

THE FAYETTE COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS met on September 28, 2020, at 7:00 P.M. in the Fayette County Administrative Complex, 140 Stonewall Avenue West, Fayetteville, Georgia.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Marsha Hopkins, Chairperson
Tom Waller, Vice-Chair
Bill Beckwith
Therol Brown
John Tate

STAFF PRESENT: Chanelle Blaine, Zoning Administrator
Howard Johnson, Planning & Zoning Coordinator

Welcome and Call to Order:

1. **Consideration of the Minutes of the Meeting held on June 22, 2020.**

John Tate made a motion to table the minutes of the meeting held on June 22, 2020. Tom Waller seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

2. **Consideration of the Minutes of the Meeting held on July 27, 2020.**

Bill Beckwith made a motion to approve the minutes of the meeting held on July 27, 2020. John Tate seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

3. **Consideration to Amend the Agenda to change the order of Petition A-737-20.**

Therol Brown made a motion to amend the agenda to change the order of Petition A-737-20. Tom Waller seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

PUBLIC HEARING

4. **Petition No. A-733-20, Harold and Kristen Hendricks, request the following: Variance to Section 110-77 to reduce the front yard setback (that is established by the lot width) and eliminate the depth requirement to allow the construction of a principal structure. The subject property is located in Land Lot(s) 62 & 63 of the 4th District and fronts on Bankstown Road.**

Harold Hendricks introduced himself and his wife Kristen Hendricks. He said we are here tonight looking to reduce our building line on the property we purchased on Bankstown Road. He added it is currently set at 381 feet and we are looking to reduce it to 250 feet. He stated this will allow us to build our home at the highest point on our property. He said if the 250 feet building line can't be granted, any additional footage closer to

Bankstown Road will be appreciated. He further explained currently where the building line is set, it is on the back side of the property on a downward slope. He added we are looking to move the building line up to the 250 feet mark, and by doing so that will place the building line at the crown of the property. He stated my wife and I are concerned with the current building line, because of the possibility of the stormwater erosion running into our house causing issues down the road. He also said that at the 250 feet building line we would place our home in uniformity to the homes on both sides of us. He concluded that is all I have at this time.

Chairperson Hopkins asked is there anyone else to speak in favor of the petition. Being none, she asked is there anyone to speak in opposition to the petition.

Chairperson Hopkins said we will open up the phone line for about a minute to see if anyone calls in with any questions, then we will bring it back to the ZBA for discussion and questions. She announced that the call-in number is 770-305-5277, let's give it a minute and then we will resume.

Chairperson Hopkins said we will bring this item back to the ZBA for discussion, does anyone have any questions?

Tom Waller replied I have none.

John Tate replied I have a question from a point of clarification. He said the request for a variance says the building line will be 360 feet, but I thought you said 250 feet.

Harold Hendricks responded that is correct, I actually came back by the office and I believe that Howard has the updated version of the drawing.

Chanelle Blaine replied he did revised it, but I had already sent that version out that was given to us earlier.

Chairperson Hopkins said thank you.

Chanelle Blaine replied to be honest, we are not getting in the particulars about the front setback, he is going to meet the lot width, and is just requesting to eliminate that depth of 80 feet. She explained we don't want to put on a number on the front building line until we know what it is at 250 feet for sure.

Chairperson Hopkins stated I have a question that maybe Chanelle can answer. She asked is this particular circumstance unique to this subdivision, or are there other lots in Fayette County where this exists? She added we are all saying, this is the first time that we became aware of this.

Chanelle Blaine replied yes this is unique to this subdivision but there are other lots in the

County that are like this. She added Pete said according to the ordinance, it is the 80 feet depth that you have to maintain. She noted at first we would not allow people to get a variance for minimum lot width just based on the ordinance. She added the problem that they are having is because they have to maintain is the 80 feet minimum lot width. She explained so we are allowing them to go through this process to get it or not, whatever you decide.

Bill Beckwith said do you mean the setback 80 feet from the 250 feet?

Chanelle Blaine replied from the 250 width feet, then you have to go 80 feet back.

Bill Beckwith asked so are they are asking not to be forced to maintain the 80 feet?

Chanelle Blaine responded that's why I don't want to give it a particular front setback number just yet because we don't know what it is at 250.

Harold Hendricks said I have measured it at 250 feet and the width is about 230 to 235.

