-I for land use. He said the Board had a disparity of information. He said he did not think that there was anybody who would believe that the City would want to annex this property in that area for residential use. He said there would be no reason for that to happen. He stated based on the fact that it appeared certain that this was an annexation based upon a future commercial land use, he said he would object based on the intensity of the use of the property which would be a bona fide land use objection. He said if the Board voted this way, it would have to notify the City tomorrow and the City would then have the option of making changes in what they were attempting to do to drop the whole annexation action or go to mediation with the county.

Commissioner Wells interjected that the staff's recommendations were very succinctly put on item number 3. She said the Board would need to request from anyone who was requesting an annexation that they provide complete, accurate and up to date information for any future annexations so that the Board could act accordingly. She said she felt that this was not the case at all in this request.

Chairman Dunn further pointed out that the letter that he had received stated that this was going to be residential. He said this was during the time frame which the staff of the City was doing the exact opposite in that on the 24th of June they went before the Planning and Zoning Committee to try and change the land use. He said the Planning and Zoning Committee of the City voted not to change it at this time. He said the City had this on their calendar for July 17th which was prior to the City getting any information back from the county as to whether or not the county would agree with the request. He said this was tabled. He felt the intent of the City was very clear and that was that they wanted to clear the way for commercial in that location. He said based on that, he would stand opposed.

Commissioner Frady asked if the property for the county at this time was office/institutional.

Chairman Dunn replied yes. He said according to the City the property was residential and the City was going to change it to commercial.

Commissioner Frady asked if there was any firm and definite information that the City was going to change this.

Chairman Dunn replied the City had staff actions and there was also a public meeting at which the City's Planner told the public that this property was going to be commercial. He said the property was being advertised by realtors as being commercial. He said this was just one more step in the process that was going on there.

Commissioner Frady said there were some signs on Mr. Lester's property that said potential commercial. He asked if there was anything definite that said this was going to be done.

July 24, 2003

Page 6

Chairman Dunn responded that the City had tabled the vote on this until their next meeting. Commissioner Frady said if he could not say for sure that the City was going to change this property to commercial then he could not object to it. He felt this would just be speculation.

Chairman Dunn suggested Commissioner Frady read all of the information in the packet very carefully and then he would also note that the City of Fayetteville had some of the engineering work done themselves for this annexation and not the property owner.

Commissioner Frady said there was nothing in the information that stated the City was definitely going to do this. He said this was only speculation.

Chairman Dunn said he felt it was what the average person would perceive and more likely than not that the City was trying to make this property commercial.

Ms. Zeitler pointed out that included in the Board's packet was a memo from the Director of the City of Fayetteville's Planning and Zoning Department Janhee Prince which was the staff report for the future land use map amendment from office to commercial. She said this was what was discussed at their meeting last Thursday and tabled to August 7th. She said something else that she would like to point out was that two nights ago the City held a public hearing in front of the Planning and Zoning Commission in the City to take a recommendation vote on the rezoning and annexation prior to even hearing back from the County. She noted that the County had 20 days to comment.

Commissioner Frady said he objected to changing the zoning and if he knew for sure the City was going to change it he would object. He said he would like this property to remain office/institutional.

Chairman Dunn asked for the Board's pleasure in this matter.

The motion carried 3-0-1 with Commissioner Frady abstaining from the vote. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent.

CONSIDERATION OF ANNEXATION APPLICATION FROM THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE BY JAN TRAMMEL FOR 1.01 ACRES CURRENTLY ZONED R-40 AND APPLICANT IS SEEKING R-30 ZONING LOCATED ON NEW HOPE ROAD.

