

Purchasing Department 140 Stonewall Avenue West, Ste 204 Fayetteville, GA 30214

Phone: 770-305-5420 www.fayettecountyga.gov

To:Steve RapsonThrough:Ted L. Burgess JFrom:Sherry WhiteDate:December 14, 2023Subject:Contract #2312-B Micro Surfacing

Micro-surfacing is a protective seal coat which extends the life of pavement. This method renews the road surface and seals minor cracks and other irregularities. The Road Department is seeking to Micro Surface three (3) county roads and three (3) subdivisions in Fiscal Year 2024. The roads which are scheduled to be treated are Ebenezer Church Road, Stanley Road, and White Road. The subdivisions scheduled to be treated are Huntington South, Cross Creek and Wildwood.

The Purchasing Department issued Invitation to Bid #2312-B to secure a contractor for micro surfacing various county roads. Notice of the opportunity was emailed to nine companies. Another 493 were contacted through the web-based Georgia Procurement Registry, who had registered under commodity code # 74550 (Fiber Reinforced Surface Treatment), #74584 (Slurry Seal), #91384 (Maintenance and Repair, Streets, Major and Residential), #91395 (Paving and Resurfacing, Highway and Road), #91396 (Paving and Resurfacing, Streets, Major and Residential). The offer was also advertised through Fayette News, Georgia Local Government Access Marketplace, and the county website.

One contractor submitted a bid (Attachment 1). This is the same contractor who submitted the only bid five previous times the county solicited for Micro Surfacing. The Road Department recommends Asphalt Paving Systems Inc. While there are no other bids to compare for reasonableness, staff did a price analysis using Atlanta Paving System's most recent previous bid that was for roughly equivalent work. It disclosed an 18.1% price increase over an almost two-year period. A Contractor Performance Evaluations is attached (Attachment 2). Specifics of the proposed contract are as follows:

Contract Name	#2312	-B Micro Surfacing			
Contractor	Aspha	Asphalt Paving Systems, Inc.			
Not-to-exceed amo	unt \$791,3	364.80			
Budget:					
Fund	100	General Fund			
Org Code	40220	Road Department			
Object	521316	Technical Services			
Available	\$2,630,257.41	As of 11/17/2023			

TALLY SHEET ITB #2312-B: Micro Surfacing

			Atlanta Pavin	g System, Inc.	
DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QTY	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT	UNIT PRICE	EXTENDED PRICE	
Mobilization & Traffic Control	1	Lump Sum (LS)	\$60,250.00	\$60,250.00	
Crack Seal	6	Linear Mile (LM)	\$6,825.00	\$40,950.00	
Surface treatment	76,770	Square Yard (SY)	\$1.65	\$126,670.50	
Single application of micro surfacing	114,370	Square Yard (SY)	\$3.79	\$433,462.30	
Scrub seal with Cover application	37,600	Square Yard (SY)	\$2.62	\$98,512.00	
5" Yellow Temporary Paint	7.88	Linear Mile (LM)	\$4,000.00	\$31,520.00	
TOTAL BID PRICE:				\$791,364.80	

Historical Price Comparison for Atlanta Paving System, Inc:

	Awarded	Requested
	1/27/2022	12/14/2023
Total Bid Price	\$613,420.20	\$791,364.80
Number of Miles to Micro Surface	8.03 Miles	8.77 Miles
Bid Price per Mile	\$76,391.06	\$90,235.44
Per Cent Increase 1/27/2022 – 12/14/2023		18.1%

Note: While the two above projects are not exactly the same, they are roughly equivalent, and make a useful comparison.