Chanelle Blaine asked are you saying that the front setback is 227 feet.

Harold Hendricks stated that is the number that I put on map, because I did measure it.

Jason Betsell introduced himself. He said I am the applicant of Petition A-737-20 which will follow this case. He asked the ZBA do you guys have the package that I put together that has the blue type that says the variance summary.

Bill Beckwith replied we do have one (1) with the proposed and the current house lot, one (1) in yellow and one (1) in red.

Jason Betsell replied I think that is the lot that is labeled with Image 1. He added if you look at the variance summary on at my last sentence, it says the distance of the width at the 250 feet proposed build line on a recorded plat, it will change to 225. He concluded I don't know if that make sense to you.

Chanelle Blaine replied according to the ordinance, we can't allow you to have a variance for the minimum lot width. She added you have to maintain the lot width of 250. She explained you don't have to maintain the depth of 80 feet, but you have to maintain a lot width of 250. She noted the ordinance does not allow for variances for frontage, lot width, or reducing lot sizes. She said that is why I am not trying to get into the particulars on what the front setback will be as long as you maintain that 250 minimum lot width, you can set that house there.

Harold Hendricks stated on the recorded plat it shows the first dotted line parallel to Bankstown Road at 250 feet, do you see that?

Bill Beckwith asked is that in front of the contiguous area on both lots?

Harold Hendricks replied that is correct, that's where we are going to have to sit the house right?

Chanelle Blaine asked which one am I looking at?

Harold Hendricks replied just recorded plat for the Ridge of Bankstown,

Chanelle Blaine replied okay.

Harold Hendricks said where it shows the dotted parallel lines and it shows 250 feet on Lot 2 and 250.55 feet on Lot 1.

Chanelle Blaine replied can you hold on for one second, I will come up to you (at the podium).

Chanelle Blaine reviewed plan with Harold Hendricks (audio inaudible).

Harold Hendricks said there is the line where the lot become 250 feet wide. He added if that line can be moved 80 feet towards Bankstown Road, I think that would of benefit to both parties. He asked are there any more questions before I sit down?

Chairperson Hopkins said does anyone else have any questions. Hearing none, are we ready to make a motion.

Bill Beckwith said let me just clarify what I think I heard. He asked so your request is a new front building line at 250 feet, instead of 381 feet. Is that what you are saying?

Harold Hendrix replied yes.

Bill Beckwith asked will that eliminate the 80 feet setback from the minimum width line, is that right, Chanelle.

Chanelle Blaine replied yes.

Chairperson Hopkins announced in our resolution we won't be stating those numbers, we will just be stating what we have here. She added so we have to make a motion to grant or deny the variance to reduce the front yard setback that is established by the lot width and eliminate the depth requirement to allow the construction of a principal structure. She clarified that we either approve or deny the variance and we are not putting in any numbers.

Chanelle Blaine replied that is correct.

Chairperson Hopkins asked do I have a motion from anyone.

Therol Brown made a motion to recommend approval of Petition No. A-733-20. John Tate seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

Harold Hendricks asked with a building line of 381 and then after the depth reduction of 80 feet, does that now mean the building line is now at 301?

Chanelle Blaine replied possible, but we have to see once the surveyor has done his work.

5. **Petition No. A-737-20, JALEN Properties, LLC, owner, and Jason Betsill, agent, request the following: Variance to Section 110-77 to reduce the front yard setback (that is established by the lot width) and eliminate the depth requirement to allow the construction of a principal structure. The subject property is located in Land Lots 62 & 63 and fronts on Bankstown Road.**

Jason Betsill introduced himself. He said I am here to petition the ZBA to review the various information presented to you to be able to allow a house site on Tract 1 of the Ridge of Bankstown Road located on Bankstown Road in Brooks. He added I think there is still some discussion on the total square footage. He explained we are looking to try to move the house site forward maybe to the 250 foot wide mark. He added we are looking for a shorter distance so we wanted to get some feedback on what that distance would be allowed for our request. He said you can see on the tax parcel map there was an older structure that sat on the main ridge. He explained that final plat that was recorded sets the front building line back behind the original structure that was there. He added the current build line as recorded will put the front of the house behind that house to the north of it. He concluded that is a quick summary of this petition.

Chairperson Hopkins asked you do want to add anything else?

Jason Betsill replied no.

Chairperson Hopkins stated for the record I have ask is there anyone else here who wishes to speak in favor of this petition. Being none, she asked is there anyone who wants to speak in opposition of this petition.