Director of Zoning Kathy Zeitler remarked that this was also a lot that was two lots away from the lot that the Board had just discussed. She said everything was pretty much the same as far as what was being proposed. She said the staff report that the City had sent over stated that it was currently land used in the City as single family residential; however, the City's land use plan did show it as office. She felt this was just an error on the City's part. She said county staff objected because of the pending future land use plan amendment which had been advertised. She said this would change it to highway/commercial. She said this was also an

July 24, 2003

Page 7

area that would be able to directly tie in to the Fayette Pavilion which would be a large area of commercial which would add a lot of traffic as well as negatively impact the residential remaining in that area. She said county staff objected with basically the same comments as before.

Commissioner Wells said because of the reasons previously stated she would like to make a motion.

On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Pfeifer to inform the City of Fayetteville that the county opposes this annexation request, discussion followed. Chairman Dunn remarked that he agreed with Commissioner Wells and Commissioner Pfeifer. He said it appeared that the City had already decided that this particular area was going to be commercial. He said one resident after another was going to be pushed out of there until it was. He said the affected residents happened to be county citizens in the unincorporated county and not in the City of Fayetteville. He felt the county needed to be very careful that everything was done precisely in accordance with the law. He felt it would have been a good idea for the City to hold back on these until there was a final judgment on the Fayette Pavilion annexation.

Commissioner Pfeifer remarked that the memo from the Director of the City of Fayetteville's Planning and Zoning Department Janhee Prince stated that the City annexed the area and now New Hope Road was virtually surrounded by commercial developments within the City. He said this was precisely what he had been reaching for and that was a discussion between the entities involved regarding what the City of Fayetteville's intentions were. He said this was the only way that the County and the School Board could plan and also the only way that the City of Fayetteville could really plan. He said he did not know if the City intended to surround this area and change it from residential to commercial but he also did not know if they did not. He said he would like to have someone have that in their mind when they proceed instead of just taking a piece of property here and taking a piece there and then try to deal with the result. He said that was what it looked like to him.

City Councilman Larry Dell interjected that he would like to address an issue that had just been brought up.

Chairman Dunn remarked that this was not a public hearing.

Councilman Dell said he would address the issue after the meeting.

Chairman Dunn asked for the Board's pleasure in this matter.

The motion carried 3-0-1 with Commissioner Frady abstaining from the vote. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent.

July 24, 2003

Page 8

CONSIDERATION OF ANNEXATION APPLICATION FROM THE TOWN OF TYRONE BY JOHN WIELAND HOMES AND NEIGHBORHOODS, INC. FOR 11.202 ACRES CURRENTLY ZONED A-R MINIMUM FIVE ACRE LOTS AND APPLICANT IS SEEKING A-R MINIMUM THREE ACRE LOTS ZONING LOCATED IN LAND LOT 144 OF THE 7TH DISTRICT OFF KIRKLEY ROAD:

Director of Zoning Kathy Zeitler remarked that the applicant intended to combine this tract with an adjacent property that was 265 acres and was already within the Town limits. She said that property was owned by John Wieland. She said it was unlikely that the subject property was going to retain the requested A-R zoning. She said no future development plans were submitted with this annexation application. She said staff believed that the Town should require those development plans prior to annexations so that all of the parties would be aware of actual future development. She said this would allow the County to comment more appropriately. She said staff had no bona fide land objection as it was proposed for A-R; however, staff did feel that it was not going to remain A-R considering all of the facts. She said staff recommended several things that the Town could look into and require. She said one was the density. She said staff was asking that they consider a minimum lot size of two acres which was in keeping with the maximum density recommended for this area by the county Land Use Plan. She said there was also a septic issue. She said staff would like the Town to limit development so that it would be on septic instead of central sewer. She said staff would also like to request a level III soil study prior to any septic permits. She said there was also the issue of watershed. She said staff would like the Town to ensure protection of wetlands, flood plains and watershed areas per the County requirements or similar requirements. She commented that there was also an issue of drainage where the developer was required to submit a hydrological study based on best management practice for storm water management.

Ms. Zeitler further remarked that staff recommended that the annexation request be revised to include a proposed development plan for the combined parcels so that the county could comment more appropriately or prior to the Town's rezoning of the combined parcels from A-R to another zoning district for a future subdivision that they provide a development plan and ask for county comments at that time.