ATTACHMENT 2

expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts. VENDOR INFORMATION COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION Company Name: Contract Number: ATLANTA PAVING SYSTEMS #2177-8 Mailing Address: Contract Description or Title: 6789 HIGHWAY 67 MICRO SURFACING City, St, Zip Code: Contract Term (Dates) From: BROKLET, GA 30415 07/01/2021 – 7/30/2022 Phone Number: Task Order Number: 912-800-3980 n/a Cell Number: Uher Reference: #2312-B Micro Surfacing E-Mail Address: DSAPP APS/@GMAIL.COM DEFINITIONS QUITSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the product/services. SATISFACTORY (Dast) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the product/services. SATISFACTORY (Dast) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the product/services. UNSATISFACTORY (Dast) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the product/services. UNSATISFACTORY (Dast) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the product/services. UNSATISFACTORY (Dast) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectat	FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION					Page	1
Company Name: Contract Number: ATLANTA PAVING SYSTEMS #2177-B Mailing Address: Contract Description or Title: 6789 HIGHWAY 67 MICRO SURFACING City, St, Zip Code: Contract Term (Dates) From: BROOKLET, GA 30415 07/01/2021 - 7/30/2022 Phone Number: Task Order Number: 912-800-3980 n/a Cell Number: Dther Reference: #2312-B Micro Surfacing E-Mail Address: DSAPP APS@GMAIL COM DEFINITIONS OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Stat) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations.) Criteria (includes change orders / amendments) Sat Vin- Sat Vin Sat Vin Sat Vin Sat Vin Appropriate box for each criterion.) I. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule Vin Sat Vin Vin Sat Vin	 The person who serves as project manager or account manager is the designated party to complete the evaluation. This form is to be completed and forwarded to the Purchasing Department not later than 30 days after completion or 						
ATLANTÄ PAVING SYSTEMS #2177-B Mailing Address: Contract Description or Title: 6789 HIGHWAY 67 MICRO SURFACING City, St, Zip Code: Contract Term (Dates) From: BROOKLET, GA 30415 07/01/2021 - 17/30/2022 Phone Number: Task Order Number: 912-800-3980 n/a Cell Number: Other Reference: #2312-B Micro Surfacing #2312-B Micro Surfacing DSAPP APS@GMAIL.COM DEFINITIONS OUISTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor die not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Junga) - Vendor die not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (Junga) - Vendor die not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Junga) - Vendor die not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. Venk or other deliverables performed on schedule Immediating 1. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule Immediating 2. Condition of delivered products Immediating 3. Quali	VENDOR INFORMATION COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION						
6789 HIGHWAY 67 MICRO SURFACING City, St, Zip Code: Contract Term (Dates) From: BROCKLET, GA 30415 07/01/2021 - 7/30/2022 Phone Number: Task Order Number: 912-800-3980 n/a Cell Number: #2312-B Micro Surfacing E-Mail Address: DEFINITIONS DSAPP APS@GMAIL.COM DEFINITIONS OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (JSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (JSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. VINSATISFACTORY (JNast) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. Satisfactores (Jnast) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. Substitution of deliverables performed on schedule Volt 1. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule Volt 2. Condition of delivered products Volt	Company Name: ATLANTA PAVING SYSTEMS						
BRCOKLET, GA 30415 07/01/2021 - 7/30/2022 Phone Number: Task Order Number: 912-800-3860 n/a Cell Number: 0ther Reference: #2312-B Micro Surfacing #2312-B Micro Surfacing E-Mail Address: DEFINITIONS DAPP.APS@GMAIL.COM DEFINITIONS OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services: The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Insat) - Vendor dion met the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. Vantisfaction services: Performed below minimum requirements EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.) Criteria (includes change orders / amendments) Sat Sat Mot 1. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat 2. Condition of delivered products Image: Sat <	•						
912-800-3980 n/a Cell Number: Other Reference: #2312-B Micro Surfacing E-Mail Address: DSAPP APS@GMAIL_COM DEFINITIONS OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. Sutscription Out- services: Performed below minimum requirements Un- Asian ding Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. Criteria (includes change orders / amendments) Out- Sat<			:				
#2312-B Micro Surfacing E-Mail Address: DSAPP APS@GMAIL_COM DEFINITIONS QUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Junsat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (Unsat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. Vendor services; Performed below minimum requirements EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.) Criteria (includes change orders / amendments) Out- standing Exc Sat Quinty of work Adherence to specifications or scope of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work Adherence to contract budget and schedule 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule X 10. Orter (specify): Improducts 11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance X 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule X 10. Other (specify): Improductsendered<							