Chairperson Hopkins then said we will open up the phone line for about a minute for anyone that might want to call in then we will bring it back to the ZBA for discussion and questions. She announced the call-in number is 770-305-5277. She concluded let's give it a minute and we will bring it back to the ZBA for discussion.

Chairperson Hopkins said we will bring this item back to the ZBA for discussion, does anyone have any questions?

Bill Beckwith said Mr. Betsill, I am just curious, this was one (1) parcel that was subdivided, is that right?

Jason Betsill replied that is correct.

Bill Beckwith said Mr. Betsill, I am just curious, there are two (2) wells on here, do they serve two (2) different homes?

Jason Betsill replied the one (1) well that is further to the north served the original home but I think it had failed and they replaced it with the well that is now on Lot 2.

Bill Beckwith asked is that the one (1) with well pump sitting right above the ground.

Jason Betsill replied that is correct.

Chairperson Hopkins asked do I have a motion from anyone.

Bill Beckwith made a motion to recommend approval of Petition No. A-737-20. Tom Waller seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

Jason Betsill responded thank you.

6. **Petition No. A-734-20, Hibo and Carolyn Linares, request the following: Variance to Section 110-137. R-40 (d) (5), to reduce the rear yard setback from 30 feet to 6 feet to allow an existing residential structure to remain. The subject property is located in Land Lot 169 of the 5th District and fronts on DeVilla Trace.**

Carolyn Linares called in via telephone to introduce herself and her husband. She said she would like the ZBA to consider a variance of a setback from 30 feet to six (6) feet to allow a shed at the rear of the lot to remain. She added that we put it up to replace a couple of sheds that were there when we originally moved here 23 years ago.

Chairperson Hopkins said Ms. Linares, I am Marsha Hopkins, the Chair of the Zoning Board of Appeals is there any additional information that you wish to add?

Carolyn Linares said well I went to our neighbors when we realized there was an issue and none of them have any issue with us having the shed on the rear of the lot. She added the way our house is laid out on the property, the majority of our rear yard is actually the septic fields. She noted there is not any other direct place to put it other than where we currently have it. She explained the shed is mainly used just for service equipment, like

the lawnmower, a blower and those sorts of things. She concluded it's well maintained, and it doesn't seem to be bothering anyone. She added the shed is within our lot confounds.

Chairperson Hopkins said okay, as part of our process we have ask is there anyone else in favor of your petition and currently there is no one else in the room but the ZBA so we didn't know if you have anyone with you that wanted to speak in favor of it.

Carolyn Linares said we obtained three (3) letters. She added unfortunately, because we are quarantining, I can't have anybody here with me to speak. She said I sent the letters over this afternoon so you all will have them along with some pictures of the actual structure so you can actually see it.

Chairperson Hopkins said okay, again we also have to ask if anyone is opposed to it, and there is no one in the room here, so our assumption is that there no one here to offer opposition and statements to it. She added we will have to open up our phone lines. She asked is it the same number, she is on?

Chanelle Blaine replied it is. She said Ms. Linares, you will have to call us back in the next two (2) minutes.

Carolyn Linares replied okay, I will hang up then, thank you.

Chairperson Hopkins announced this is time that if anyone wants to call, you can call-in at 770-305-5277.

Chanelle Blaine contacted Ms. Linares via telephone after two (2) minutes.

Chairperson Hopkins said Ms. Linares we are ready to bring this item back to the ZBA for discussion, does anyone have any questions?

Bill Beckwith said Ms. Linares, this is Bill Beckwith, you mentioned that there were two (2) outbuilding or sheds that were on the lot when you bought it, is that correct?

Carolyn Linares replied correct, they were both metal and they were deteriorating, they were rusting away.

Bill Beckwith asked were they in the same position where your shed is now?

Carolyn Linares replied approximately, yes.

Bill Beckwith concluded thank you.

Chairperson Hopkins stated I have a question for Chanelle. She asked would a shed

typically have to be permitted?

Chanelle Blaine replied any structure has to be permitted if it is over 200 square feet. She noted if it is less than 200 square feet it does not require a permit. She explained they are supposed to let the Planning & Zoning department know where they are going to place it, so we won't have any setback issues. She added but what I gathered from Ms. Linares is that this was done like 20 years ago, so things were a little bit different. She concluded but that's how we do it now.