On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Frady to inform the Town of Tyrone that the County would not object to this request and had no bona fide objection to this annexation request but would respectfully request that the Town consider the County's requested conditions, discussion followed.

Commissioner Pfeifer said he wished there was a way for the County to ask the Town to table this request and provide some of the information so that the Board could form an opinion. He

July 24, 2003

Page 9

said he agreed with staff and that it was impossible to express an opinion one way or the other without having full information. He said he did not know the Town's intention for the surrounding property. He said the property in question was land locked and he could not even get close to the property when he drove over to see it. He said he would like to ask the Town to postpone this request until they have had a chance to answer the county's questions.

Chairman Dunn said he would like to remind the Board that approximately a year and a half ago there was another annexation by the Town of Tyrone that was similar to this one wherein the Board said it could not address it because there was no information. He said the Board asked the Town of Tyrone for the information and the Town came back and said since the County had not objected within 20 days they would be annexing anyway. He said the Town proceeded and annexed the land and it was no longer an open piece of land. He said there were a lot of houses on that land right now. He said the bottom line was if the Board did not object then they would not be required to even answer the County's letter. He said if the Board did not fashion an objection, this issue was finished.

Commissioner Pfeifer said he keeps hoping for a different spirit.

Chairman Dunn said the applicant had said that he wanted to eliminate jurisdictional boundary issues within this tract of land and there were none. He said the entire tract was in the County. He felt the Annexation Dispute Resolution process because the County had no information. He said this was the second one that the County had received like this. He said if the Board would recall this was the second annexation request that the county had received from the City like this. He said the City's answer in the past was that they could not answer it and they were required to provide the county with the information so that the request could be judged. He said the City had stated that because of the wording in the Agreement that they were only required to provide information if they know it. He said the City had never asked the applicant why they wanted to be annexed. He said this was a John Wieland piece of property to be joined to a 265 acre piece of property that John Wieland was purchasing. He said John Wieland had laid a sewer system down there for the Town of Tyrone. He said it was highly unlikely that he would therefore turn around and build houses on three acre lots after just laying a sewer system for the Town. He said there might not be a bona fide objection. He said this was a very difficult situation.

Chairman Dunn asked for the Board's pleasure in this matter.

The motion carried 3-0-1 with Chairman Dunn abstaining from the motion. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent for the vote.

CONSENT AGENDA: On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Frady to approve the consent agenda as presented. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent.

LAKE PEACHTREE DREDGING PROJECT: Approval of request from Water System Director Tony Parrott to increase the contract to the quantities removed in the Lake Peachtree Dredging Project in the amount of \$75,516. A copy of the memorandum, identified as "Attachment No. 5", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

LANIER CONTRACTING - BID AWARD FOR VALVE REPLACEMENTS: Approval of Water Committee recommendation to award bid to Lanier Contracting in the amount of \$118,541 for the valve replacements needed by the Water System. A copy of the memorandum, identified as "Attachment No. 6", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

HEADLEY CONSTRUCTION - BID AWARD FOR CONSTRUCTION OF DISTRIBUTION SHOP: Approval of Water Committee recommendation to award bid to Headley Construction in the amount of \$911,800 for the construction of the Distribution Shop. A copy of the memorandum, identified as "Attachment No. 7", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

GEORGIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY - LOCAL EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN: Approval of request from Chief Jack Krakeel to procure a grant in the amount of \$24,419 from the Georgia Emergency Management Agency to enable Fayette County to participate in a new initiative that will allow for electronic submission of the Local Emergency Operations Plan to GEMA. A copy of the grant, identified as "Attachment No. 8", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