DEAPP.APS@GMAIL.COM DEFINITIONS OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor extended minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.) Criteria (includes change orders / amendments) 0ut- standing Exc Sat Un- standing Not sat 3. Quality of work Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Not sat 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat 7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule	Cell Number:						
QUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.) 0. Condition of deliverables performed on schedule V 2. Condition of delive							
products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Jast) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements EVALUATIONS (Place "X" in appropriate box for each criterion.) Ciriteria (includes change orders / amendments) Out- standing 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work 4. 5. Timely, appropriate, & sati		DEFINITIONS					1
Criteria (includes change orders / amendments) Out-standing Exc Sat Un-Sat Not Appl 1. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work 4. Adherence to contract budget and schedule 4. Adherence to contract budget and schedule 4. Adherence to contract budget and schedule 4. Adherence to contractor performance 4. Adherence to contra	products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest level of quality workmanship/professionalism in execution of contract. EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products/services. UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet the minimum contractual requirements or performance expectations of the products of the						
1. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule Statisting Statisting 2. Condition of delivered products 3. Quality of work 1. 3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work 1. 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem or complaint resolution 1. 1. 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 1. 1. 7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens 1. 1. 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 1. 1. 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule 1. 1. 10. Other (specify): 1. 1. 11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance 1. 1. EVALUATED BY Signature: Hyperity Date of Evaluation: 11/20/23 Print Name: Brodyn Ming			Out-		Sat L		
2. Condition of delivered products X Image: Second Se			standing	V		at Apr	лу
3. Quality of work 4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work 5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem or complaint resolution 7. Vorking relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens 6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing 7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens 7. Vorking relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens 8. Service Call (On-Call) response time 7. Vorking relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens 7. Vorking relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule 7. Vorking relation of contractor performance 7. Vorking relation of contractor performance 10. Other (specify): 11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance 7. Vorking relations Signature: Frint Name: Date of Evaluation: 11/30/23 Print Name: Bady Kluw Department/Division: RAD					X		
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem or complaint resolution Image: Complexity of the second				X			
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing Image: Constraint of the second sec	4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work			X			
7. Working relationship / interfacing with county staff and citizens X Image: Service Call (On-Call) response time X 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule X Image: Service Call (On-Call) response time X 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule X Image: Service Call (On-Call) response time X 10. Other (specify): Image: Service Call evaluation of contractor performance X Image: Service Call evaluation of contractor performance EVALUATED BY Signature: Image: Service Call evaluation: Image: Signature: Print Name: Bradling Kling Department/Division: Repartment/Division:				X			_
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time X 9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule X 10. Other (specify): X 11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance X EVALUATED BY Signature: Frint Name: Bradley, Klagy Department/Division: RAD			-	X			_
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule X Image: Signature: X Image: Signature: Image:			-	X			-
10. Other (specify): 11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance 11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance X EVALUATED BY Signature: Frint Name: Print Name: Bradley, Klugy Department/Division: RAD				~			-
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance EVALUATED BY Signature: Frint Name: Bradley, Klugy Department/Division: Road Road							
EVALUATED BY Signature: Fight King Print Name: Bradley, King Department/Division: ROAD		ce		X			
Print Name: Bradley, Klugy Department/Division: RAD							
a radianty. / Muaye	Signature: Ky Kh Date of Evaluation: 11/20/23						
Title: 1 I Tolophone No: (p)0	d stating / Muay						
Title: Assistant Director Telephone No: 6039 Form Updated 11/16/2016 Form Updated 11/16/2016							