Chairperson Hopkins said that the whole reason this came to light I am assuming is because Ms. Linares was going to put a fence up and as a result of having a survey.

Chanelle Blaine replied as a result of putting a fence up, we looked at her property from an aerial photo and we saw that the shed was encroaching on the rear setback. She said we told her it looks very close and you probably will need to get a survey. She added she got a survey and she found out the shed was six (6) feet from the property line.

Chairperson Hopkins asked do you have any further discussion or questions?

Bill Beckwith replied this is Bill again, it seems to me that if we were to look at this lot, those original structures were on there and the present homeowner, Mr. and Mrs. Linares purchased it. He explained just because they replaced the deteriorating sheds with another shed in the approximate location, wouldn't really make a difference to me. He added I think since they did purchase the home and the sheds were there. He concluded they didn't know the problems with the setback so I think that is a reasonable request to ask for a variance.

Bill Beckwith made a motion to recommend approval of Petition No. A-734-20. Therol Brown seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

Chairperson Hopkins stated Ms. Linares we have approved it.

Carolyn Linares replied thank you very much.

- Petition No. A-736-20, Benigno Sanchez and Juana Araujo, owners, and Bianca Sanchez, agent, request the following: Variance to Sec. 110-133. R-70, (d) (5), to reduce the rear yard setback from 50 feet to 23 feet to allow an existing accessory structure to remain. The subject property is located in Land Lot 256 of the 5th District and fronts on Ales Way.**

Bianca Sanchez stated the reason we are here is because we had a storage structure made for us and we were hoping that everything went well. She explained we did not know there were regulations in Fayette County, and the people who built it, did not know there

were regulations here either. She added we were actually tricked, so at this point we are trying today to do whatever we need to do the right way.

Chairperson Hopkins asked is there any other information that you want to present.

Bianca Sanchez replied no that will be all.

Chairperson Hopkins asked is there anyone else here that wants to speak in favor of it, are you the spokesperson?

Bianca Sanchez replied I am the spokesperson.

Chairperson Hopkins said since I don't see anyone else in the room that maybe another party that wants to speak in opposition. She added for the record I have to ask is there anyone here that wants to speak in opposition to the proposed petition. Being none, we now will have to open up our phone lines for about a minute to let the public call-in if they have any concerns or questions and then we will bring it back to the ZBA for questions. She added that anyone who is listening that wants to can call-in at 770-305-5177, with the next minute.

Chairperson Hopkins said will bring it to the ZBA, does anybody have any questions or concerns?

Bill Beckwith asked Ms. Sanchez you said that your family contracted with someone to build the shed, did you tell him where you wanted it.

Bianca Sanchez replied yes, we told him where we wanted it.

Bill Beckwith asked they didn't tell you it had to be a certain distance back from the property line.

Bianca Sanchez replied not at all until the County contacted us.

Bill Beckwith asked how was the error found out, Chanelle?

Chanelle Blaine replied it was found out through our Building Safety Department. She added they notified Planning & Zoning that a building was being constructed and that's how they got in contact with me to apply for a variance.

Bill Beckwith asked Bianca Sanchez if this were to be approved, will the same company continue to build that building for you, just curious.

Bianca Sanchez replied I think we have somebody else because we really got mad with the situation and how it was handled, a professional would continue the work.

Bill Beckwith replied I am sure they did.

Chanelle Blaine asked so at the current time, is it partially built?

Bianca Sanchez responded it is already built, but we are at the point where we can actually work on whatever you agree to. She said it is already built so we will either move it, or destroy it, it doesn't matter at this point.

Bill Beckwith said there is a stop work on it, is that right?

Chanelle Blaine replied yes there is stop work currently on it.

Bill Beckwith said I guess there is a stop use order in this case on it too.

John Tate asked you indicated that you can either use it or move it, is that what you said?

Bianca Sanchez replied once I talked with somebody with the County, they told us that we can either move it, destroy it, or whatever you agree to do with it.

John Tate asked is it built on a foundation?

Bianca Sanchez responded yes it is built on foundation so it really can't be moved, but if we could we would.

John Tate asked if it was a concrete foundation?

Bill Beckwith said basically you either destroy it or get a variance to use it.

Chairperson Hopkins asked is there a homeowners association in this subdivision? She added was there anything that you had to go through with them before it was put up?