D.O.T. CONTRACT LAR00-S005-00(510) - RESURFACING OF 9.220 MILES OF ROADWAY ON A LIST OF 19 COUNTY ROADS: Approval to enter into D.O.T. Contract LAR00-S005-00(510) Fayette, PI# S005510 County Contract for resurfacing of 9.220 miles of roadway on a list of 19 county roads. A copy of the contract, identified as "Attachment No. 9", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

D.O.T. CONTRACT KA00-S005-00(511) - RESURFACING OF 0.560 MILES OF ROADWAY ON HEATH WAY: Approval to enter into D.O.T. Contract LA00-S005-00(511) Fayette, PI# S005511 County Contract for resurfacing of 0.560 miles of roadway on Heath Way. A copy of the contract, identified as "Attachment No. 10", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

July 24, 2003

Page 11

MINUTES: Approval of minutes for Board of Commissioners Special Called Budget meetings held on June 9th and June 10, 2003 and regular Commissioners' meeting held on June 26, 2003.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Members of the public are allowed up to five minutes each to address the Board on issues of concern other than those items which are on this evening's agenda.

There was no public comment.

STAFF REPORTS:

AUTOMATIC AID AGREEMENT WITH FULTON COUNTY: Attorney McNally asked for the Board's consideration to authorize the Chairman to execute the automatic aid agreement with Fulton County to proceed with the current agreement already in place with Fulton County.

Chairman Dunn remarked that this Agreement was working very well with Fulton County and Chief Krakeel agreed that it was.

On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Pfeifer to authorize the Chairman to execute the Automatic Aid Agreement with Fulton County. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent. A copy of the Agreement, identified as "Attachment No. 11", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

STALLINGS ROAD CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT WITH PEACHTREE CITY:

Attorney McNally asked for the Board's consideration to authorize the Chairman to execute the Stallings Road Construction Agreement with the City of Peachtree City.

Chairman Dunn asked where Peachtree City had put this road on their priority list.

Commissioner Wells said the expectation was somewhere between this winter versus early spring.

On motion made by Commissioner Pfeifer, seconded by Commissioner Frady to authorize the Chairman to execute the Stallings Road Construction Agreement with the City of Peachtree City. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent. A copy of the Agreement, identified as "Attachment No. 12", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

EXECUTIVE SESSION: Attorney McNally requested an executive session to discuss three real estate items and four legal matters.

July 24, 2003

Page 12

EXECUTIVE SESSION: On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Frady to adjourn to executive session to discuss three real estate items and four legal matters. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent.

REAL ESTATE: Attorney McNally discussed a real estate item with the Board.

On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Frady to authorize Attorney McNally to proceed in this matter. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent.

REAL ESTATE: Attorney McNally reported on a real estate item with the Board.

On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Frady to authorize Attorney McNally to proceed in this matter. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent.

REAL ESTATE: Attorney McNally discussed a real estate item with the Board.

The Board took no action in this matter.

LEGAL: Attorney McNally reviewed a legal matter with the Board.

The Board took no action in this matter.

LEGAL: Attorney McNally discussed a legal matter with the Board.

The Board took no action in this matter.

LEGAL: Attorney McNally reported to the Board on a legal matter.

The Board took no action in this matter.

LEGAL: Attorney McNally discussed a legal matter with the Board.

The Board took no action in this matter.

EXECUTIVE SESSION AFFIDAVIT: On motion made by Commissioner Wells, seconded by Commissioner Pfeifer to authorize the Chairmant to execute the Executive Session Affidavit affirming that three real estate items and four legal matters were discussed in executive session. The motion carried 4-0. Commissioner VanLandingham was absent. A copy of the Affidavit, identified as "Attachment No. 13", follows these minutes and is made an official part hereof.

July 24, 2003
Page 13

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Dunn adjourned the meeting at 8:15 p.m.

Karen Morley, Chief Deputy Clerk

Gregory M. Dunn, Chairman

The foregoing minutes were duly approved at an official meeting of the Board of Commissioners of Fayette County, Georgia, held on the 6th day of August, 2003.

Karen Morley, Chief Deputy Clerk