Bianca Sanchez replied yes, they told us they had to do everything through the County and that's when we contacted you.

Tom Waller asked did the homeowners association give you any guidance relative to this structure?

Bianca Sanchez replied they told us that we had to apply for a permit, so we had to apply for a permit to the subdivision.

Tom Waller stated the homeowners association said that you have to apply for a permit and this is what you are doing now.

Bianca Sanchez responded yes.

Tom Waller asked after you have built the building.

Bianca Sanchez replied yes.

Bill Beckwith asked who will give the permit, the County?

Tom Waller asked can you tell me why you went to the homeowners association after you built the building?

Bianca Sanchez replied the homeowners association sent us a letter.

Tom Waller said the homeowners association sent you a letter, after they saw the building going up.

Bianca Sanchez responded yes.

Chairperson Hopkins said I am just going to offer up a couple of comments and then maybe my fellow members can chime in. She stated first of all I want to say that I appreciate you being here. She added anyone that wants to come into compliance with our regulations, we appreciate that. She explained this is one (1) of those troublesome cases because the regulations seem pretty clear about the setback requirements and I am just not hearing anything that would make me think we can work around that. She concluded if my fellow ZBA members have anything you want to offer, I am glad to listen.

Bill Beckwith said my only comment is that this often happens and unfortunately it has happened a number of times that homeowners in good faith, contract with someone to do some work. He added and whether or not they should trust them to do what they are supposed to do by regulations or not is kind of a problem area. He explained apparently the Sanchez family did trust them to do it right, and they found out that they didn't. He added but the company had gone so far that they built a substantial building, and when they were ready to use it they found out that they can't, because whoever the company was that built it didn't do the procedure properly. He said once again, the homeowners are in a situation they didn't produce themselves. He emphasized we all know that many homeowners don't know to look into the regulations. He added I think there was a trust given to the company to do that, and they found out almost too late that the trust wasn't there. He concluded I personally don't think the homeowners should be penalized for that.

Chairperson Hopkins asked are there any thoughts from anyone else?

John Tate said just to be clear, the way I understood it, is the homeowners association

indicated that you needed to go through the proper procedures and if you were given approval, then they would approve it as being acceptable, is that correct?

Bianca Sanchez responded yes.

Bill Beckwith said I think this is another case why we do not say yea or nay to anything that a homeowner's association does. He noted that is a contractual relationship between the subdivision and the homeowners in that association, and that is really beyond what we can do. He added it is just a matter of interest how it took place but we over the last many years have never brought a homeowners association to task for anything that took place. He explained it is still up to the homeowner to see that the regulations are followed, but when you put your trust in someone who is supposed to know, which is what I think happened in this case, this is what happened.

Chairperson Hopkins asked is what is behind your property, is there another lot?

Bianca Sanchez replied no, it is just some more land and a road.

Chairperson Hopkins asked are we ready for a motion?

Therol Brown made a motion to recommend approval of Petition No. A-736-20. Bill Beckwith seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

Bianca Sanchez replied thank you so much.

- 8. Petition No. A-738-20, Michael and Shannon Rhinehart, request the following: Variance to Sec. 110-79 Residential accessory structures and their uses, (c) Number and size, (1) (a), to allow the amount of existing residential accessory structures per individual lot from two (2) to three (3) to remain. The subject property is located in Land Lot 44 of the 7th District and fronts on Hillside Drive.**

Michael Rhinehart introduced himself. He said back in October two years ago, my wife and I purchased this house and we moved from Mississippi. He added the criteria that we had for the house was no HOA, and that I would be able to build a garage on it, because I collect classic cars, MOPARs, and couple of Chevys. He noted through extensive searching we found the property at 155 Hillside Drive. He explained my realtor told us there was no HOAs and there wouldn't be a problem with building a garage.

Michael Rhinehart said we came in to get a permit to be legit. He noted at that time we found out that you could only have two (2) buildings with a combine total of 1800 square feet. He explained we were not aware of that and were under the impression that you have can whatever you want with no HOA. He said when we purchased it, it had three (3) structures on it already. He added how long they have been there I don't know, but I do have my next door neighbor right here, Buddy Peacock. He noted it was there when we

he moved over ten years ago. He said I assumed that these probably were here since the house was built in 1988. He added what the ordinance was back then, I have no idea, I just know when we bought it they were already there. He said I came to find that out when I wanted to build my garage, I couldn't because these building were already there. He concluded talking to this gentleman over here (Howard Johnson) he kindly told me we can build whatever we want as long as it added to our house.

Michael Rhinehart explained this variance was for keeping the existing structures. He added I have two (2) cars underneath the mobile home parking cover that was put there before me, and two (2) other buildings, one (1) is a workshop for woodworking, and other one (1) stores the lawnmower and a couple of go-carts and four-wheelers. He then said so I am asking you guys, if I can please keep all that stuff, because that why I bought the place to begin with. He also stated that you can't see this from the cul-de-sac because we are back off the street. He added I have the aerial view here and it is completely surrounded by trees, nobody can see it, it always been there. He concluded my next door neighbor here, (Buddy Peacock) said he would come here and tell you guys the same thing.

Chairperson Hopkins replied okay, that leads me to the next point, is there anyone here to speak in favor of the petition.

Russell Lee "Buddy" Peacock introduced himself. He said a Fayetteville Shriner named Bob Costello, some of you may know him, actually built this house. He added I believe he told me that in 1986, 1987, and 1988, he built the small building. He noted that he was the head of maintenance over Eastern, Southwest as well as Delta Airlines. He explained that Bob built the building as his shop straight behind his house, I believe in 1989. He added the building next to it, is where he housed his riding lawn mower. He stated I my wife, who lives in Florida told me it's been there a minimum of 30 years. He noted that Bob did stuff the right way. He said I don't know what your laws were back in those days, but he didn't break any of them.

Russell Lee "Buddy" Peacock continued to explain that the cover of the RV shed was built by Bob before he died about three (3) or four (4) years ago. He added soon afterwards, they bought the house, which is right next door to me. He noted my wife's ex-husband built the house that I still live in at 160 Hillside Drive. He concluded those buildings have been there ten years. He also said we assume that Bob put up the cover and it was actually for his wife's horse trailer and it is guttered. He added I came here to tell you all that information because I know there are no records of when these things were built. He concluded that's really what I had to say, they had no idea when they were built, thanks.

Chairperson Hopkins asked is there anyone else to speak in favor of the petition. She announced just for the record I have to ask is there anyone in opposition to speak to that. She said I don't see anyone so what we have to do next, is to allow the public to call if

they want and we usually just give that about a minute and we bring it back to the ZBA for discussion and ask questions. She added we are going to take a minute right now. She announced anyone that wants to call in at 770-305-5277 can so for questions.

Chairperson Hopkins asked does anyone on the ZBA have any questions.

Bill Beckwith said its looks like on this the plan the new garage will be connected to the house by a heated hallway, will that make it part of house.

Chanelle Blaine replied yes, we will consider that an addition.

Bill Beckwith replied okay.

Chairperson Hopkins said since no one seems to have any additional questions, are we ready for a motion?

Michael Rhinehart asked can I make a comment. He said I collect classic cars and they are out in the element right now so all of this is to preserve the cars. He added I don't like parking them out on the cul-de-sac, I think that is tacky. He explained I am also not trying to park on the grass since I am trying to make everything look like a responsible homeowner. He concluded I want to take pride in this house since I just bought it, that's all I want to add.

Chairperson Hopkins said we have a motion from John Tate to approve, do we have a second on this petition?

John Tate made a motion to recommend approval of Petition No. A-738-20. Therol Brown seconded the motion. The motion passed 4-1.

Michael Rhinehart replied thank you, I appreciate it.

- 9. Petition No. A-735-20, Joseph L. and Karen B. Radest, request the following: Variance to Section 110-125. A-R (d) (6), to reduce the side yard setback from 50 feet to 33 feet to allow an existing residential accessory structure to remain. The subject property is located in Land Lot 63 of the 7th District and fronts on Diamond Pointe. (There was an issue with the public notification sign and the applicant has requested to defer the petition to October 26th).**

Chairperson Hopkins said I need a motion to table this petition.

Therol Brown made a motion to recommend deferral of Petition No. A-735-20 to the October 26, 2020 meeting. Bill Beckwith seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

Chairperson Hopkins said being no further business, we will adjourn the meeting, can I get a motion to adjourn.

Tom Waller made a motion to adjourn. John Tate seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

The meeting adjourned at 8:16 pm.

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
OF
FAYETTE COUNTY



MARSHA HOPKINS, CHAIRPERSON



HOWARD L. JOHNSON, ZBA SECRETARY